

Local Plan

Authority's Monitoring Report

MONITORING OF PLAN MAKING

Including progress with the
Local Plan and monitoring
of the Duty to Cooperate

This document is part of a series of publications
which make up the Council's Authority's
Monitoring Report 2013/14.

December 2014



Local Plan Authority's Monitoring Report

Monitoring of plan making

including progress with the Local Plan and monitoring of the Duty to Cooperate.

This document is part of a series of publications which make up the Council's Authority's Monitoring Report 2013/14.

These documents will be published in a phased approach on the Council's website, and can be viewed via the following link

http://www.richmond.gov.uk/home/services/planning/planning_policy/local_plan/authority_monitoring_report.htm

This is the third report of the series.

Contents

Introduction	3
Progress with the Borough Local Plan.....	4
Duty to Cooperate monitoring.....	6
Aggregates Planning	15

1. Introduction

- 1.1.1 This document is one in a series of documents which make up the Council's Authority's Monitoring Report 2013/14. It is a statutory requirement to produce an AMR (previously known as the Annual Monitoring Report). The Localism Act received Royal Assent on the 15 November 2011. In subsection 113 there is a requirement for local authorities to prepare a report which should include information on the implementation of the local development scheme and the extent to which the policies set out in the local development documents are being achieved, and to make it publicly available as soon as available¹.
- 1.1.2 Changes brought in by the Act introduced greater flexibility in how AMRs can be produced. Previously, the AMR was required to be published as one large document in December each year. The requirement for a single report to be produced at a specific time has been removed by current legislation. This year a number of documents will be published in Winter 2014/Spring 2015, when available, which together will make up the AMR. This report is the third in the series.
- 1.1.3 Local Authorities are required by Part 8 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 to report on the following:

Neighbourhood Development Orders/ Plans	Currently there are no adopted Neighbourhood Plans or Neighbourhood Development Orders in the borough.
Community Infrastructure Levy	The borough's CIL came into effect from the 1 November 2014 and will therefore be reported in next year's AMR.

¹ <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/section/113/enacted>

2. Progress with Borough Local Plan

- 2.1.1 The London Borough of Richmond upon Thames Core Strategy, adopted April 2009, the Development Management Plan, adopted November 2011, the Twickenham Area Action Plan, adopted July 2013, and the saved Unitary Development Plan Review 2005 (UDP) (proposal sites and Policy CCE22 only are still saved) are part of the [Borough's Local Plan²](#); these and the London Plan, adopted 2011, including the Revised Early Alterations October 2013, are the statutory development plan for the Borough.
- 2.1.2 The [Local Development Scheme³](#) (LDS) is the programme for the preparation of new Development Plan Documents (DPDs) within the suite of the Borough Local Plan documents (formerly known as the Local Development Framework, LDF). The LDS is reviewed on a regular basis. During the financial year of 2013/14 the LDS that was operative from September 2011 was superseded by an updated LDS in November 2013. Note that in September 2014, the Council has published a further revised LDS, which superseded the version that was operative from November 2013.
- 2.1.3 The Localism Act requires local authorities to prepare a report, which should include (amongst other things) information on the implementation of the LDS.

Progress with plan-making in financial year 2013/14, shown in bold below:

