

## LB Richmond upon Thames Local Plan

# Sustainability Appraisal Addendum of the Proposed Main Modifications *Consultation 22 December 2017 to 2 February 2018*

## December 2017

### Introduction

1.1 The Publication Local Plan was submitted to the Secretary of State on 19 May 2017. Following the Hearing Sessions as part of the Examination in Public, which took place between 26<sup>th</sup> September and 12<sup>th</sup> October 2017, the Planning Inspector, has proposed some Main Modifications to the Plan.

Copies of the proposed Main Modifications are available as follows:

- On the Council's website at
  - www.richmond.gov.uk/local\_plan\_examination
- In the Borough's main libraries
- At the Civic Centre reception, 44 York Street, Twickenham, TW1 3BZ

### Methodology

2.1 The Council is legally required under both European and UK law to prepare a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the Local Plan in order to help ensure that sustainability objectives including social, environmental and economic considerations are taken into account during all stages of the Plan preparation.

2.2 The process of the SA has been integral to the development of the LB of Richmond upon Thames (LBRuT) Publication Local Plan, with findings published and consulted upon alongside the Local Plan at each stage of its preparation. This has included the preparation of a series of SA documents including the Revised SA Scoping Report (PS-007)<sup>1</sup>, the Publication Local Plan SA (SD-003)<sup>2</sup> and the Council document (LBR-LP-005)<sup>3</sup> summarising the SA stages and iterations that informed the Local Plan that have been submitted to the <u>examination documents list</u>. The SA is an iterative process and has been used to assess policy options and site selection to ensure that the plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development, and any potential negative impacts on sustainability are minimised or effectively mitigated.

#### Purpose of the Addendum Report to the Sustainability Appraisal

3.1 This note is an Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment Report submitted as part of the Local Plan examination. During the Local Plan examination hearings which took place in Sept/Oct. 2017, a number of main modifications to the Local Plan have been proposed by the Inspector. These main modifications are likely to be required to make the Local Plan "sound". This addendum to the SA provides an assessment as to whether the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan are likely to have significant effects on the sustainability objectives.

3.2 The proposed Main Modifications will be published for a six week period of consultation from 22 December 2017 to 2 February 2018. This Addendum considers the potential sustainability implications of each of the proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PS-007 Local Plan REVISED Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report (May 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SD-003) Sustainability Appraisal Local Plan Publication version for consultation 4 January - 15 February 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> (LBR-LP-005) Appendix A - Summary of SA stages and iterations that have informed the Local Plan

changes/main modifications and presents an assessment of any potential sustainability implications which might arise.

#### Results

The main modifications below are expressed by specifying the modification whereby additions are <u>underlined</u> and removals are <del>strikethrough</del>. The page numbers and paragraph numbering below refer to the publication local plan (as <u>submitted</u>), and do not take account of the deletion or addition of text.

