

HAM CLOSE REDEVELOPMENT STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP

Record of meeting held on Tuesday 5th February 2019 at Grey Court School.

1. WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS AND NOTES OF THE LAST MEETING

MB welcomed the group to Grey Court School and opened the meeting.

PRESENT	
Maggie Bailey (Chair)	Headteacher, Grey Court School
Adam Tucker	Project Director, RHP
Mandy Skinner	Assistant Chief Executive, Customers and Partnerships, LBRUT
Anna Sadler	Programme Manager, LBRUT
Charles Murphy	Project Officer, LRUT
Cllr Liz Jaeger	Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing and Community Safety
Cllr Penelope Frost	Ward Councillor
Justine Glynn	Ham & Petersham Neighbourhood Forum
Jill Lamb	Ham United Group
David Lamb	Friends of Ham Library
David Williams	Ham Amenities Group
Elizabeth Blishen	Ham Close Resident
Briony Rowland	Ham Close Resident
Stan Shaw	Ham Parade Traders
APOLOGIES	
Cllr Andrée Frieze	Ward Councillor
Cllr Richards	Ward Councillor
Tracey Elliott	Development Project Manager, RHP
Julia Van Den Bosch	Friends of Ham Village Green
Jean Loveland	Ham Close Resident
Andres Muniz-Piniella	Ham Close Resident Association Chair (and Richmond MakerLabs)
Mandy Jenkins	Ham Close Resident
Justine Langford	Ham & Petersham Neighbourhood Forum

The actions from the previous minutes were discussed and it was mentioned how outstanding items would be explained in later items. The notes were agreed by the group.

2. PROJECT UPDATE

Adam Tucker (RHP) thanked all those involved in the Christmas event before giving the group an update on the project. He explained that at the Council's Cabinet meeting on 15th November 2018 approval was given for the Council to enter into the Collaboration and Land Sale agreement with RHP.

He then went on to explain that there is a likely delay to the programme based on the current procurement due to new case law emerging around the recent Faraday case.

AT explained the case, which had implications for both legal agreements between RHP and the joint venture partner and the Council's contracts with RHP. Legal advice had been sought, by both the Council and RHP, but the result is a delay to the previously advertised programme.

ACTION

2.1 AT to prepare a written statement for the Ham Close website explaining the implications of the Faraday case for the project.

3. S77 APPLICATION

Anna Sadler (LBRUT) informed the group that the Section 77 application for the disposal of part of St Richard's C of E school's playing field, required for the regeneration, had been submitted. It is likely that the decision will take six months. Conversation moved to the Woodville Centre and the shape of the land required by the site. It was mentioned that the stakeholders at the Woodville Centre had been engaged and conversations would be ongoing.

4. UPCOMING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

AT explained that the engagement would be the same as previously explained, just set back. For example the Resident Consultation Group which will be formed as part of the process to choose the final Developer for the Joint Venture.

AT explained that the aim was still to submit a Planning Application by the end of the year.

5. COMMUNITY FACILITIES SPECIFICATION

AS explained how in tandem to the legal work that has been ongoing the Council has been pulling together a community facilities specification for development. Conversations moved to the future of the Ham Village Centre. It was mentioned that there is a need to ensure any new provision is future proofed. A query was raised whether there had been any progress in discussion with health colleagues. It was commented that conversations were ongoing, and had been positive, but until there is concrete scheme it is difficult to commit.

6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Justine Glynn gave an update on the Liveable Neighbourhood bid on behalf of Justine Langford. She explained the bid had been submitted, including a section on Ham Close. It is likely that in March there will be a presentation on it.