Development Plan Document	Actual programme	LDS programme ⁴	Commentary
Twickenham Area Action Plan (AAP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Publication: July/August 2012 Submission: October 2012 Examination: January 2013 Adoption: July 2013 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Publication: May/June 2012 Submission: July 2012 Examination: Autumn 2012 Adoption: January 2013 	<p><i>Assessment against September 2011 LDS:</i></p> <p>The delays occurred as a result of the publication of the National Planning Policy Framework in March 2012 and the need to ensure that the Twickenham AAP was in conformity with it.</p>
Site Allocations Plan (SAP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-publication consultation: 1 October 2013 to 12 November 2013 Pre-publication – consultation on additional sites from 9 June 2014 until 21 July 2014 Pre-publication – consultation on new educational sites from 11 August 2014 until 6 October 2014 Publication: Spring/Summer 2015 Submission: Summer/Autumn 2015 Examination: Autumn/Winter 2015 Adoption: Spring 2016 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-publication consultation – Autumn 2013 Publication: May/June 2014 Submission: July 2014 Examination in Public: October 2014 Inspectors Report: December 2014 Adoption: January 2015 	<p><i>Assessment against November 2013 LDS*:</i></p> <p>The programme was on target for the pre-publication consultation in autumn 2013. The Publication stage of the Plan has been delayed because new additional sites were included in the Plan and as such two further rounds of pre-publication consultation were undertaken. The latest version of the LDS (September 2014) includes an updated programme for the SAP.</p>
Joint West London Waste Plan (WLWP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Call for new sites: 17 Dec 2012 to 28 January 2013 Consultation on Proposed WLWP Submission: 28 February and 11 April 2014 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Call for new sites: 17 Dec 2012 to 28 January 2013 Publication: January 2014 	<p><i>Assessment against November 2013 LDS*:</i></p> <p>Whilst the WLWP was previously subject to delays</p>

² http://www.richmond.gov.uk/local_plan.htm

³ http://www.richmond.gov.uk/local_development_scheme.htm

⁴ Note that progress is measured against the LDS that was operative in the financial year of 2013/14, i.e. September 2011 and November 2013. In September 2014, the Council has published a revised LDS, which superseded the version that was operative from November 2013.

Development Plan Document	Actual programme	LDS programme ⁴	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission: 30 July 2014 Examination in Public: October 2014 Consultation on main modifications: 7 November to 19 December 2014 Adoption: Spring 2015 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission: April 2014 Examination: July 2014 Adoption: May 2015 	<p>due to the publication of the NPPF and the “duty to cooperate” requirements, during the financial year of 2013/14, key milestones have been broadly met. The latest version of the LDS (September 2014) includes an accurate programme for the WLWP.</p>
Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule (this is not a Development Plan Document)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Publication of Draft CIL Charging Schedule: 8 July to 19 August 2013 Submission: 11 October 2013 Examiner’s report: 17 March 2014 Adoption: 1 November 2014 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Publication of Draft CIL Charging Schedule: July/August 13 Submission: October 13 Examination in Public: Feb 14 Adopt – September 14 	<p><i>Assessment against November 2013 LDS*:</i></p> <p>Whilst the CIL Charging Schedule is not a DPD, it was produced in accordance with the timescales set out in the LDS</p>

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) ⁵	Programme
Affordable Housing SPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public consultation on draft SPD: 27 April to 8 June 2012 Adoption: March 2014
Kew Village Planning SPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drop in session at St Luke’s Church: 30 Nov 2013 Resident walkabout in Kew: 1 Dec 2013 Online questionnaire: 30 Nov to 14 Dec 2013 Stakeholder and business workshop: 10 Jan 2014 Public consultation from 14 March to 7 April 2014 Adoption: July 2014
Whitton & Heathfield Village Planning SPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drop in session at Whitton Youth Zone: 7 Dec 2013 Resident walkabout in Whitton: 8 Dec 2013 Online questionnaire: 7 Dec to 20 Dec 2013 Stakeholder and business workshop: 9 Jan 2014 Public consultation from 14 March to 7 April 2014 Adoption: July 2014
Planning Obligations SPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public consultation on draft SPD: 8 July to 19 August 2013 Adoption: 1 November 2014 (alongside CIL)

A full list of adopted Supplementary Planning Documents can be found on the Council’s website at the following page

http://www.richmond.gov.uk/home/services/planning/planning_policy/local_plan/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance.htm
http://www.richmond.gov.uk/home/services/planning/planning_policy/local_plan/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance.htm

Other relevant policies and plans:

- On 6 March 2014, Government published the [National Planning Practice Guidance](#)⁶ (NPPG), which cancelled previous planning guidance documents.
- [Ham & Petersham Neighbourhood Development Plan](#)⁷: The designated Ham & Petersham Neighbourhood Forum is progressing a Neighbourhood Development Plan for the designated Ham & Petersham Neighbourhood Area. The timescales for the production of this Plan are determined by the Neighbourhood Forum, and the Council’s role is to provide support in the production of their Plan.