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)     | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph       | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| MM1<br>Neighbourhood<br>Planning |                              |                           |  | Reason for the change is in shaded text   |
|                                  | Introduction                 | p.4<br>paragraph<br>1.1.4 | In the paragraph 1.1.4 of the Introduction, (Setting the Scene)<br>amend the last sentence to read:<br>"The Council will ensure that planning applications that accord<br>with the policies in the adopted Local Plan and the London Plan<br>(and where relevant, with policies in <u>emerging neighbourhood</u><br><u>plans, such as</u> the Ham and Petersham Neighbourhood Plan<br>once adopted) will be approved without delay, unless material<br>considerations indicate otherwise."   | Added for clarification and<br>consistency with national<br>policy.<br>No need for further SA.  |
|                                  | Strategic<br>Context         | p.10-11                   | New paragraph and sub-heading after 2.1.11 and before<br>"Village Planning"<br>"Neighbourhood Planning was introduced by the Localism Act<br>2011. It allows communities to influence the future of their<br>local areas by preparing a Neighbourhood Development Plan<br>for the area. Neighbourhood plans are led and written by the<br>community, not the Council, and they have to be in general<br>conformity with the strategic policies in the relevant local,<br>regional and national planning policy documents and guidance.<br>A neighbourhood plan that is prepared in line with the legal<br>referendum must be adopted by the Council. When adopted, a<br>neighbourhood plan and will be taken into account alongside the<br>Council's other plans when making decisions on planning | To provide clarity on<br>Neighbourhood Planning and<br>Village Plan process and<br>SPDs.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate altering the<br>SA. |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading             | Page/Para-<br>graph         | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
|                              |  |                             | applications in that area. In this borough, the Ham and<br>Petersham Neighbourhood Forum is preparing a Neighbourhood<br>Plan for its area."  |   |
|                              |  | paragraph<br>3.1.4          | 3.1.4 The Spatial Strategy reinforces the borough's context as<br>an outer London Borough that is characterised by a high quality<br>natural, built and historic environment with highly valued open<br>landscape, parks, green spaces and opportunities for sport,<br>recreation, culture and tourism. The overarching principles are<br>to protect the unique local character (as set out in the Village<br>Planning Guidance SPDs and in <u>neighbourhood plans, such as</u><br>in the emerging Ham and Petersham Neighbourhood Plan),<br>maintain and enhance our open spaces as well as our heritage,<br>achieve high levels of sustainability and ensure all communities<br>have access to housing, employment opportunities, services<br>and facilities. |   |
|                              | Local<br>Character and<br>Design Quality | p.28<br>Policy LP1          | Amend the last paragraph of part A. of the policy LP1 to read:<br>"All proposals, including extensions, alterations and shop<br>fronts, will be assessed against <u>the policies contained within a</u><br><u>neighbourhood plan where applicable, and</u> the advice set out in<br>the relevant Village Planning Guidance and other SPDs relating<br>to character and design."   | For clarification and<br>consistency with national<br>policy.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate altering the<br>SA.  |
| MM 2<br>Heritage<br>Matters  |  |                             |   |   |
|                              | Strategic<br>Vision                      | p.12<br>paragraph:<br>2.2.1 | Section 1 of the Local Plan Strategic Vision (Page 12,<br>paragraph 2.2.1) to read as follows: " <u>Heritage assets including</u><br>listed buildings and Conservation Areas, <u>historic parks</u> , as well<br>as Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew World Heritage Site"   | The change will establish<br>the important role that<br>heritage assets play in<br>defining the character of the<br>borough and ensure that<br>this is captured within the<br>Strategic Vision, as agreed |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading             | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
|                              |  | <u> </u>            |  | in Statement of Common<br>Ground with Historic<br>England (7 September<br>2017) (LBR-LP-008). This<br>explanatory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.  |
|                              | Local<br>Character and<br>Design Quality | p.28<br>Policy LP 1 | Change last sentence of LP 1 Part B as follows:<br>"In sensitive areas, <u>such as Conversation Areas and relevant</u><br><u>Character Areas as identified in the Village Planning Guidance</u><br><u>SPDs</u> , rigid and gloss finish blinds will generally be<br>unacceptable."   | To clarify reference to<br>sensitive areas.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate altering the<br>SA.   |
|                              | Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets         | p.33<br>Policy LP 3 | Paragraph A. "The Council will require development to conserve<br>and, where possible, take opportunities to make a positive<br>contribution to, the historic environment of the<br>borough. <u>Development proposals likely to adversely affect the<br/>significance of heritage assets will be assessed against the<br/>requirement to seek to avoid harm and the justification for the<br/>proposal. The significance (including the settings) of the<br/>borough's designated heritage assets, encompassing<br/>Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments as<br/>well as the Registered Historic Parks and Gardens will be<br/>conserved and enhanced by the following means:"</u> | The modifications reflect<br>changes as set out in<br>Statement of Common<br>Ground with Historic<br>England (7 September<br>2017) (LBR-LP-008), and<br>paras 132 and 133 of the<br>NPPF.<br>The strengthening of the<br>policy will continue to have<br>a very positive impact on |
|                              | Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets         | p.33<br>Policy LP 3 | <i>Point 2. "</i> Consent for demolition of Grade II Listed Buildings will<br>only be granted in exceptional circumstances and for Grade II*<br>and Grade I Listed Buildings in wholly exceptional<br>circumstances following a thorough assessment of their- the<br>justification for the proposal and the significance of the asset."  | borough centres, townscape<br>and some possible benefits<br>to the visitor economy.<br>The modifications will not<br>lead to a change in the S.A.  |
|                              | Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets         | p.34<br>Policy LP 3 | Insert 2 further points under Section A of Policy LP 3<br>"8. Protect and enhance the borough's registered Historic Parks<br>and Gardens by ensuring that proposals do not have an<br>adverse effect on their significance, including their setting<br>and/or views to and from the registered landscape.<br>9. Protect Scheduled Ancient Monuments, including their   | as Heritage Assets,<br>landscape and townscape,<br>Sustainability Objective 7,<br>are already strongly upheld.   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading             | Page/Para-<br>graph                       | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
|                              |  | <u>j</u> , c p t                          | settings, by ensuring proposals do not have an adverse impact<br>on their significance."  |   |
|                              | Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets         | p.34<br>Policy LP 3                       | Amend criterion agreed as part of Statement of Common<br>Ground as follows and update previous change in row above<br>(formerly referred to as PE/LP3/3):<br><u>9. Protect Scheduled Ancient Monuments, including their</u><br><u>settings, by ensuring proposals do not have an adverse impact</u><br><u>on their significance.</u>  |   |
|                              | Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets         | p.33<br>Policy LP 3<br>Paragraph<br>4.3.9 | Minor amendments to Part A as follows:<br>3. Resist the change of use of <u>Listed <u>Bb</u>uildings where <u>their</u><br/><u>significance would be harmed</u> this would materially harm their<br/><u>character and distinctiveness</u>, particularly where the current<br/>use contributes to the character of the surrounding area and to<br/>its sense of place.</u>   |   |
|                              |  |   | Amend the first sentence of paragraph 4.3.9 of the supporting text as follows:<br>4.3.9 Listed <u>Bb</u> uildings are best used for their original purpose and therefore the Council will resist the change of use of a <u>L</u> isted <u>Bb</u> uilding where this would <del>materially</del> harm its <u>significance in relation to heritage interest and</u> character <del>and distinctiveness</del>  |   |
|                              | Non-<br>Designated<br>Heritage<br>Assets | p.36<br>Policy LP 4                       | <ul> <li>Move the following policy text into the supporting text at a new paragraph after paragraph 4.4.3:</li> <li>4.4.4 Applicants will be required to: <ol> <li>retain the character of Buildings of Townscape Merit, war memorials and any other non-designated heritage assets;</li> <li>submit a Heritage Statement to assess the potential harm to, or loss of, the significance of the non-designated heritage asset, including from both direct and indirect effects;</li> <li>describe the significance of the non-designated heritage</li> </ol> </li> </ul> | To take a consistent<br>approach in LP3 and LP4.<br>This advisory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA. |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph                           | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                              |                              |   | <ul> <li>asset affected, including any contribution made by their setting; the extent of the relevant setting will be proportionate to the significance of the asset. Appropriate expertise should be used to assess a non-designated heritage asset; and</li> <li>4) retain or restore the structures, features and materials of the asset, which contribute to its architectural integrity and historic interest.</li> </ul>   |  |
|                              | Views and<br>Vistas          | p.37<br>Policy LP 5                           | In criterion 5., change as follows:<br>" <u>Seek improvements to views</u> , vistas, gaps and the skyline;<br>particularly where views or vistas have been obscured <del>will be</del><br>encouraged where appropriate."<br>Amend criterion 6. c. of policy LP 5 as follows:<br><u>"are affected by development on sites within the setting of, or</u><br><u>adjacent to, conservation areas and listed buildings."</u><br><u>"affect the setting of and from development on sites adjacent</u><br><u>to Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings."</u>   | As agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with<br>Historic England (7<br>September 2017) (LBR-LP-<br>008).<br>This amended text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.  |
| MM3 Housing                  |                              |   | to obliser vation Areas and Eisted Bandings.   |  |
|                              | New Housing                  | p.118<br>LP 34<br>Paragraph<br>9.1.4<br>9.1.1 | Amend para as follows:<br>This is reflected in the broad expected pattern of future<br>housing land supply set out in the policy <u>LP34.B which sets out</u><br>indicative ranges for the broad areas and are not to be<br>regarded as any lower or upper limit, as the overall target is to<br>be exceeded.<br>Add to para as follows:<br>The Council will, as necessary, undertake a full or<br>partial review of the Local Plan in light of the content of any<br>new adopted London Plan which will include an assessment of<br>its identified constraints and opportunities affecting housing<br>delivery. | To clarify that the approx.<br>no. of units set out for the<br>broad areas in LP34.B does<br>not set any upper limit. This<br>advisory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.<br>To clarify the approach to<br>future circumstances.<br>This advisory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA. |
|                              | Housing Mix                  | p.119   | Amend the last sentence in Part A to read:   | To clarify the assessment of   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph         | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|                              | and Standards                | LP 35<br>Paragraph<br>9.2.2 | The housing mix should be appropriate to the <u>site-specifics</u> of the location.   | housing mix is considered<br>on a site-by-site basis, and<br>signpost this sentence in the  |
|                              |                              |                             | Amend the last sentence in paragraph 9.2.2 to read:<br>T <u>o accord with LP35.A the appropriate mix should be</u><br>considered on a site by site basis having regard to   | supporting text which<br>provides clarification on the<br>assessment of mix to the<br>location. This advisory text<br>does not necessitate altering<br>the SA.  |
|                              | Housing Mix<br>and Standards | LP 35                       | Amend Part C:   | To ensure flexibility in  |
|                              |                              |                             | C. All new housing development, including conversions, are required to comply with the Council's should provide adequate external space standards. For houses a minimum total private space of 70sqm for 3 or more beds and 40sqm for 2 beds should be provided. To provide adequate private amenity space for flats, a minimum of 5sqm of private outdoor space for 1-2 person dwellings should be provided and an extra 1sqm should be provided for each additional occupant. Purpose built, well designed and positioned balconies or terraces are encouraged where new residential units are on upper floors, if they comply with policy LP8 Amenity and Living Conditions. Regard should be had to the Council's Residential Development Standards SPD as appropriate. | implementation.<br>The modified policy LP35<br>has been SA'd (see below).<br>The re-appraisal shows a<br>balance of impacts between<br>that of providing greater<br>numbers of housing and<br>providing amenity or green<br>space. The residential<br>standards are set out in the<br>SPD, to which developers<br>should have regard. |
|                              | Affordable<br>Housing        | p.121<br>LP 36              | Amend Part A.a to read:<br>a. 50% of all housing units will be affordable<br>housing, with this 50% will comprise a tenure mix of<br>40% of the affordable housing for rent and 10% of the<br>affordable intermediate housing.  | To provide clarity to LP36<br>A.a additional wording to<br>specify the application of the<br>tenure split.<br>This advisory policy text<br>does not necessitate a<br>further SA   |
|                              | Affordable<br>Housing        | p.123<br>LP 36<br>Paragraph | Amend Part B to read:<br>B. A contribution towards affordable housing will be expected<br>on all housing sites. The following requirements apply:   | To provide distinction in<br>LP36.B.a the exact<br>percentage sites are   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                         | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
|                              |  | 9.3.2               | <ul> <li>a. <u>on all former employment sites at least 50% on-site</u><br/><u>provision. Where possible, a greater proportion than 50%</u><br/><u>affordable housing on individual sites should be achieved.</u></li> <li><u>b.</u><u>on all other</u> sites capable of ten or more units gross-and<br/>all former employment sites, at least 50% on-site provision.</li> <li>Where possible, a greater proportion than 50% affordable<br/>housing on individual sites should be achieved.</li> <li><u>bc</u><u>on</u> sites below the threshold of 'capable of ten or more<br/>units gross', a financial contribution to the Affordable Housing<br/>Fund commensurate with the scale of development, in line with<br/>the sliding scales set out below and in the Affordable Housing<br/>SPD.</li> <li>Amend fourth sentence in paragraph 9.3.2 to read:<br/><u>A flowchart to follow, setting out the policy requirements and</u><br/><u>t</u>The mechanism for assessing the contributions from individual<br/>sites, is set out in the Affordable Housing SPD</li> </ul> | expected to deliver and<br>requirements for on-site<br>provision, along with a<br>reference to the flowchart in<br>the Affordable Housing SPD<br>at paragraph 9.3.2.<br>This advisory policy text<br>does not necessitate a<br>further SA   |
