

## Comment

Consultee	Elena Mikhaylova (1338535)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	
Event Name	Local Plan Publication Consultation June 2023
Comment by	Elena Mikhaylova (1338535)
Comment ID	38
Response Date	21/07/23 13:28
Consultation Point	Local Plan Publication (Regulation 19) - June 2023 ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

### Part(s) of Local Plan responding to

To which part(s) of the Local Plan does your response relate to?

Please indicate the documents(s) and part(s) you are commenting on.

*Publication Local Plan (including changes to the Policies Map designations) - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s) / Policy no./name / Place-based strategy / Site Allocation(s) no./ name / Maps / Tables*

*Sustainability Appraisal Report - Page number(s) / Paragraph number(s)*

*Other (for example an omission or alternative approach)*

Firstly, I would like to comment that it's not acceptable that the Council have been developing this plan using taxpayers' money with no initial consolation. I have submitted a FOI request to see how much money has been spent on this plan and which organisations have benefited from it. The next step there will be a further investigation whether any of the public funds have been spent unlawfully / been embezzled.

Secondly, the current consultation period is too short and the local communities have not been appropriately notified of this plan. Only a small percentage of people would have found out about this Plan through your email notification. That keeps a vast majority of people in the dark and therefore the representation of people commenting on this Plan is not significant.

Below I have provided comments to specific policies and what actions will be taken against the Council if the proposed changes go ahead.

Policy 3 Tackling the Climate Emergency (Strategic Policy)

Policy 4 Minimising Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Promoting Energy Efficiency (Strategic Policy)

### Policy 5 Energy Infrastructure (Strategic Policy)

There is no climate crisis and the Council used a small biased study for justification of this policy. There is no scientific evidence to back it. You have not listed to any of the studies based on facts that there is no climate emergency. Local people have never asked you to declare such emergency.

There is no such thing as Net Zero and you just use buss words to restrict people's freedoms. Nobody voted for the council to use our money to implement this policy and therefore it must be stopped immediately. Furthermore, there will be significant financial burden to local people and Businesses which you will be legally responsible for if this policy goes ahead.

### Policy 6 Sustainable Construction Standards

"Development that results in a new residential dwelling, including conversions, change of use, and extensions that result in a new dwelling unit, will be required to incorporate water conservation measures to achieve maximum water consumption of 110 litres per person per day for homes (including an allowance of 5 litres or less per person per day for external water consumption)."

The above standard is a direct violation of the Human rights and specific individuals who developed this standard will be taken to Court immediately if it gets implemented.

### Policy 47 Sustainable Travel Choices (Strategic Policy)

This policy is undemocratic and breaches our human rights. If the Council goes ahead with limiting usage of cars in the Borough, legal actions will be taken against each person who is looking to implement this policy.

Furthermore, this policy is in breach of the Equality and Disabilities act. It is absolutely insane that the Council is looking to discriminate parents, children, disabled people and those who simply do not want to cycle by shutting roads to cars and offering them "benches to rest". It appears that the Council has either received bribes from the organisations that are currently looking to limit car usage which is a breach of fundamental human rights or it has been impacted by undemocratic lobbying by "cycling clubs" who, in turt is n, are funded by those corporations, or both.

### Policy 1 Living Locally and the 20-minute neighbourhood (Strategic Policy)

As per above, this this policy is a direct breach of Human freedoms and the council including specific individuals who are looking to push this policy forward will be taken to court if any restrictions to residents' freedom of movement will be implemented.

As I already mentioned, the Council is now under investigation by the local residents to establish whether there has been an embezzlement of public funds and which organisations and individuals benefit from restriction of residents' freedoms and human rights. Further actions will be taken against those engaged in such breaches and violations

### **Legal Compliance, Soundness and Duty to Co-operate**

Do you consider the Local Plan is:

<b>Legally Compliant</b>	.	No
<b>Sound</b>	.	No
<b>Complies with the Duty to Co-operate</b>	.	No

### **Reason Consider Unsound**

Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound , because it is not:

- . Positively Prepared
- . Justified
- . Effective
- . Consistent with national policy

### Details of reason(s) for representation

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is or is not legally compliant, unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to provide comments in support of the legal compliance and/or soundness of the Local Plan, or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the response. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

Firstly, I would like to comment that it's not acceptable that the Council have been developing this plan using taxpayers' money with no initial consultation. I have submitted a FOI request to see how much money has been spent on this plan and which organisations have benefited from it. The next step there will be a further investigation whether any of the public funds have been spent unlawfully / been embezzled.

Secondly, the current consultation period is too short and the local communities have not been appropriately notified of this plan. Only a small percentage of people would have found out about this Plan through your email notification. That keeps a vast majority of people in the dark and therefore the representation of people commenting on this Plan is not significant.

Below I have provided comments to specific policies and what actions will be taken against the Council if the proposed changes go ahead.

Policy 3 Tackling the Climate Emergency (Strategic Policy)

Policy 4 Minimising Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Promoting Energy Efficiency (Strategic Policy)

Policy 5 Energy Infrastructure (Strategic Policy)

There is no climate crisis and the Council used a small biased study for justification of this policy. There is no scientific evidence to back it. You have not listed to any of the studies based on facts that there is no climate emergency. Local people have never asked you to declare such emergency.

There is no such thing as Net Zero and you just use buzz words to restrict people's freedoms. Nobody voted for the council to use our money to implement this policy and therefore it must be stopped immediately. Furthermore, there will be significant financial burden to local people and Businesses which you will be legally responsible for if this policy goes ahead.

Policy 6 Sustainable Construction Standards

"Development that results in a new residential dwelling, including conversions, change of use, and extensions that result in a new dwelling unit, will be required to incorporate water conservation measures to achieve maximum water consumption of 110 litres per person per day for homes (including an allowance of 5 litres or less per person per day for external water consumption)."

The above standard is a direct violation of the Human rights and specific individuals who developed this standard will be taken to Court immediately if it gets implemented.

Policy 47 Sustainable Travel Choices (Strategic Policy)

This policy is undemocratic and breaches our human rights. If the Council goes ahead with limiting usage of cars in the Borough, legal actions will be taken against each person who is looking to implement this policy.

Furthermore, this policy is in breach of the Equality and Disabilities act. It is absolutely insane that the Council is looking to discriminate parents, children, disabled people and those who simply do not want to cycle by shutting roads to cars and offering them “benches to rest”. It appears that the Council has either received bribes from the organisations that are currently looking to limit car usage which is a breach of fundamental human rights or it has been impacted by undemocratic lobbying by “cycling clubs” who, in turn, are funded by those corporations, or both.

Policy 1 Living Locally and the 20-minute neighbourhood (Strategic Policy)

As per above, this policy is a direct breach of Human freedoms and the council including specific individuals who are looking to push this policy forward will be taken to court if any restrictions to residents' freedom of movement will be implemented.

As I already mentioned, the Council is now under investigation by the local residents to establish whether there has been an embezzlement of public funds and which organisations and individuals benefit from restriction of residents' freedoms and human rights. Further actions will be taken against those engaged in such breaches and violations

### **Modification(s) consider necessary**

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, when considering any legal compliance or soundness matter you have identified in the question above. Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination. You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. *Please note your response should provide succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the suggested change. After this stage, further submission will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues they identify for examination.*

All policies mentioned in my comments above must be cancelled immediately.

### **Participation at Examination**

Do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you are not on our consultation database and you respond to this consultation, your details will be added to the database. This allows us to contact you with updates on the progression of the Local Plan and other planning policy documents.