⁵ All [adopted Supplementary Planning Documents](#): http://www.richmond.gov.uk/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance.htm

⁶ <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

⁷ http://www.richmond.gov.uk/ham_and_petersham_neighbourhood_planning.htm

- **Village Plans⁸**: These are the Councils non-statutory corporate plans for a range of actions in 14 local / village areas. These plans focus on the vision for how the area should develop in the future, it sets out key issues, priorities and opportunities as well as the current planning policy context for the relevant areas including potential areas of change. The Village Plans are being continuously updated and expanded to include Village Planning Guidance (which will have the status of a Supplementary Planning Document – see above).

3. Duty to Cooperate Monitoring

3.1 Context

- 3.1.1 The Localism Act requires local authorities to prepare a report, which should include (amongst other things) information on how the Council has met its Duty to Cooperate. This statement covers cooperation that has taken place on strategic planning issues under the Duty to Cooperate between 1 April 2013 and 31 March 2014. It provides commentary up to the end of December 2014 to demonstrate ongoing cooperation.
- 3.1.2 The Duty to Cooperate was introduced under the Localism Act 2011. It places a legal duty on Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) to ‘engage constructively, actively and on an on-going basis’ with other LPAs and public bodies in relation to strategic cross-boundary matters.
- 3.1.3 Para 156 of the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) sets out the strategic priorities that public bodies have a duty to cooperate on in the preparation of the Local Plan. These are:
- the homes and jobs needed in the area;
 - the provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development;
 - the provision of infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat);
 - the provision of health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and other local facilities; and
 - climate change mitigation and adaptation, conservation and enhancement of the natural and historic environment, including landscape.

3.2 Duty to Cooperate in the borough

- 3.2.1 The tables below set out the Duty to Cooperate activity undertaken throughout the financial year 2013/14 and from April 2014 to date. It covers both Richmond Local Plan Documents and other Authorities’ Planning Documents, set out by strategic issue. It can be seen that the borough is part of a number of formal joint working groups, such as the West London Waste Plan group and the Lower Thames Planning Officers Group, and also has a positive working relationship with neighbouring boroughs.
- 3.2.2 The borough positively engages with the GLA in the preparation and monitoring of the spatial development strategy for London – known as the London Plan, and any alterations or reviews, which cover strategic issues particularly housing, retail and employment. The borough also contributes to research projects such as the GLA’s Town Centre Health Checks. The Mayor of the London and the GLA Mayor is also strongly committed to working with authorities and agencies in the East and South East of England to co-ordinate approaches to strategic issues of common concern⁹.

⁸ http://www.richmond.gov.uk/village_plans

⁹ <https://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/planning/london-plan/cross-boundary-strategic-planning-co-operation>