|                              | Affordable<br>Housing                                | p.122<br>LP 36      | <ul> <li>Amend Part C to read:</li> <li>C. <u>In accordance with A and B, <del>T</del>t</u>he Council will seek the maximum reasonable amount of affordable housing when negotiating on individual private residential and mixed-use schemes.</li> <li>Amend Part D to read:</li> <li>D. Where a reduction to an affordable housing contribution is sought <u>from the requirements in A and B</u> on economic viability grounds</li> </ul>  | To demonstrate that C is<br>offering additional guidance<br>to assist in the<br>implementation of Parts A<br>and B and does not set any<br>different policy requirement,<br>and when D would apply.<br>This is in accordance with<br>London Plan Policy 3.12.<br>This advisory policy text<br>does not necessitate a<br>further SA. |
|                              | Infill,<br>Backland and<br>Backgarden<br>Development | p.129<br>LP 39      | Amend Part A criteria (10) to read:<br>10. Result in no <u>unacceptable</u> adverse impact on neighbours<br>in terms of visual impact, noise or light from vehicular access<br>or car parking.   | To clarify how Part B is<br>applied on backgarden<br>developments (along with<br>Part A) and the potential  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
|                              |                              |                     | Amend Part B third sentence to read:<br>In exceptional some cases where it is considered that a limited<br>scale of backgarden development may be <u>considered</u><br>acceptable it should not have a significantly adverse impact<br><del>upon</del> if it complies with the factors set out in <u>A</u> above.<br>Development on backgarden sites must be more intimate in<br>scale and lower than frontage properties.   | impacts assessed.<br>This reconsidered policy text<br>does not necessitate a<br>further SA.   |
| MM4 Building<br>Heights      |                              |                     |  |   |
|                              | Building<br>Heights          | p.31<br>Policy LP 2 | "The Council will require new buildings, including extensions<br>and redevelopment of existing buildings, to respect and<br>strengthen the setting of the borough's valued townscapes and<br>landscapes, through appropriate building heights, by the<br>following means"  | To clarify that if a<br>redevelopment were<br>proposed, improvements to<br>the existing architectural<br>design quality would be<br>sought, as well as public<br>realm benefits and the<br>impact on the character and<br>quality of the area would be<br>assessed. This amended<br>policy text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA |
|                              | Building<br>Heights          | p.31<br>Policy LP 2 | Amend criterion 1 as follows:<br>1. require buildings to make a positive contribution towards the<br>local character, townscape and skyline, generally reflecting the<br>prevailing building heights within the vicinity; proposals that<br><u>are taller than the surrounding townscape have to be of high</u><br><u>architectural design quality and standards, deliver public realm</u><br><u>benefits and have a wholly positive impact on the character</u><br><u>and quality of the area;</u><br>Delete criterion 6: | To clarify relationship<br>between criteria 1 and 6.<br>This amended policy text<br>does not necessitate altering<br>the SA.  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading        | Page/Para-<br>graph        | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|
|                              |                                     |                            | <ul> <li>5. refrain from using height to express and create local landmarks; <u>and</u></li> <li>6. resist buildings that are taller than the surrounding townscape other than in exceptional circumstances, such as where the development is of high architectural design quality and standards, delivers public realm benefits and has a wholly positive impact on the character and quality of the area; and 7.6. require full planning applications for any building that exceeds the prevailing building height within the wider context and setting.</li> </ul> |   |
| MM5 Amenity                  |                                     |                            |   |   |
|                              | Amenity and<br>Living<br>Conditions | P41. Policy<br>LP 8        | Delete the following text from policy:<br>ensure there is a minimum distance of 20 metres between<br>main facing windows of habitable rooms (this includes living<br>rooms, bedrooms and kitchens with a floor area of 13sqm or<br>more) to preserve the privacy of existing properties affected by<br>the new development;   | To ensure flexibility and<br>effectiveness.<br>A further SA of LP8 has<br>been conducted (see below)  |
|                              | Amenity and<br>Living<br>Conditions | p.41<br>Policy LP 8        | Replace "expected to comply with" with "expected to have regard to the guidance set out within the Council's"   | To indicate appropriately<br>advice and guidance set out<br>in SPD, as envisaged by   |
|                              | Amenity and<br>Living               | Policy LP 8                | Amend paragraph 4.8.8 to read:  | national policy.<br>To clarify the references to  |
|                              | Conditions                          | p.42<br>Paragraph<br>4.8.8 | Whilst there will be some impact from any new development,<br>the test is one of harm in relation to the impact on habitable<br>rooms, which includes all separate living rooms and bedrooms,<br>plus kitchens with a floor area of 13sqm or more. The minimum<br>distance <u>guideline</u> of 20 metres between habitable rooms<br>within residential development is for privacy reasons; a greater<br>distance may be required for other reasons, or a lesser   | minimum distances are a<br>reasonable starting point in<br>the borough context, to<br>provide clear guidance for<br>developers and existing<br>occupants, while recognising<br>an assessment will be made |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)    | Policy Section<br>or heading   | Page/Para-<br>graph  | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
|                                 |  |                      | distance may be acceptable in some circumstances. <u>These</u><br><u>numerical guidelines should be assessed on a case by case</u><br><u>basis, since privacy is only one of many factors in site layout</u><br><u>design; where the established pattern of development in the</u><br><u>area (layout and height) may favour lesser distances.</u> The<br>distance of 20 metres is generally accepted as the distance that<br>will not result in unreasonable overlooking. Where principal<br>windows face a wall that contains no windows or those that are<br>occluded (e.g. bathrooms), separation distances can be<br>reduced to 13.5 metres. <u>Where the impact of a building is on</u><br><u>another within the same development site, measures can also</u><br><u>be applied to minimise overlooking, such as splays, angles of</u><br><u>buildings, obscure glazing etc. A Supporting Planning</u><br><u>Statement should set out justification for a reduction in these</u><br><u>distances.</u> | on a case by case basis.<br>The further SA of the<br>modified Policy LP8 shows<br>many positive benefits for<br>well-being, cohesive<br>communities and<br>neighbourliness.  |
| MM6<br>Environmental<br>Matters |  |                      |  |  |
|                                 | Local<br>Environmental<br>Impacts,<br>Pollution and<br>Land<br>Contamination | p.44<br>Policy LP 10 | Delete 'where practicable' from LP 10, Part B, and insert<br>secure'at least':<br>"Developers should <del>commit to</del> <u>secure</u> <u>at least</u> 'Emissions<br>Neutral' development <del>where practicable</del> ."   | This change indicates the<br>importance of maintaining<br>air quality. It reflects a<br>requirement for<br>development to be<br>emissions neutral.   |
|                                 |  |                      | Further to discussions in Hearing Session 5, to bring the policy<br>requirement in line with Policy 7.14 of the London Plan, which states<br>that development proposals should "be at least 'air quality neutral' and<br>not lead to further deterioration of existing poor air quality…".   | It does not have any<br>significant impacts on the<br>findings of the previous SA<br>Report (Publication<br>Version); however, the<br>commentary on the SA<br>matrix on the assessment of<br>the effect of LP10 has been |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading   | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|--|
|                              |  |                     |  | slightly amended.  |
|                              | Local<br>Environmental<br>Impacts,<br>Pollution and<br>Land<br>Contamination | p.45<br>Para 4.10.5 | Insert within paragraph 4.10.5 the following:<br>"The whole of the borough has been declared as an Air Quality<br>Management Area (AQMA) and as such any new development<br>and its impact upon air quality must be considered very<br>carefully. <u>Strict mitigation will be required for any</u><br><u>developments proposed within or adjacent to 'Air Quality Focus</u><br><u>Areas'. An 'Air Quality Focus Area' is a location that has been</u><br>identified as having high levels of pollution (i.e. exceeding the<br><u>EU annual mean limit value for nitrogen dioxide) and human</u><br><u>exposure. Air Quality Focus Areas are designated by the</u><br><u>Greater London Authority.</u> The Council will consider the impact<br>of introducing new developments to areas already subject to<br>poor air quality, and the impact on the new occupiers of that<br>development, especially in sensitive uses such as schools."<br><i>Further to discussions in Hearing Session 5, to ensure that the Air</i><br><i>Quality Focus Areas, as designated by the Greater London Authority,</i><br><i>and updated on a regular basis, are referred to within the supporting</i><br><i>text.</i> | This refers to the GLA<br>designated Air Quality Focus<br>Areas in the supporting text<br>and reinforces the<br>requirements for strict<br>mitigation in or adjacent to<br>them.<br>It does not have any<br>significant impacts on the<br>findings of the previous SA<br>Report (Publication<br>Version); <b>no amendment</b><br>will be required. |
|                              | Local<br>Environmental<br>Impacts,<br>Pollution and<br>Land<br>Contamination | p.45<br>Policy LP10 | Amend last sentence of LP 10 as follows:<br><u>Where applicable and considered necessary</u> , <u>t</u> The<br>Council <u>will may</u> seek a <u>bespoke</u> charge <u>specific to the proposal</u><br>to cover the cost of monitoring the CMS <u>;-a discount may be</u><br><u>applied if the applicant/developer uses the Council's Building</u><br><u>Control services</u> .<br>This was added further to discussions in Hearing Session 5, to ensure<br>that monitoring fees are specific to a proposal and in line with CIL<br>regulations.   | It does not have any<br>significant impacts on the<br>findings of the previous SA<br>Report (Publication<br>Version); <b>no amendment</b><br>will be required.   |
| MM7 Green<br>Infrastructure  |  |                     |  |  |
|                              | Green  | p.52                | Add a cross reference to Chapter 4 after the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of   | As agreed in Statement of  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
|                              | Infrastructure               | paragraph<br>5.1.1  | paragraph 5.1.1 as follows:<br><u>"The need to protect the historic significance of the borough's</u><br><u>exceptional landscapes is set out in Chapter 4: Local Character</u><br><u>and Design."</u>   | Common Ground with<br>Historic England (7<br>September 2017) (LBR-LP-<br>008). This explanatory text<br>does not necessitate a<br>further SA.  |
|                              | Green<br>Infrastructure      | p.55<br>Policy LP13 | <ul> <li>Omit the criteria of Part B of LP13.</li> <li>B. It will be recognised that there may be exceptional cases where inappropriate development, such as small scale structures <u>for essential utility infrastructure</u>, may be acceptable.<del>, but only if it:</del> <ol> <li>Does not harm the character and openness of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land; and</li> <li>Is linked to the functional use of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land, or supports outdoor open space uses; or</li> <li>Is for essential utility infrastructure and facilities for which it needs to be demonstrated that no alternative locations are available and that they do not have any adverse impacts on the character and openness of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open</li> </ol> </li> </ul> | To clarify the policy and to<br>ensure consistency with<br>national policy.<br>A further SA of LP13 has<br>been conducted in light of<br>the amended wording. The<br>policy remains favourably<br>sustainable with mostly<br>positive environmental and<br>social impacts. |
|                              |                              | p.56<br>Para 5.2.8  | Amend para to read:<br>5.2.8 Local Green Space, as to be identified on the Policies<br>Map, is green or open space which has been demonstrated to<br>have special qualities and hold particular significance and value<br>to the local community which it serves. <u>New areas of Local</u><br><u>Green Space designation can only be identified when a plan is</u><br><u>being prepared or reviewed.</u>  | To ensure clarity and<br>effectiveness consistent with<br>national policy.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate a further SA.  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                     | Page/Para-<br>graph                      | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
|                              |  | 5.2.10                                   | Delete last three bullet points of para 5.2.10   |   |
| MM 8 Open<br>Space           |  |  |  |   |
|                              | Other Open<br>Land of<br>Townscape<br>Importance | p.57<br>Paragraph<br>5.3.1               | Amend paragraph 5.3.1 as follows:<br>"The purpose of this policy is to safeguard open land of local<br>importance and ensure that it is not lost to other uses without<br>good cause. Areas designated as Other Open Land of<br>Townscape Importance (OOLTI) form an important part of the<br>multi-functional network of Green Infrastructure and they can<br>include public and private sports grounds, school playing fields,<br>cemeteries, allotments, private gardens, areas of vegetation<br>such as street verges and mature trees. <u>New areas for OOLTI</u><br><u>designation can only be identified when a plan is being</u><br><u>prepared or reviewed.</u> The <u>existing</u> designated areas are shown<br>on the <del>Proposals</del> <u>Policies</u> Map." | To confirm the process for<br>new OOLTI designations is<br>through the Local Plan<br>process.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate a further SA                                       |
|                              | Other Open<br>Land of<br>Townscape<br>Importance | p.57<br>Paragraphs<br>5.3.3 and<br>5.3.5 | Delete paragraphs 5.3.3 and 5.3.5 of the supporting text of the OOLTI policy as follows:<br>5.3.3 This policy can also apply to other open or natural areas that are not designated, but which are considered to be of local value, and therefore merit protection.<br>5.3.5 This policy can also apply to other open or natural areas that are not designated, but which are considered to be of local value, and therefore merit protection.   | To confirm the process for<br>new OOLTI designations is<br>through the Local Plan<br>process.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate a further SA.                                      |
|                              | Other Open<br>Land of<br>Townscape<br>Importance | p.57<br>Paragraph<br>5.3.4               | <ul> <li>Add to the last bullet point the following:</li> <li>Value for biodiversity and nature conservation <u>and meets</u> <u>one of the above criteria</u>.</li> </ul>   | To clarify the criteria in<br>relation to biodiversity and<br>nature conservation is not a<br>sole criterion in defining<br>OOLTI.<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate a further SA. |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading              | Page/Para-<br>graph     | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| MM9 River<br>Corridors       |   |                         |   |   |
|                              | River<br>Corridors                        | p.64<br>Policy LP<br>18 | The following change is proposed to LP18:<br>- Public Access C. c. to read as follows: "Provide new public<br>access to the riverside and the foreshore where possible, and<br>maintain existing points of access to the foreshore subject to<br>health and safety considerations. There is an expectation that<br>all major development proposals adjacent to the borough's<br>rivers shall provide public access to the riverside and<br>foreshore."  | To address the PLA's<br>concern in relation to safety,<br>as agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with Port<br>of London Authority (31<br>August 2017) (LBR-LP-007).<br>The SA of the amended<br>Policy LP18, has more<br>positive impacts on<br>sustainability and is |
|                              | River<br>Corridors                        | p.64<br>Policy LP<br>18 | The Council to consider adding a new criterion C.d. to read as follows: <u>"Provide riparian life-saving equipment where required and necessary."</u>   | beneficial in all areas;<br>environmental social and<br>economic.   |
| MM10 Climate<br>Change       |   |                         |   |   |
|                              | Flood Risk and<br>Sustainable<br>Drainage | p.73<br>Policy LP21     | Section B Basements and subterranean developments, 2 <sup>nd</sup> row<br>of table:<br>"In areas of Extreme, Significant and Moderate Breach Hazard<br>(as set out in the Council's SFRA):<br>New basements: restricted to Less Vulnerable / Water<br>Compatible use only."<br>add after – " 'More Vulnerable' uses will only be considered if a<br>site-specific Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the risk<br>to life can be managed. <u>Bedrooms at basement level will not be<br/>permitted."</u><br>- add after "'Highly Vulnerable' <u>such as self-contained</u><br><u>basements/bedrooms</u> uses will not be permitted." | To ensure the policy clearly<br>reflects the evidence and<br>recommendations as set out<br>in the Council's SFRA and/or<br>in national planning policy<br>and guidance<br>A further SA of LP21 has<br>been conducted (see<br>below).                                      |
|                              | Flood Risk and<br>Sustainable<br>Drainage | P.73<br>Policy LP21     | Section B. Basements and subterranean developments, 2 <sup>nd</sup> row of table, amend as follows:<br>"In areas of Low or No breach Hazard (as set out in the  | 1   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)                      | Policy Section<br>or heading              | Page/Para-<br>graph                                      | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|---|---|--|--|---|
|   | Flood Risk and<br>Sustainable<br>Drainage | p.73<br>Policy LP21                                      | <ul> <li>Council's SFRA):</li> <li>New basements: if the Exception Test (where applicable) is passed, basements may be permitted for residential use where they are not self-contained or used for bedrooms.</li> <li>Section B. Basements and subterranean developments, 3<sup>rd</sup> row of table concerning Flood Zone 2, amend as follows:</li> <li>New basements: if the Exception Test (where applicable) is passed, basements may be permitted for residential use</li> </ul> | For clarity and to reflect national policy guidance.  |
|   | Flood Risk and<br>Sustainable<br>Drainage | p.75<br>Flood Risk<br>Assessments<br>paragraph:<br>6.2.8 | where they are <u>not</u> self-contained or used for bedrooms.<br>Add after paragraph 6.2.8: <u>"All new development needs to take</u><br><u>account of the latest climate change allowances. This should be</u><br><u>included as part of the Flood Risk Assessment process. This will</u><br><u>help minimise vulnerability and provide resilience to flooding in</u><br><u>the future."</u>   | To ensure the policy clearly<br>reflects the evidence and<br>recommendations as set out<br>in the Council's SFRA and/or<br>in national planning policy<br>and guidance  |
|   | Flood Risk and<br>Sustainable<br>Drainage | p.72<br>Policy LP<br>21                                  | Amend second paragraph of LP 21 as follows:<br>In <u>Flood Zones 2 and 3 areas at risk of flooding</u> , all proposals<br>on sites of 10 dwellings or more or 1000sqm of non-residential<br>development or more, or on any other proposal where safe<br>access/egress cannot be achieved, a Flood Emergency Plan<br>must be submitted."  | and guidance.<br>To clarify that this<br>requirement applies in Flood<br>Zones 2 and 3.<br>The further SA of the<br>modified policy LP21 shows<br>that this policy should make<br>homes and communities<br>more resistant &/or resilient<br>to flooding now and in the<br>future taking into account<br>the effects of climate<br>change. |
| MM11<br>Sustainable<br>design and<br>construction |   |  |  |   |
|   | Sustainable<br>design and<br>construction | p.78<br>Policy LP22                                      | Replace "to comply with" with "to complete" in Policy LP 22<br>Part A. point 1 to read: "Development of 1 dwelling unit or<br>more, or 100sqm or more of non-residential floor space   | For clarity and to reflect<br>S38(6) of the Town and<br>Country Planning Act 1990   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading              | Page/Para-<br>graph        | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
|                              |   |                            | (including extensions) will be required to comply with to<br><u>complete</u> the Sustainable Construction Checklist SPD. A<br>completed Checklist has to be submitted as part of the<br>planning application.  | (as amended).<br>The modifications add clarity<br>and consistency, and reflect<br>the requirements set out in  |
|                              | Sustainable<br>design and<br>construction | p.79<br>Policy LP22        | Replace "to comply with" with "to complete and submit" in<br>Policy LP 22 Part E. 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "Householder<br>extensions and other development proposals that do not meet<br>the thresholds set out in this policy are encouraged to<br>comply with to complete and submit the Sustainable<br>Construction Checklist SPD as far as possible, and<br>opportunities for micro-generation of renewable energy will be<br>supported in line with other policies in this Plan." | the table of paragraph<br>6.3.24. Consequently the<br>amendments do not<br>necessitate a further SA.   |
|                              | Sustainable<br>design and<br>construction | p.79<br>Policy LP22        | Amend Part B criterion 3 to read:<br>3. All major non-residential buildings <u>over 100sqm</u> should<br>achieve a 35% reduction. From 2019 all major non-residential<br>buildings should achieve zero carbon standards in line with<br>London Plan policy.  |  |
| MM12 Waste<br>Management     |   |                            |  |  |
|                              | Waste<br>management                       | p.85<br>Policy LP24        | Amend Policy LP24 point 1 as follows: "All developments,<br>including conversions and changes of use are required to<br>provide adequate refuse and recycling storage space and<br>facilities, which allows for ease of collection and which<br>residents and occupiers can easily access, in line with<br>the <u>guidance and advice set out in</u> the Council's SPD on Refuse<br>and Recycling Storage Requirements."   | For clarity and to reflect<br>S38(6) of the Town and<br>Country Planning Act 1990<br>(as amended)<br>To clarify the approach to<br>existing waste sites. |
|                              | Waste<br>management                       | p.86<br>Paragraph<br>6.5.3 | Amend paragraph 6.5.3 to read: "This policy ensures that all development proposals provide adequate refuse and recycling storage space and facilities to serve new developments, in line with <u>the guidance and advice set out in</u> the Council's SPD on Refuse and Recycling Storage Requirements   | As existing operations may<br>cease and new waste sites<br>may be permitted, it has<br>been agreed with the other<br>WLWP authorities to include         |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph       | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
|                              | Waste<br>management          | p.86                      | Add new paragraph after paragraph 6.5.6 as follows:<br><u>The existing waste management sites as set out in Appendix 2</u><br><u>of the West London Waste Plan were identified at a snapshot in</u><br><u>time. This list can be revised with new waste sites, permissions</u><br><u>and licences granted by the Council or Environment Agency.</u><br><u>The Council carries out regular monitoring of existing waste</u><br><u>sites, the results of which, including maps of operational sites,</u><br><u>are published as part of the Authority's Monitoring Report.</u> | updates to existing waste<br>management sites within<br>the Authority's Monitoring<br>Report (AMR), which is<br>available<br>at <u>https://www.richmond.go</u><br><u>v.uk/authority_monitoring_r</u><br><u>eport,</u> and which identifies<br>on a regular basis if any site<br>is no longer in operational<br>use for waste management<br>purposes. In addition, the<br>AMR includes maps to clarify<br>the current extent of each<br>operational site where this is<br>known. This explanatory<br>text does not necessitate<br>altering the SA |
| MM13<br>Borough<br>Centres   |                              |                           |  |  |
|                              | Development<br>in Centres    | p.88<br>Policy LP25       | Add comma to LP 25.A.3 to read:<br>For retail developments, including extensions, of over<br>500sqm gross, the Council will require a Retail Impact<br>Assessment  | To clarify that all<br>developments over 500sqm<br>will need a RIA, not just<br>extensions.<br>This advisory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA  |
|                              | Retail<br>Frontages          | p.97<br>Policy<br>LP 26 F | <ul> <li>FWhere a proposal involves a change of use not supported by policy, the Council will require satisfactory evidence of full and proper marketing_of the site for at least 2 years. The applicant will <u>be expected need</u> to undertake marketing in line with the requirements set out in Appendix 5.</li> <li>3The Council will require satisfactory evidence of full and</li> </ul>  | To ensure effective<br>implementation.<br>The Council has considered<br>the modifications and<br>referral to Appendix 5 in<br>LP26 and LP27 and  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)      | Policy Section<br>or heading              | Page/Para-<br>graph          | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|
|                                   |   | P. 99<br>Policy<br>LP 27 A.3 | proper marketing for a minimum of 2 years where a proposal does not meet the above criteria. The applicant will <u>be</u> expected need to undertake marketing in line with the requirements set out in Appendix 5.   | concluded that no further<br>SA of the policies is<br>required.   |
|                                   |   | Policy<br>LP 27 B            | B. The Council will resist the loss of public houses. Before accepting the loss of any public house the Council requires satisfactory evidence of full and proper marketing normally for at least 2 years for a full range of appropriate uses (see policy LP 28 Social Infrastructure). The applicant will <u>be</u> expected need to undertake marketing in line with the requirements set out in Appendix 5.     |   |
| MM14<br>Community<br>Facilities   |   |                              |   |   |
|                                   | Social and<br>Community<br>Infrastructure | p. 104<br>Policy LP28        | Add a new paragraph after 8.1.8 to read:<br><u>The Council's Indoor Sports Facility Needs Assessment</u><br><u>highlights the need for new facilities within the borough. Where</u><br><u>possible and feasible, such provision should be provided on-site</u><br><u>in line with the Council's Indoor Sports Facility Needs</u><br><u>Assessment.</u>  | To address Sport England's<br>comments to deal specifically<br>with new indoor sports facilities<br>and the Needs Assessment, and<br>specifically with the potential<br>loss of such facilities.        |
|                                   |   |                              | Add a new paragraph after 8.1.10 to read:<br><u>Proposals that could result in the loss of an existing indoor</u><br><u>sport facility will also be assessed against the Council's Indoor</u><br><u>Sports Facility Needs Assessment and the criteria as set out in</u><br><u>the NPPF. Early engagement with Sport England is encouraged</u><br><u>where a proposal affects an existing indoor sport facility.</u> | A further SA of LP28 (see<br>below) has been conducted<br>showing largely positive impacts<br>through protecting existing uses<br>and considering alternative<br>sporting, social or community<br>uses. |
| MM15<br>Education and<br>Training |   |                              |   |   |
| <u> </u>                          | Education and                             | p.107                        | Add after first sentence:   | To clarify the requirement  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)     | Policy Section<br>or heading  | Page/Para-<br>graph   | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
|                                  | Training –<br>Local<br>Employment<br>Agreements<br>Education and<br>Training –<br>Local<br>Employment<br>Agreements | LP 29<br>Paragraph<br>8.2.14<br>p.107<br>LP 29<br>Paragraph<br>8.2.15 | <ul> <li>Securing the skills to support residents into sustainable<br/>employment is a key priority for the Council to support the<br/>local economy, to raise the bar further for those with higher<br/>levels of skills than London averages and make sure some<br/>residents with lower skills are not missing out economically</li> <li>Add to end of paragraph 8.2.15:</li> <li> Such an agreement can make use of existing schemes, such<br/>as Way2Work, Construction Training Initiative, schemes run by<br/>Registered Providers and developers, provided these manage<br/>the development related job opportunities.</li> <li>The details of the LEA will be subject to negotiation, tailored to<br/>site specific circumstances and proportionate to the scale of<br/>development proposed, and require developers to use<br/>reasonable endeavours to incorporate in their relevant<br/>contracts. The contents are expected to cover: <ul> <li>Forecasting of job opportunities</li> <li>Notification of job vacancies</li> <li>Local labour target</li> <li>Jobs brokerage and skills training</li> <li>Apprenticeships and work experience</li> <li>Use of local suppliers</li> <li>Delivery of specific LEA targets.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A developer can set out justification as to why it may not be<br/>possible to deliver any of the requirements highlighted.<br/>Further guidance to assist implementation will be provided in a<br/>forthcoming SPD.</li> </ul> | for LEAs is considered<br>necessary, directly related,<br>and fairly related in scale<br>and kind to the<br>development. A LEA<br>provides the means to<br>manage the development<br>related job opportunities<br>arising from the proposal,<br>and will cover how the<br>applicant will work with the<br>Council in order to maximise<br>employment and training<br>opportunities for local<br>people and businesses.<br>To guide the practicalities<br>for implementation of the<br>requirement for LEAs, ahead<br>of further SPG guidance.<br>The advisory text changes<br>have led to a further SA of<br>the policy (see below),<br>which shows added social<br>benefits to residents from<br>the use of LEAs and a more<br>positive policy in terms of |
| MM16 Health<br>and Well<br>Being |   |   |  | SA objectives.  |
|                                  | Social and<br>Community   | p.104<br>LP 28  | Amend paragraph 8.1.10 point (1):<br>Where the application relates to the loss of a health facility,   | To clarify clearly that<br>Policies LP28 and LP30 will  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                  | Page/Para-<br>graph                   | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|                              | Infrastructure<br>and Health<br>and Wellbeing | Paragraph<br>8.1.10                   | the requirements of LP 30 will also need to be addressed and<br>written agreement from the Richmond Clinical Commissioning<br>Group, NHS England or other relevant health body must be<br>provided   | be considered for proposals<br>involving the loss of any<br>health facilities.<br>This clarification in the text   |
|                              |   | p.111<br>LP 30<br>Paragraph<br>8.3.17 | Add to end of paragraph 8.3.17 to read:<br>Applications for new or loss of health and social care<br>facilities will be considered in line with the criteria of policy LP<br>28 in 8.1 'Social and Community Infrastructure' <u>and paragraph</u><br><u>8.1.10 sets out that written agreement of the relevant health</u><br><u>body must be provided to assess the loss of any existing health</u><br><u>facilities</u> . | does not necessitate further<br>SA of LP30.  |
|                              | Health and<br>Wellbeing                       | p.108<br>Policy LP30                  | add new point 7. within main policy section A under point 6. to read as follows: "7. Active Design which encourages wellbeing and greater physical movement as part of everyday routines."   | To be consistent with the<br>health and wellbeing<br>objectives of the NPPF. No<br>further SA of the Policy is<br>required.  |
|                              | Health and<br>Wellbeing                       | P.108<br>Policy LP<br>30              | Amend LP 30 B. bullet point 2:<br>The Council will <u>manage</u> refuse proposals for new fast food<br>takeaways (A5 uses) located within 400 metres of the<br>boundaries of a primary or secondary school in order to<br><u>promote the availability of healthy</u> restrict the availability of  | To clarify the objectives of<br>the Council and to be<br>justified with regard to the<br>available evidence.   |
|                              |   | 8.3.13/14/15                          | unhealthy-foods.<br>Amend para 8.3.13/14/15<br>8.3.13 There is an emerging obesity issue in the borough,<br>particularly in children. One established method of<br>addressing obesity is by restricting access to unhealthy foods,   | The changes concern access<br>to fast food takeaways, one<br>item within a cross-cutting,<br>comprehensive policy for<br>health and well-being. The<br>policy continues to promote<br>a pattern of land uses and |
|                              |   |                                       | particularly fast food takeaways. Childhood<br>obesity amongst school age children is a concern as evidence<br>suggests that obese children are more likely to<br>be obese adults and are at an increased risk of developing   | development that supports<br>a healthy lifestyle. No<br>further SA of the Policy is<br>required.   |