Table 1: Duty to Cooperate Monitoring 2013/14

Strategic issue	Details / Activity undertaken	Body involved	Outcomes	Date
The homes and jobs needed in the area				
Hounslow Local Plan	Hounslow contacted LBRuT to discuss strategic planning priorities and other cross-boundary issues in the process of their Local Plan update. Face-to-face meeting did not take place but LBRUT responded in writing to raise a couple of issues.	Neighbouring borough - Hounslow	Richmond formally responded to Hounslow's Local Plan consultation with the following comments: - LBRUT highlighted concern over Brentford's allocation as 'medium suitability for tall buildings' due to the impact this might have on Kew both in terms of 1) views from the Thames, the Royal Botanic Gardens and the Kew Conservation Areas, and 2) traffic and air pollution. Hounslow responded to say that they will not be changing this policy as they believe a balanced approach has been taken which should not have an impact on Kew. This remains an issue for the Council which may be taken forward to EIP. - LBRUT requested that Hounslow's Plan includes indicative maximum levels of retail floorspace to ensure development does not have an unacceptable impact on the vitality and viability of other centres. Hounslow responded agreeing to make some changes to Policy TC1 to acknowledge the strategic town and local centre network, and to ensure that proposals consider cross-boundary retail impacts.	April to ongoing
Elmbridge Borough Council - Settlement Investment and Development (ID) Plans: Duty to Cooperate Scoping Statement	- As part of the preparation of its Settlement Investment & Development (ID) Plans, Elmbridge BC undertook a four-week consultation in March 2013 on a supporting Duty to Cooperate Scoping Statement Paper. - Elmbridge's ID Plans were subsequently withdrawn to enable the Council to reconsider their approach to housing development and work re-started later in 2014 (see next table).	Neighbouring borough - Elmbridge	- Richmond responded to say that we agree in principle with the identified strategic issues and that we look forward to engaging with Elmbridge in relation to housing, gypsies and travellers, open/green spaces, infrastructure and flood risk. - Continuing engagement between LBRuT and Elmbridge in relation to the identified strategic issues.	03/03/2014
The provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development				
Kingston TC AAP	RB Kingston met with LBRuT to discuss strategic issues in relation to the review and update of RBK's Kingston Town Centre AAP.	Neighbouring borough - Kingston	Discussed housing, student housing, transport, including Crossrail 2, retail study, flood risk etc. LBRuT would be concerned if densities and heights of redevelopment proposals in Kingston Town Centre have to be increased, particularly where they could affect the settings of historic	04/06/2014

			buildings, historic parks and gardens or the River Thames.	
The provision of infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat);				
West London Waste Plan (WLWP)	<p>The West London Waste Plan for the six west London boroughs is currently being produced. The WLWP plans for all waste in the area up to 2026. Activities undertaken include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In early 2013, emails were sent to 19 waste planning authorities, regarding planning for waste management in West London and associated exports. - In early 2014, those authorities receiving quantities of waste from West London into their areas for management were contacted to ascertain whether there were likely to be issues associated with the continued management of waste from West London within these areas. - Duty to Cooperate Bodies were formally consulted on the 2014 Proposed Submission Plan including the Mayor of London, the Environment Agency, Natural England and English Heritage via emails, meetings and telephone conversations. - Published and emerging waste planning documents of the authorities concerned were also consulted to assess current and projected capacities and policies regarding accepting waste from London in the future. - Regular attendance by the WLWP Project Manager at meetings of the London Regional Technical Advisory Board (RTAB) and the South East Waste Planning Advisory Group (SEWPAG). -It should be noted that engagement between SEWPAG and the Greater London Authority and the London Planning Authorities on the matter of London's waste has been ongoing for some years. -Richmond officers attended the EIP in October 2014. 	Neighbouring boroughs- Brent, Ealing, Harrow, Hounslow, Hillingdon and Richmond upon Thames	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Various matters were raised by Waste Planning Authorities in relation to the content of the WLWP, for example, it was noted that although there were limits to the capacity of landfill sites currently receiving waste from West London, there was capacity still available. It was also established that there were no policies in place in other Waste Planning Authorities' plans that would jeopardise realisation of the WLWP's strategy for waste management. - Further comments made by Waste Planning Authorities on the Proposed Submission Plan have been considered and some further minor changes to the text of the Plan have been made to provide additional clarification. Details of the comments made and the Boroughs' responses are included in the Consultation Statement submitted with the Plan. - The joint Plan is due to be adopted in 2015. 	March 2009 (Issues and Options) - Spring 2015 (Adoption)