| (Where given) | or heading | graph  |  |   |
|---------------|------------|--------|--|---|
|               |            |        | further health difficulties. Access to fast food<br>takeaways <del>detracts from</del> <u>can influence</u> the ability <u>of individuals</u><br>to adopt healthy lifestyles and <u>have the potential to</u><br><u>undermine</u> <del>undermines</del> healthy eating initiatives that may be in<br>place at the school. Therefore this policy focuses particularly on<br><u>managing</u> <del>restricting</del> access to fast food take <u>a</u> ways in close<br>proximity to schools.   |   |
|               |            |        | 8.3.14 The Council will refuse <u>consider</u> proposals for fast food<br>takeaways located within 400 metres of the boundaries<br>of a primary or secondary school <u>with regard to the nature of</u><br><u>the proposal, its contribution to healthy food availability and its</u><br><u>relationship to the existing provision of A5 outlets</u> . 400m is a<br>5-10 minute walk and it is suggested that this is the maximum<br>distance that students would walk to and back from in their<br>lunch break. Outside of these 400m ' <del>restriction</del> management'<br>zones, applications for fast food takeaways will be considered<br>in line with other policies in <del>this</del> <u>the development</u> plan. |   |
|               |            |        | 8.3.15 The following map shows the existing schools within the borough (as of May 2016) and the associated 400 metre buffer area, which are the 'restriction management' zones for fast food takeaways: [Map]  |   |
| MM17 Open     |            | 7.2.10 | Amend para 7.2.10<br>In addition to the areas to subject to restrictions listed in the<br>table on 'Use Class to be restricted' as<br>set out in the policy above, the Council will <u>manage</u> <del>not</del><br><del>permit development of</del> new fast food takeaways (A5 uses)<br>located within 400 metres of the boundaries of a primary or<br>secondary school. This is in order to <del>restrict</del> <u>support the</u><br><u>promotion</u> <del>the availability</del> of <del>un</del> healthy foods to school-age<br>children   | To clarify the objectives of<br>the Council and to be<br>justified with regard to the<br>available evidence.<br>This is a cross reference<br>found in the text of Retail<br>Frontages Policy LP26. No<br>further SA is necessary. |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                                    | Page/Para-<br>graph   | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| Space and<br>Recreation      |   |                       |   |   |
|                              | Public Open<br>Space, Play<br>Space, Sport<br>and<br>Recreation | p. 111<br>Policy LP31 | Amend first sentence of Part B as follows:<br>"B. The Council will require all major development proposals in<br>the borough to meet the Public Open Space, and play space,<br>and playing fields and ancillary sport facilities needs arising out<br>of the development by requiring the following:"   | To ensure the needs as set<br>out in the borough's Playing<br>Pitch Strategy are<br>addressed as agreed in<br>Statement of Common<br>Ground with Sport England  |
|                              | Public Open<br>Space, Play<br>Space, Sport<br>and<br>Recreation | p. 111<br>Policy LP31 | Add new criterion 3 of Part B as follows:<br><u>"3. Playing fields and sport facilities:</u><br><u>Applicants should assess the need and feasibility for on-site</u><br><u>provision of new playing fields and ancillary sport facilities in</u><br><u>line with the borough's Playing Pitch Strategy."</u>   | <ul><li>(21 September 2017) (LBR-<br/>LP-024).</li><li>This policy seeks to protect all<br/>public and private sports<br/>grounds including playing fields,</li></ul>   |
|                              | Public Open<br>Space, Play<br>Space, Sport<br>and<br>Recreation | p. 111<br>Policy LP31 | Amend criterion 3 of Part B as follows:<br>34. Where on-site provision of Public Open Space or play<br>space or new playing fields and ancillary facilities is not feasible<br>or practicable, the Council will expect existing surrounding<br>facilities and spaces to be improved and made more accessible<br>to the users and occupiers of the new development through,<br>for example, improved walking and cycling links or<br>enhancements of play space or existing playing fields and<br>associated sport facilities. Financial contributions will be<br>required to either fund off-site provision, or improvements and<br>enhancements of existing facilities, including access<br>arrangements, to mitigate the impacts of new development." | playing pitches, recreational<br>areas, courts and greens as well<br>as private open space in<br>recreational use. It also seeks to<br>maintain, and where possible<br>improve, the children's and<br>young people's play facilities in<br>the borough and the quality and<br>provision of Public Open Space,<br>particularly in areas identified as<br>being deficient. The amended<br>policy text emphasises the<br>borough's playing pitch strategy<br>but does not add to the already<br>positive impacts on health &<br>well-being objectives in the<br>sustainability appraisal.<br>Therefore no further SA was<br>carried out. |
| MM 18<br>Employment          |   |                       |   |   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading       | Page/Para-<br>graph          | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
|                              | Employment<br>and local<br>economy | p.132<br>LP 40               | Rewording of criterion 4 to read as follows:<br>4. <u>In exceptional circumstances</u> , <u>Mm</u> ixed use development<br>proposals <u>which come forward for specific employment sites</u><br>should retain, and where possible enhance, the level of existing<br>employment floorspace. The inclusion of residential use within<br>mixed use schemes will not be appropriate where it would <del>be</del><br>incompatible with, or <u>adversely</u> impact on, the continued<br>operation of other established employment uses within that site<br>or on neighbouring sites. | To provide clarity that this<br>relates to specific employment<br>sites where under exceptional<br>circumstances mixed use<br>development may be required<br>for viability purposes.<br>This clarification in the<br>policy text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA. |
| MM 19 Offices                |                                    |                              |   |   |
|                              | Offices                            | p.133<br>Policy LP41         | Amend 2b of Part A to read as follows:<br>2b) Mixed use including other employment generating or<br>community uses <del>. Such sites should</del> <u>and residential which</u><br>maximise <u>s</u> the amount of affordable housing provided as part<br>of the mix;  | To clarify the<br>implementation in relation<br>to mixed use development<br>and affordable office space<br>and for reasons of<br>effectiveness.   |
|                              |                                    | P. 133<br>LP 41              | C. In the designated Key Office Areas, as shown on<br>the <del>Proposals</del> Policies Map, net loss of office floorspace will not<br>be permitted. Any development proposals for new employment<br>or mixed use floorspace <u>should</u> <del>will be required to</del> contribute to<br>a net increase in office floorspace <u>where feasible</u> . Criteria 1 and<br>2 in A (above) do not apply to the Key Office Areas.   | This clarification in the policy text does not necessitate altering the SA.   |
|                              | Offices                            | p.134<br>LP 41               | Amend criterion 5 of Part D to read as follows:<br>5. The Council will require the provision of affordable office<br>space within all major developments with over 1000sqm of<br>office space; this will be secured through Planning<br>Obligations in line with the Planning Obligations SPD.  |   |
|                              | Offices                            | p.135<br>paragraph<br>10.2.6 | Add a first sentence and amend the supporting text at<br>paragraph 10.2.6 to read as follows:<br>" <u>The types of office buildings most at risk from conversion have</u><br><u>relatively small floorplates.</u> In order to maximise the choice<br>of <del>resources and maintain a stock of cheaper</del>  | To provide further necessary<br>clarity on low cost office<br>space and on affordable<br>workspace in the written<br>justification.   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                | Page/Para-<br>graph           | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
|                              |   |                               | accommodation lower cost office stock to provide cheaper<br>accommodation to the borough's high percentage of Small and<br>Medium Enterprises (SMEs), the Council seeks to discourage<br>the unnecessary redevelopment of <u>these</u> premises. "  | This clarification in the text<br>does not necessitate altering<br>the SA.   |
|                              | Offices                                     | p.136<br>paragraph<br>10.2.12 | Amend from penultimate sentence of paragraph 10.2.12<br>onwards to read as follows:<br>" Affordable workspace is considered to have a rent and<br>service charge of less than 80% of comparable <u>local</u> market<br>rates. <u>It is acknowledged that market rates will vary according</u><br>to a range of factors such as location within the borough, the<br><u>quality and type of office stock</u> . Affordable office provision <del>,</del><br>including appropriate rental values, will be agreed and secured<br>through Planning Obligations in line with the Council's Planning<br>Obligations SPD. <u>A revised Planning Obligations SPD will</u><br>contain guidance to assist in the implementation of policy<br>requirements on affordable employment space, including<br>guidance on design and financial arrangements. |  |
| MM 20<br>Industrial<br>Land  |   |                               |   |  |
|                              | Industrial<br>Land and<br>Business<br>Parks | p.137<br>LP 42                | Amend Part A criterion 2b to read:<br>2b) Mixed use including other employment generating or<br>community uses, and residential providing it does not<br>adversely impact on the other uses and maximises the amount<br>of affordable housing delivered as part of the mix.   | To clarify the<br>implementation in relation<br>to mixed use development.<br>This clarification in the<br>policy text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA. |
|                              | Industrial<br>Land and<br>Business<br>Parks | p. 137-138<br>LP 42           | Change wording in Part B criterion a to read:<br>a) loss of industrial floorspace will be resisted unless<br><u>appropriate</u> full, on-site replacement floorspace is provided;<br>Change wording in Part B criterion c to read:  | To clarify the<br>implementation in relation<br>to mixed use development<br>in LILBPs<br>A further SA of Policy LP42 was                                       |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading                | Page/Para-<br>graph           | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                              |   | P.139<br>Paragraph<br>10.3.8  | <ul> <li>c.) proposals for non-industrial uses will be resisted where the introduction of such uses would have an adverse impact on the continued operation of the existing services adversely impact on industrial activities.</li> <li>Amend text to read:</li> <li>10.3.8 In the locally important industrial land and business parks loss of industrial space will be strongly resisted unless appropriate full, on site replacement provision is provided. Appropriateness will be determined with particular regard to site circumstances and the industrial/employment needs of the Borough.</li> </ul> | carried out. The policy has an<br>overall positive impact in terms<br>of the local economy and<br>business opportunities and<br>services for local residents.<br>Environmental and amenity<br>impacts of industrial proposals<br>should be reduced to acceptable<br>levels and significant loss of<br>industrial provision should be<br>unlikely as it is not appropriate<br>given the needs of the borough. |
|                              | Industrial<br>Land and<br>Business<br>Parks | p. 138<br>Policy<br>LP 42     | Amend Part C to read:<br>New Industrial space<br>C. Development of appropriate scale industrial uses, and<br>improvement and expansion of such premises, is encouraged.<br>New industrial space should be flexible and adaptable for<br>different types of <del>uses</del> <u>activities</u> and suitable to meet future<br>needs, especially to provide for the requirements of local<br>businesses.  | For clarity and effectiveness<br>This clarification in the<br>policy text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.   |
| MM 21<br>Parking             |   |                               |  |  |
|                              | Parking<br>Standards and<br>Servicing       | p. 147<br>Paragraph<br>11.2.3 | Modifications to paragraph 11.2.3:<br>11.2.3 Developers may only provide fewer parking spaces,<br>including car free schemes, if they can show demonstrate as<br>part of a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment with<br>supporting survey information and technical assessment that<br>there would be no unacceptable adverse impact on on-street<br>parking availability, amenity, street scene, road safety or<br>emergency access in the vicinity surrounding area, as a result<br>of the generation of unacceptable overspill of on-street parking  | To clarify how the flexibility<br>in the parking standards is<br>being applied.<br>This clarification in the<br>explanatory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given)             | Policy Section<br>or heading          | Page/Para-<br>graph           | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
|  |                                       | graph                         | <u>in the vicinity</u> . In general it is expected that in PTAL areas of <u>0-3</u> <u>1-4-</u> the standards should be met <u>, but in In</u> PTAL areas of <u>5-4</u> -6, such as Richmond and Twickenham centres, parking provision at a level lower than the standard <del>or a car free</del> <del>development, supported for example by a car club,</del> may be appropriate <u>where this can be demonstrated as acceptable, taking account of local characteristics, availability of sustainable modes of travel and public transport provision, and <u>availability of on-street parking spaces</u> in exceptional circumstances.</u>  |  |
|  | Parking<br>Standards and<br>Servicing | p. 147<br>Paragraph<br>11.2.2 | Amend last paragraph of 11.2.2 as follows:<br>11.2.2 This restriction would be secured by a Planning<br>Obligation excluding the address from the schedule of streets<br>in the relevant road traffic order that created or creates the<br>Controlled Parking Zone in which the property is situated, by<br>restricting under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning<br>Act 1990 the disposal of an interest in relevant properties<br>unless a person disposing advises the person acquiring of the<br>non-availability of residents or business on-street parking<br>permits and/or through Section 16 of the Greater London<br>Council (General Powers) Act 1974 (or any statute revoking or<br>re-enacting that Act). | To clarify the legal<br>mechanisms for securing a<br>limitation of parking<br>permits.<br>This clarification in the<br>explanatory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA. |
| MM 22<br>Monitoring<br>and<br>Appendices |                                       |                               |   | Changes are for clarity. No requirements for SA  |
|  | Implementation                        | P.199<br>13.5<br>Monitoring   | Add new paragraph following 13.5.6:<br><u>It is recognised that over the lifetime of the Plan, external</u><br><u>circumstances will change. Whilst the Plan is overall considered</u><br><u>to be flexible, the NPPF allows for Local Plans to be reviewed in</u><br><u>whole or in part to respond flexibly to changing circumstances.</u><br><u>Therefore, external factors such as changes in national policy.</u>  | To clarify review in the Plan<br>triggers and review<br>mechanisms.  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal      |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
|                              |                              |                     | a London Plan review or changes in local evidence and need        |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | may trigger a review of this Local Plan. The programme for the    |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | preparation of Development Plan Documents is set out within       |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | the Council's Local Development Scheme, which is regularly        |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | reviewed and updated.   |                               |
|                              | Implementation               | P.197               | Amend paragraph 13.3.5:   | To refer appropriately to the |
|                              |                              | Paragraph           |   | pooling restrictions for      |
|                              |                              | 13.3.5              | It should be noted that Planning Obligation monies will not       | clarity.                      |
|                              |                              |                     | be secured for projects or items already on the Council's         |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | Regulation 123 List, and will be subject to the pooling           |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | restrictions as set out in the CIL regulations.                   |                               |
|                              | Appendix 5 –                 | p.220               | Amend paragraph 18.0.2 in Appendix 5 to read:                     | To clarify the expectations   |
|                              | Marketing                    | paragraph           | This appendix sets out the details that should be provided to     | of the Council's marketing    |
|                              | Requirements                 | 18.0.2              | enable officers to assess the acceptability or otherwise of the   | requirements.                 |
|                              |                              |                     | marketing undertaken. The Council's assessment will consider      |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | the overall length, type and quality of the marketing to come to  |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | a view, and if the applicant/agent puts forward any justification |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | for any shortcomings in the marketing (e.g. the use of only one   |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | specialist website rather than a range of generic websites due    |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | to the nature of the existing employment use, or that a           |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | marketing board was not used because of advertisement             |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | controls) these will be considered, however the expectation is    |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | the below requirements should be fully addressed.                 |                               |
|                              |                              |                     |   |                               |
|                              | Appendix 7 -                 |                     | Amend the existing OOLTI definition in the glossary as follows:   | To clarify the distinction    |
|                              | Glossary                     |                     |   | between OOLTI and LGS.        |
|                              | 5                            |                     | "Other Open Land of Townscape Importance (OOLTI)                  |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | Open areas, which are not extensive enough to be defined as       |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | Metropolitan Open Land, but act as pockets of greenery of local   |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | significance, contribute to the local character, and are valued   |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | by residents as open spaces in the built up area. These areas     |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | can include public and private sports grounds, some school        |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | playing fields, cemeteries, allotments, private gardens, areas of |                               |
|                              |                              |                     | vegetation such as street verges and mature trees. OOLTI is a     |                               |