<p>Lower Thames Planning Officers Group - River Thames Scheme (RTS)</p>	<p>Regular meetings take place with the Group to discuss the details around the RTS Strategy, update each other on Local Plan progress and Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA).</p>	<p>Environment Agency, Elmbridge Borough Council, Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames, London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, Runnymede Borough Council, Spelthorne Borough Council, Surrey County Council, Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Thames Water</p>	<p>At the most recent meeting (November 2014) it was agreed that the proposed scheme would go through the Planning route (rather than the NSIP route) and therefore ongoing cooperation with the Environment Agency would be essential. Joint working is ongoing.</p>	<p>11/11/2014 + others + ongoing</p>
<p>LBRuT Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS)</p>	<p>A LFRMS has been produced by consultants URS Capita for Richmond Council (as the Lead Local Flood Authority for the Borough) to set out the approach to managing flood risk from local sources including surface water, ground water and ordinary watercourses in both the short and longer term. Activities undertaken include: - Consultation events with communities, businesses, neighbouring boroughs and risk management authorities between 20 December 2013 and 28 February 2014 to offer residents and businesses the opportunity to shape the strategy and future priorities. - Consideration was given to the roles and responsibilities of other risk management authorities in Richmond, including the Environment Agency and Thames Water, who have responsibility for managing the risk arising from Main Rivers and sewer flooding respectively, which interact and influence surface water and groundwater flood risk.</p>	<p>Neighbouring boroughs, Environment Agency, Thames Water.</p>	<p>The issue of flooding is a strategic matter that requires full cooperation with neighbouring authorities and other bodies to ensure risk is managed in a coordinated way beyond the boundaries and responsibilities of individual authorities and organisations. Richmond has committed to work with the Environment Agency and Thames Water to understand the interactions between fluvial and tidal flooding and the sewer system in order to address the causes of flooding in Richmond, and will undoubtedly continue working in partnership with authorities from across the river basin in managing risk and seeing the River Thames Scheme through to implementation.</p>	<p>Dec 2013 – Feb 2014 and ongoing.</p>
<p>The provision of health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and other local facilities</p>				
<p>LBRuT Site Allocations DPD</p>	<p>LBRuT contacted all neighbouring boroughs, statutory and other consultees in relation to the borough's Site Allocations DPD Pre-Publication Consultation to initiate discussion about any potential strategic cross-boundary and cross-sector issues in relation to the proposed sites.</p>	<p>Neighbouring Boroughs, Statutory Consultees and all prescribed bodies</p>	<p>A number of responses to the consultation were received but no strategic issues raised by neighbouring boroughs.</p>	<p>01/10/13 - 12/11/13. Ongoing process.</p>

Source: LBRuT monitoring

Details up to the end of December 2014 are also included below to provide evidence of ongoing Duty to Cooperate work.

Table 2: Duty to Cooperate Monitoring 2014/15

Strategic issue	Details / Activity undertaken	Body involved	Outcomes	Date
The homes and jobs needed in the area				
Housing Market Assessments	Notification of joint SHMA being undertaken by Runnymede and Spelthorne and opportunity to engage in the process.	Neighbouring boroughs - Runnymede and Spelthorne	Initial scoping and research was undertaken by Richmond and it was agreed that Runnymede and Spelthorne is an appropriate HMA, so Richmond should not be included. Richmond offered to engage further as work progresses.	12/08/14
	Kingston are leading a SHMA with Merton, Elmbridge, Epsom & Ewell and Mole Valley to be completed by Spring 2015. LBRuT was invited to join the SHMA.	Neighbouring boroughs - Kingston, Merton, Elmbridge, Epsom & Ewell and Mole Valley	Scoping and discussion took place with the Kingston Planning Team however it was concluded that the other authorities were all in a slightly different position to Richmond with more imminent needs to progress plans and identify needs. Richmond will engage and cooperate in the joint work where required and will look to scope work on borough needs in 2015.	July – October 2014
Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames	LBRuT works closely with RBKuT on strategic and cross-boundary issues relevant to the two boroughs such as housing, retail and flooding and to ensure that each borough is fully informed on the progress of each other's local plans.	Neighbouring borough - Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames	Strong working relationship and information sharing between the two boroughs.	Meetings in June & Aug 2014, Jan 2015 and ongoing
Wandsworth Local Plan Review	Wandsworth and LBRuT meet at regular intervals to discuss strategic issues in relation to the progress of both Local Plans.	Neighbouring borough - Wandsworth	No new issues raised. Useful information sharing sessions. Good working relationship.	13/06/14 04/12/14
Elmbridge Borough Council - Settlement Investment and Development (ID) Plans: Duty to Cooperate Scoping Statement	Elmbridge issued a new Duty to Cooperate 'scoping' consultation on strategic matters in November 2014 following the decision to postpone work on their ID Plans (see 2013/14 table above).	Neighbouring borough - Elmbridge	Richmond responded to say that they agree with the strategic matters identified by Elmbridge but that they did not believe that the Thames Basin Heath SPA is a key area for cooperation as LBRuT is located approximately 11km from the SPA at its closest point and is not one of the local authorities that has been required to implement mitigation measures under the Thames Basin Heaths Delivery Plan. LBRuT continues to work with Elmbridge on the River Thames Scheme as part of the Lower Thames Planning Officers Group and cooperate on strategic matters around housing, gypsies and travellers, open spaces, green belt, infrastructure capacity and	8 Dec 14

Strategic issue	Details / Activity undertaken	Body involved	Outcomes	Date
			<p>flood risk. Richmond responded to say that they agree with the strategic matters identified by Elmbridge but that they did not believe that the Thames Basin Heath SPA is a key area for cooperation as LBRuT is located approximately 11km from the SPA at its closest point and is not one of the local authorities that has been required to implement mitigation measures under the Thames Basin Heaths Delivery Plan.</p> <p>LBRuT continues to work with Elmbridge on the River Thames Scheme as part of the Lower Thames Planning Officers Group and cooperate on strategic matters around housing, gypsies and travellers, open spaces, green belt, infrastructure capacity and flood risk.</p>	
The provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development				
Consultation by RB Kingston upon Thames on the Eden Quarter Development Brief SPD	RBK consulted on Draft Brief.	Neighbouring borough-Kingston	The Council made representations with regard to: + major expansion of retail should take into account the effect on Richmond town centre, especially since the quantum of development & phasing is not known. + need to consider the visual impact of new taller elements in terms of their effect on views from this borough. Meeting arranged to discuss.	Dec 14
Retail Capacity Study	Initial email contact with neighbouring boroughs to let them know study commissioned.	Neighbouring boroughs	Some initial feedback from LB Wandsworth which was raised with consultants during report production.	01/05/14
	Emailed Draft Report to neighbouring boroughs.	Neighbouring boroughs		10/07/14
	Emailed Final Report	Neighbouring boroughs		26/11/14
The provision of infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat);				
Local Aggregates Assessments	London LAA being prepared by GLA via ALBPO. Hounslow's Draft LAA was sent to the GLA for information and/or comment.	GLA + boroughs	LAAs finalised to meet NPPF requirement.	23/09/14