| (Where given)             | or heading                  |   |   |   |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
|                           |                             | graph   | <ul> <li><u>local policy and new designations are made by the Council as part of the plan-making process. This is different to 'Local Green Space' (see definition above), which national policy makes provision for.</u>"</li> <li>Add new definition to glossary for 'Local Green Space' as follows:</li> <li><u>"Local Green Space (LGS)</u></li> <li><u>Local communities can identify green or open space which is of special quality and holds particular significance and value to the local community which it serves, in line with paragraphs 76 to 78 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Local Green Spaces can only be designated when a local plan or neighbourhood plan is prepared or reviewed. National policy on Green Belt applies to any designated Local Green Space."</u></li> </ul> |   |
| MM 23 Site<br>Allocations |                             |   |   |   |
|                           | Site<br>Allocations         | p.161<br>SA 7<br>Strathmore<br>Centre                                     | Include text in bullet point 5 with regard to the provision of<br>outdoor space and parking to read as follows:<br>"Proposed redevelopment will only be acceptable if the current<br>child-care provision is adequately re-provided in a different<br>way, <u>including the provision of appropriate outside space and</u><br><u>parking related to the childcare services</u> , or elsewhere in a<br>convenient alternative location accessible to the current<br>community it supports."  | To ensure justification and<br>clarity of approach.<br>This clarification in the<br>explanatory text does not<br>necessitate altering the SA.                 |
|                           | Site<br>Allocations<br>Site | p.162<br>SA 8 St.<br>Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill<br>p.162 | At the beginning of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph of Policy SA 8 add<br>"and/or" to the 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence:<br>"A Masterplan <u>and / or</u> site development brief,"<br>Delete " <del>very"</del> from the 4 <sup>th</sup> bullet point:   | To provide greater clarity,<br>as agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with GLA<br>on behalf of the Mayor of<br>London (7 September 2017)<br>(LBR-LP-009). |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph  | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|---|
|                              | Allocations                  | SA 8 St.<br>Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill          | "It is acknowledged that this is a <del>very</del> constrained site, with the majority of the land not built on designated as Metropolitan Open Land."         | A further SA on the<br>amended proposal and in<br>light of the SOCG with GLA<br>was carried out.<br>Broadly speaking there should<br>be no new buildings on<br>designated, MOL land. This<br>sensitive site is also adjacent to<br>a Listed Chapel, and Strawberry<br>Hill House and Gardens (Grade<br>I Listed Buildings).<br>There is very limited potential for<br>development without<br>encroaching into MOL or<br>Historic Park & Garden and<br>works will need to be "justified"<br>i.e. demonstrate very special<br>circumstances if affecting MOL.<br>Impacts will depend on the scale<br>of and detailed design of any<br>future scheme. New or rebuild<br>presents opportunities to<br>incorporate sustainable<br>construction and low energy<br>measures subject to the<br>preservation of heritage assets. |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162<br>SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Delete the last sentence of bullet point 4 as follows:<br>"There are also Listed Buildings, Buildings of Townscape Merit<br>as well as sports playing fields." | For clarity as the 4th bullet<br>point seeks to address<br>issues and constraints in<br>relation to MOL, whereas<br>the 5th bullet point is in<br>relation to heritage assets,  |
|                              | Site                         | p.162  | Insert at beginning of 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point: "There are also Listed  | as agreed in Statement of   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph  | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                              | Allocations                  | SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill          | Buildings, Buildings of Townscape Merit as well as sports playing fields."   | Common Ground with GLA<br>on behalf of the Mayor of<br>London (7 September 2017)<br>(LBR-LP-009).  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162<br>SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Amend bullet point 5 (in addition to change PE/SA8/4 above) to stress the significance of the heritage assets to read: "Any development proposal has to take account of the highly significant heritage assets and respect the special and unique location and setting of St Mary's University, including the Grade I Listed Chapel, the adjoining Grade I Listed Building (Strawberry Hill House) and the associated Historic Park and Garden (II*) as well as" | To further emphasise the<br>sensitivity of the site as<br>agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with<br>Historic England (7<br>September 2017) (LBR-LP-<br>008). |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162<br>SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Insert new bullet point within the supporting text (after the 5th bullet point) as follows:<br><u>"The existing playing fields and sports facilities should be</u><br><u>retained and/or re-provided, and if necessary, replacement</u><br><u>facilities will have to be provided on or off site.</u> "  | For clarification as agreed in<br>Statement of Common<br>Ground with Sport England<br>(21 September 2017) (LBR-<br>LP-024).  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162<br>SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Amend the 6 <sup>th</sup> bullet point:<br>"The Council will work with the University on a Masterplan <u>and</u><br><u>/ or site development</u> brief (SPD) for the longer term upgrading<br>of their sites,"   | To provide greater clarity as<br>agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with GLA<br>on behalf of the Mayor of<br>London (7 September 2017)<br>(LBR-LP-009).       |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162<br>SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Amend the 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point:<br>"Detailed guidance on design and local character for any<br>redevelopment proposal will also be set out within the site brief<br>(SPD) as well as in the relevant Village Planning Guidance SPD,<br>and where relevant within the Masterplan / site development<br>brief."  |  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.162  | Amend the 1st paragraph of Policy SA 8 to read:  | The use of the word<br>'justified' is considered to be   |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph                                     | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|
|                              |                              | SA 8<br>St. Mary's<br>University,<br>Strawberry<br>Hill | Retention and upgrading of St Mary's University and its<br>associated teaching, sport and student residential<br>accommodation. Upgrade works to include refurbishment,<br>adaptation, <u>intensification,</u> extensions and new build elements<br>on site where <del>appropriate</del> justified fully with regard to national<br>policy and the policies of the development plan.                             | a more suitable reference<br>point for the need to<br>demonstrate very special<br>circumstances against the<br>development plan and<br>national policy in the event<br>a planning application arose<br>to be determined that<br>affected Metropolitan Open<br>Land and which was<br>otherwise 'inappropriate<br>development' by definition.<br>The modification has been<br>agreed by the Council and<br>the GLA on behalf of the<br>Mayor of London. |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | P.170<br>SA 14<br>Kneller Hall                          | Amend bullet point 6 as follows:<br>"It is expected that the existing playing field will be retained<br>and where possible upgraded, such as with ancillary facilities,<br>including changing provided to support the use of the playing<br>fields, provided that any existing ecological benefits and the<br>openness and character of the Metropolitan Open Land is<br>retained and, where possible enhanced." | For clarity and to address<br>Sport England's comments<br>in relation to ancillary<br>facilities as agreed in<br>Statement of Common<br>Ground with Sport England<br>(21 September 2017) (LBR-<br>LP-024).<br>This explanatory text does<br>not necessitate altering the<br>SA.   |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | P.170<br>SA 14<br>Kneller Hall                          | Amend the last sentence of bullet point 7 to read: "Any<br>development should <u>be sensitive to the significance of the</u><br><u>historic building and</u> respond positively to the setting of the<br>Listed Building."   | To stress the significant<br>heritage assets as agreed in<br>Statement of Common<br>Ground with Historic<br>England (7 September<br>2017) (LBR-LP-008). The<br>amended text does not  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph                        | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|
|                              | 5                            |  |   | necessitate further SA  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | P.170<br>SA 14<br>Kneller Hall             | Change to the first sentence of main policy text:<br>"If the site is declared surplus to requirements, <u>It has been</u><br><u>announced that Kneller Hall will be released for disposal.</u><br><u>A</u> appropriate land uses include" | To clarify status of disposal,<br>as agreed with the Defence<br>Infrastructure Organisation<br>(3 October 2017). The<br>amended text does not<br>necessitate further SA   |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p. 176<br>SA 17<br>St Michael's<br>Convent | Amend the OSNI area on the map to that lying to the north of<br>the lawn area and its delineating path.   | To clarify.<br>A further SA of SA 17 was<br>carried out. Overall there are<br>positive as well as some<br>negative impacts but also<br>uncertainties, which will depend<br>on the details of any scheme.<br>Although it would lead to a loss<br>of social infrastructure, it should<br>provide for some new homes<br>and some community use. The<br>proposal should contribute to the<br>preservation and enhancement<br>of the Conservation Area and<br>the Listed Building by taking<br>account of the sensitive local<br>character. OOLTI should protect<br>the gardens as green space and<br>preserve the setting of the Listed<br>Building. The amended<br>boundary of the OSNI causes<br>some protected acid grassland<br>to be vulnerable and a carefully<br>prepared management plan<br>should help protect the site's<br>numerous biodiversity and<br>ecological assets. |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.182<br>SA 22 Pools                       | Add a third sentence to the end of Policy SA 22 thus:<br>"Any proposal would need to be fully justified having assessed   | To give greater recognition to the heritage assets and  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph   | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal   |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                              |                              | on the<br>Park  | the significance of the building and its setting, and having<br>taken into account the wider heritage designations that apply<br>to the site."   | MOL setting of the site, as<br>agreed in Statement of<br>Common Ground with<br>Historic England (7<br>September 2017) (LBR-LP-<br>008). The amended text<br>does not necessitate further<br>SA as the potential impacts<br>are identified under SA<br>objective 7. |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.184<br>SA 23<br>Richmond<br>Athletic<br>Association<br>Ground | Modify the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of the policy so that Policy SA 23<br>reads:<br>"The Council supports the continued use of this site for sports<br>uses, including improvements and upgrading of existing<br>facilities. Additional associated leisure facilities and other<br>complementary uses could be incorporated provided they <u>have</u><br><u>been fully justified as being necessary to support the continued</u><br><u>sporting uses on the site, that they demonstrate meeting</u><br>identified needs, do not detract from the main use of the site<br>as a sports ground, and <u>have been developed to</u> take into<br>account of the Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and historic<br>designations." | For greater clarity<br>The amended text does not<br>necessitate further SA as<br>potential impacts are<br>identified under SA<br>objective 7 and 8.  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery                               | In 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point change text to read:<br>"Incorporating a mix of uses, including social infrastructure and<br>community as well as leisure, sport and health uses, and<br>attractive frontages would should contribute to creating an<br>inviting and vibrant new centre."  | For greater clarity<br>The amended text does not<br>necessitate further SA.  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery                               | Proposed modification as new additional bullet point – new 10 <sup>th</sup> bullet point (after the one referring to Mortlake Conservation Area):<br><u>"The site is very close to an Air Quality Focus Area. Therefore strict mitigation measures will be required, both to mitigate any effect on current receptors and highways and on future receptors within the proposed development, particularly for</u>   | To recognise that the air<br>quality evidence has<br>changed since the adoption<br>of the site development<br>brief in 2011.<br>A further SA on the<br>amended proposal was  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph               | Proposed change   | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
|                              |                              |                                   | sensitive receptors, such as pupils at the secondary school."   | carried out to reflect the<br>AQFA and the strengthened<br>requirements on the Stag<br>Brewery proposal site.                       |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery | Amend penultimate bullet point as follows:<br>"There may be an opportunity to relocate the bus stopping /<br>turning facility from Avondale Road Bus station to this site. The<br>adopted development brief (2011) identifies a number of<br>transportation and highways issues. The Council will expect the<br>developer to work together with relevant partners, including<br>Transport for London, to ensure that where possible necessary<br>improvements to sustainable modes of travel, including public<br>transport facilities, can be are secured as part of any<br>development proposal. The opportunity to relocate the bus<br>stopping / turning facility from Avondale Road Bus station to<br>this site should be investigated as part of the comprehensive<br>redevelopment." | To recognise existing<br>constraints and strengthen<br>the need for improvements<br>to sustainable travel modes<br>where necessary. |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery | Amend first bullet point as follows:<br>"The Council has produced and adopted a development brief in<br>2011 for this site, which sets out the vision for redevelopment<br>and provides further guidance on the site's characteristics,<br>constraints, land use and development opportunities. <u>Any</u><br><u>proposed development should have regard to the adopted</u><br><u>brief.</u> "  | To clarify that any<br>development scheme<br>coming forward has to have<br>regard to the adopted SPD.                               |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery | Insert after the original 10 <sup>th</sup> bullet point the following:<br><u>The playing fields in the south west corner of the site, which</u><br><u>are designated Other Open Land of Townscape Importance</u><br><u>(OOLTI), should be retained and/or reprovided and upgraded.</u><br><u>In the event of reprovision and upgrading, where a</u>   | To set out the context for<br>'reprovision'.  |

| Change Ref.<br>(Where given) | Policy Section<br>or heading | Page/Para-<br>graph                  | Proposed change  | Sustainability Appraisal  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|
|                              |                              |                                      | comprehensive approach to redevelopment can be taken in line   |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | with policy LP 14, it may be acceptable to re-distribute   |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | designated OOLTI within the site, provided that the new open   |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | area is equivalent or improved in terms of quantum, quality  |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | and openness. In addition, reprovision and upgrading of the  |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | playing fields within the site for sport uses has to be carried out  |   |
|                              |                              |                                      | in line with policy LP 31, the NPPF and Sport England Policy.  |   |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.186<br>SA 24<br>Stag<br>Brewery    | Amend the original 9 <sup>th</sup> bullet point as follows:<br>"The site is <u>within an Archaeological Priority Area and</u> partially<br>within the Mortlake Conservation Area"  | For clarification.  |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.191<br>SA 28<br>Barnes<br>Hospital | Modify the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of policy SA 28 to read:<br>"Any redevelopment proposal for this site will be required to<br>prioritise the provision of a new <u>Special Education Needs</u> <del>2-</del><br>form entry primary school."   | To reflect a change in<br>educational need<br>A further SA was carried out<br>reflecting the improved<br>impacts on travel due to the |
|                              | Site<br>Allocations          | p.191<br>SA28<br>Barnes<br>Hospital  | In 3 <sup>rd</sup> bullet point change text to read:<br>"There is a clear need for a new <u>Special Education Needs</u> <del>2-</del><br>form entry primary school in this area as set out in the <u>updated</u><br>Council's School Place Planning Strategy. Therefore, the<br>Council expects any redevelopment proposal to prioritise the<br>provision of the educational use." | change in type of<br>educational establishment.   |