Strategic issue	Details / Activity undertaken	Body involved	Outcomes	Date
Lower Thames Planning Officers Group - River Thames Scheme (RTS)	Regular meetings take place with the Group to discuss the details around the RTS Strategy, update each other on Local Plan progress and Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA).	Environment Agency, Elmbridge Borough Council, Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames, London Borough of Richmond upon Thames, Runnymede Borough Council, Spelthorne Borough Council, Surrey County Council, Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Thames Water	At the most recent meeting (November 2014) it was agreed that the proposed scheme would go through the Planning route (rather than the NSIP route) and therefore ongoing cooperation with the Environment Agency would be essential. Joint working is ongoing.	11/11/14 + others & ongoing
Oxfordshire County Council Strategic Waste Movements	Email received from Oxfordshire Policy Team to West London Waste Group re waste streams. The WLWP Project Manager (Hillingdon) provided a full response on behalf of the whole group with input from Richmond.	West London Waste Group (LB Hillingdon, LB Hounslow, LB Brent, LB Ealing, LB Harrow, LB Richmond) + Oxfordshire CC	Response explained where waste generated by the West London Waste Group tended to go and said that the scale of the movement between LB Richmond and Oxfordshire is not of strategic importance so does not require ongoing discussion.	24/11/14
West Berkshire Minerals and Waste Issues and Options	Duty to Cooperate letter received from West Berkshire Council as LBRuT receives hazardous waste from West Berkshire (197.345 tonnes). LBRuT did not identify any issues.	West Berkshire Council and London Borough of Richmond upon Thames	Continued good working relationship and cooperation on waste and minerals between the two authorities.	13/02/14 and 12/05/14

The provision of health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and other local facilities				
LBRuT Site Allocations DPD Additional Sites Consultation	LBRuT contacted all neighbouring boroughs, statutory and other consultees in relation to the borough's Site Allocations DPD Additional Sites Consultation to initiate discussion about any potential strategic cross-boundary and cross-sector issues in relation to the additional sites.	Neighbouring boroughs - RB Kingston, Elmbridge BC, Spelthorne BC, LB Wandsworth, GLA (incl TfL) Statutory consultees - Richmond NHS / CCG, English Heritage, Natural England, Environment Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elmbridge responded to say that the additional sites do not raise any new strategic issues but they reiterated that housing is a strategic issue. - Spelthorne and Wandsworth confirmed that the additional sites do not raise any strategic cross-boundary issues. - Face-to-face meetings were held with Kingston where LBRuT provided a run-through of all additional proposals to be included in the SA Plan, and there was some detailed discussion around particular proposal sites including St Mary's and Cassel Hospital. No strategic issues have been identified in relation to any of the additional proposed sites. - English Heritage said that the new sites are relatively small-scale and therefore they will not lead to any potential strategic issues in relation to heritage matters. but advised that "scale" should also be taken into account in addition to "design". - Discussions were had with the GLA via email and no issues were raised. - LBRuT took all comments into consideration for the next round of consultation on the Site Allocations DPD. 	May - June 2014
LBRuT Site Allocations DPD new educational sites consultation	Email to all duty to cooperate bodies to invite comments in relation to new educational sites to be added to Site Allocations Plan.	All prescribed bodies	Anticipated that additional educational sites will not lead to any strategic and/or cross-boundary issues.	01/08/14
Richmond CCG, NHS England, Hounslow and Richmond Community Healthcare (HRCH)	Regular meetings are held between Richmond's Planning Teams and Health Bodies, for example, attendance at the Council's Asset Management Working Group to discuss the Council's need for health facilities, available assets across the borough, and any planning considerations.	NHS England, Richmond CCG, HRCH, Facilities team.	Continued positive joint working. A system has been introduced within the Council whereby health bodies are invited to comment on larger planning applications to ensure that health needs in the borough are fully considered.	04/12/14

climate change mitigation and adaptation, conservation and enhancement of the natural and historic environment, including landscape				
Hounslow Local Plan Proposed Submission Suggested Minor Changes	<p>Hounslow consulted LBRuT on their schedule of Suggested Minor Changes to their Local Plan Proposed Submission. LBRuT responded to say:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Richmond upon Thames Council recognises that policy CC4 'Heritage' has been amended between March and August 2014 to include the words ...'its buffer zone' in CC4 (o) and ...'or on views from Royal Botanic Gardens Kew World Heritage Site' in CC4 (t). • However, policy CC3 'Tall Buildings' has not been amended with respect to our representations in April 2014. • Policies CC3 and CC4 as revised do not meet our representations as for visual impacts of tall buildings from Royal Botanic Gardens World Heritage Site nor for public transport accessibility of new tall buildings. • CC3 (b) and CC4 (t) include the word 'adversely', rendering the 'carefully designed and placed' and 'no adverse impacts on the designated view' inadequate. • Nowhere in policy CC3 is the transport impacts of tall buildings mentioned, including the impacts on the highway network. • Further, the Urban context and character study referred to in CC4 (g+h+o+t) does not address the issue of tall buildings at Brentford's impact on the World Heritage Site. 	Neighbouring Borough - Hounslow	<p>Note that this cooperation is included in this section as Richmond's main representations were around the historic environment and impacts on the Royal Botanic Gardens World Heritage Site and its buffer zone.</p> <p>Outcomes to be confirmed following a response from Hounslow.</p>	11/12/14

Source: LBRuT monitoring

3.3 Aggregates Planning

- 3.3.1 Para 145 of the NPPF places a requirement on all minerals planning authorities to “plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates by preparing an annual Local Aggregate Assessment”. The Local Aggregate Assessment for London 2013 (Revised) was published in October 2014 by the GLA on behalf of the twenty nine boroughs that do not have land won minerals sites and can be viewed via the following link.
<https://www.london.gov.uk/sites/default/files/London%20LAA%202013%20October%202014.pdf>

The LAA and monitor it regularly through the Mayor’s AMR (consumption) and more specifically through a series of London Aggregates Monitoring Reports:
<https://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/planning/research-reports/london-aggregates-working-party-0#3>.

If you need this document in Braille,
large print, audio tape,
or another language,
please contact us on
020 8891 7117 or
minicom 020 8831 6001

Civic Centre, 44 York Street
Twickenham TW1 3BZ
www.richmond.gov.uk

Nese keni veshtersi per te kuptuar kete botim, ju lutemi
ejani ne recepcionin ne adresen e shenuar me poshte ku ne
mund te organizojme perkthime nepermjet telefonit.

Albanian

إذا كانت لديك صعوبة في فهم هذا المنشور، فنرجو زيارة الإستقبال في
العنوان المعطى أدناه حيث بإمكاننا أن نرتب لخدمة ترجمة شفوية
هاتفية.

Arabic

এই প্রকাশনার অর্থ বুঝতে পারায় যদি আপনার কোন সমস্যা হয়, নিচে দেওয়া
ঠিকানায় রিসেপশন-এ চলে আসুন যেখানে আমরা আপনাকে টেলিফোনে দোভাষীর
সেবা প্রদানের ব্যবস্থা করতে পারবো।

Bengali

اگر در فهمیدن این نشریه مشکلی دارید لطفاً به میز پذیرش
در آدرس قید شده در زیر مراجعه نمایید تا ترتیب ترجمه
تلفنی برایتان فراهم آورده شود:

Farsi

જો તમને આ પુસ્તિકાની વિગતો સમજવામાં મુશ્કેલી પડતી હોય તો, કૃપયા
નીચે જણાવેલ સ્થળના રિસેપ્શન પર આવો, જ્યાં અમે ટેલિફોન પર ગુજ
રાતીમાં ઇન્ટરપ્રીટિંગ સેવાની ગોઠવણ કરી આપીશું.

Gujurati

ਜੇਕਰ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਪਰਚੇ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਵਿਚ ਮੁਸ਼ਕਲ ਪੇਸ਼ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਹੇਠਾਂ
ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਪਤੇ ਉੱਪਰ ਰਿਸੈਪਸ਼ਨ 'ਤੇ ਆਓ ਜਿੱਥੇ ਅਸੀਂ ਟੈਲੀਫੋਨ ਤੇ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ
ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਇੰਟਰਪ੍ਰਿਟਰ ਦਾ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੇ ਹਾਂ।

Punjabi





London Borough of Richmond upon Thames

Environment Directorate

Policy & Design Section

Civic Centre

44 York Street

Twickenham

Middlesex TW1 3BZ

T 020 8891 7117

E ldf@richmond.gov.uk

www.richmond.gov.uk