# 2. Conclusion

2.1 Overall, the assessment of the proposed main modifications found that there would be no significant negative effects against the sustainability objectives in the SA, with many neutral or positive effects being identified. The modifications therefore do not significantly alter the overall findings of the SA of the Publication Plan <u>Report</u> (January 2017) in terms of delivering positive sustainability outcomes, with a number amendments to give greater clarity and improvements provided.

# Sustainability Appraisal Framework for the Local Plan

|   | Env | Econ | 0 |
|---|-----|------|---|
| 1) To prevent and reduce the amount of waste that is produced and increase the proportion that is reused, recycled and composted, recovered (including energy recovery) before lastly disposal.   | ~   |      |   |
| 2) To reduce pollution (such as air, noise, light, water and soil) from any source and ensure air and water quality improves and safeguard soil quality and quantity.   | >   |      |   |
| 3) To reduce the need for travel, encourage alternatives to the car, make best use of existing transport infrastructure and improve public transport integration.   | >   |      |   |
| 4) To mitigate climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and<br>promoting sustainable energy use through maximising energy efficiency,<br>use of zero- and low carbon technologies and renewable energy, and<br>provide satisfactory water and sewerage infrastructure. | •   |      |   |
| 5) To ensure resilience to the effects of climate change through effective adaptation, in particular avoiding or reducing flood risk from all sources and conserving water.   | >   | ~    |   |
| 6) To conserve and enhance biodiversity, avoid damage and irreversible<br>losses to designated sites and protected species, adding to the<br>abundance of non-designated biodiversity features and habitats (such as<br>trees, gardens, green roofs and other features).          | >   |      |   |
| 7) To promote high quality places, spaces and buildings and conserve<br>and enhance the borough's landscape and townscape character and its<br>heritage assets and their settings   | •   | ~    |   |
| 8) To protect and enhance the quality and range of parks and open spaces and plan positively for the creation, protection and enhancement of the green infrastructure network.  | ~   |      |   |
| 9) To make best and efficient use of previously developed land and<br>existing buildings, implement sustainable design and construction<br>practices and remediate and reuse contaminated land.   | ~   | ~    |   |
| 10) To provide new housing opportunities and sufficient affordable housing that meets local needs.  |     | ~    |   |

| 11) To facilitate and improve the health and well-being of the population, reduce health inequalities and deliver safer and more secure communities.   |   | ~ |
|--|---|---|
| 12) To promote the independence of people and communities by<br>improving the quality, range and accessibility of services and facilities,<br>such as health, transport, education, training, employment, environment,<br>leisure, sport and recreation opportunities. | • | * |
| 13) To increase the vitality and viability of existing town centres, local centres and parades.  | v | * |
| 14) To promote and strengthen a buoyant, diverse and resilient local economy and facilitate inward investment that will secure sustainable economic growth.  | ~ | > |
| 15) To increase the amount and quality of commercial development opportunities to meet the needs of the local and sub-regional economy.  | ~ | > |

# Appendix 1 – Sustainability Appraisal of Modifications

## Modified Policy followed by original version

#### Policy LP3 Designated Heritage Assets (with modifications)

A. The Council will require development to conserve and, where possible, take opportunities to make a positive contribution to, the historic environment of the borough. Development proposals likely to adversely affect the significance of heritage assets will be assessed against the requirement to seek to avoid harm and the justification for the proposal. The significance (including the settings) of the borough's designated heritage assets, encompassing Conservation Areas, Listed Bbuildings, Scheduled Monuments as well as the Registered Historic Parks and Gardens, will be conserved and enhanced by the following means:

- 1. Give great weight to the conservation of the heritage asset when considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of the asset.
- Resist the demolition in whole, or in part, of Listed Bbuilding. Consent for demolition of Grade II Listed Bbuildings will only be granted in exceptional circumstances and for Grade II\* and Grade I Listed Bbuildings in wholly exceptional circumstances following a thorough assessment of their the justification for the proposal and the significance of the asset.
- Resist the change of use of Histed Bbuildings where their significance would be harmed this would materially harm their character and distinctiveness, particularly where the current use contributes to the character of the surrounding area and to its sense of place.
- 4. Require the retention and preservation of the original structure, layout, architectural features, materials as well as later features of interest within Listed Bbuildings, and resist the removal or modification of features that are both internally and externally of architectural importance or that contribute to the significance of the asset.
- 5. Demolitions (in whole or in part), alterations, extensions and any other modifications to <u>Listed Bb</u>uildings should be based on an accurate understanding of the significance of the heritage asset.
- Require, where appropriate, the reinstatement of internal and external features of special architectural or historic significance within Listed Bbuildings, and the removal of internal and external features that harm the significance of the asset, commensurate with the extent of proposed development.
- 7. Require the use of appropriate materials and techniques and strongly encourage any works or repairs to a designated heritage asset to be carried out in a correct, scholarly manner by appropriate specialists.
- Protect and enhance the borough's registered Historic Parks and Gardens by ensuring that proposals do not have an adverse effect on their significance, including their setting and/or views to and from the registered landscape.

9. Protect Scheduled Monuments by ensuring proposals do not have an adverse impact on their significance.

B. Resist substantial demolition in Conservation Areas and any changes that could harm heritage assets, unless it can be demonstrated that:

- 1. in the case of substantial harm or loss to the significance of the heritage asset, it is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss;
- 2. in the case of less than substantial harm to the significance of the heritage asset, that the public benefits, including securing the optimum viable use, outweigh that harm; or
- 3. the building or part of the building or structure makes no positive contribution to the character or distinctiveness of the area.

C. All proposals in Conservation Areas are required to preserve and, where possible, enhance the character or the appearance of the Conservation Area.

D. Where there is evidence of intentional damage or deliberate neglect to a designated heritage asset, its current condition will not be taken into account in the decision-making process.

E. Outline planning applications will not be accepted in Conservation Areas. The Council's Conservation Area Statements, and where available Conservation Area Studies, and/or Management Plans, will be used as a basis for assessing development proposals within, or where it would affect the setting of, Conservation Areas, together with other policy guidance, such as Village Planning Guidance SPDs.

| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 4. Water  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |

| 7. Landscape & townscape  |                    | ++          | ++            | ++                         | ++                                 | Policy will have a very positive impact on townscape. The significance (including the setting) of the asset is to be assessed before development is allowed |
|---|--------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 8. Green spaces   |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction  |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 10. Housing   |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities  |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 12. Health & well-being   |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 13. Town centres  |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy   |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities  |                    |             |               |                            |                                    | Neutral   |
| Summary of assessment: (like<br>Policy will protect the borough's Lis<br>Register, Conservation Areas and t | ed Buildings, some | war memoria | ls, four sche | duled Ancie<br>a significa | ent Monuments<br>nt contribution t | as well as 14 Historic Park and Gardens that are on Historic England's to the special character of the borough.   |
| Comments on assessment: (a<br>Policy is strongly protective of  |                    |             | -             |                            |                                    |   |

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects) Applicants will be expected to demonstrate how a development proposal complies with the relevant Conservation Area appraisals, plans and studies, as well as the Village Planning Guidance SPDs, including any other relevant SPDs/SPGs adopted by the Council.

| Policy LP3 Designated Herit                               | age Asset        | ts original ve     | rsion                            |                |              |                   |   |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|
| A policy to conserve, protect,                            | and whe          | re possible t      | ake opp                          | ortunities     | to make      | a positive contri | bution to the historic environment of the borough.  |
| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |                   | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative        |   |
| 1. Waste  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 4. Water  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 5. Energy   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 6. Biodiversity   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |                  |                    | ++                               | ++             | ++           | ++                | Policy will have a very positive impact on townscape  |
| 8. Green spaces   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 10. Housing   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                        |                  |                    |                                  |                |              | _                 | Neutral   |
| 12. Health & well-being                                   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |
| 13. Borough centres                                       |                  |                    | ++                               | ++             | ++           | ++                | Policy will have a positive impact on town centres  |
| 14. Economy   |                  |                    |                                  | +              | +            | +                 | Policy may well support the visitor economy as visitors<br>enjoy the heritage assets of the borough |
| 15. Commercial development                                |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |                   | Neutral   |

| opportunities   |    |  |           |          |                    |   |  |  |
|---|----|--|-----------|----------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Summary of assessment: (likely sustainability impact of the option)<br>Policy will protect the borough's Listed Buildings, some war memorials, four scheduled Ancient Monuments as well as 14 Historic Park and Gardens that are on Historic England's<br>Register, Conservation Areas and the exceptional historic environment that make a significant contribution to the special character of the borough. |    |  |           |          |                    |   |  |  |
| Comments on assessment: (additional comments on individual objectives and cumulative effects)<br>The policy is strongly protective of the heritage assets and character of the borough.   |    |  |           |          |                    |   |  |  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )   |    |  |           |          |                    |   |  |  |
|   | j- |  | J · · · · | <b>,</b> | · · · · <b>/</b> · | , |  |  |

Applicants will be expected to demonstrate how a development proposal complies with the relevant Conservation Area appraisals, plans and studies, as well as the Village Planning Guidance SPDs, including any other relevant SPDs/SPGs adopted by the Council.

## Policy LP35 Housing Mix and Standards (incorporating modifications)

A. Development should generally provide family sized accommodation, except within the five main centres and Areas of Mixed Use where a higher proportion of small units would be appropriate. The housing mix should be appropriate to the site-specifics of the location.

B. All new housing development, including conversions, are required to comply with the Nationally Described Space Standard.

C. All new housing development, including conversions, are required to comply with the Council's should provide adequate external space standards. For houses a minimum total private space of 70sqm for 3 or more beds and 40sqm for 2 beds should be provided. To provide adequate private amenity space for flats, a minimum of 5sqm of private outdoor space for 1-2 person dwellings should be provided and an extra 1sqm should be provided for each additional occupant. Purpose built, well designed and positioned balconies or terraces are encouraged where new residential units are on upper floors, if they comply with policy LP8 Amenity and Living Conditions. Regard should be had to the Council's Residential Development Standards SPD as appropriate.

D. Amenity space for all new dwellings, including conversions, should be:

- a. private, usable, functional and safe;
- b. easily accessible from living areas;
- c. orientated to take account of need for sunlight and shading;
- d. of a sufficient size to meet the needs of the likely number of occupiers; and
- e. accommodation likely to be occupied by families with young children should have direct and easy access to adequate private amenity space.

## E. 90% of all new build housing is required to meet Building Regulation Requirement M4 (2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings' and 10% of all new build

| housing is required to meet B                             | Building Re | egulation Re       | quirem                           | ent M4 (3)     | 'wheelch     | air user dwellin | gs'.   |
|---|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|--|
|   |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  |  |
| SA objectives   | Geogra      | phic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |                  | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation                                     |
|   | Local       | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative       |  |
| 1. Waste  |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 4. Water  |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy   |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |             |                    |                                  |                | -            | -                | Fewer opportunities for tree planting and communal green space.                                |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 8. Green spaces   |             |                    |                                  |                | -            | -                | Less opportunity for green infrastructure and open spaces                                      |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            |             |                    |                                  |                | +            | +                | Should lead to a more efficient use of previously developed land                               |
| 10. Housing   |             |                    |                                  | +              | +            | +                | Offers the potential to develop sites at higher densities.                                     |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                        |             |                    |                                  |                |              | -                | May harm community cohesion if not designed with care.   |
| 12. Health & well-being                                   |             |                    |                                  |                | -            | -                | Less space and privacy between dwellings, though this car be mitigated through careful design. |
| 13. Town centres  |             |                    |                                  | 1              |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy   |             |                    |                                  |                |              |                  | Neutral  |

| 15. Commercial development opportunities   |           |       |   |             |                  | Neutral   |
|--|-----------|-------|---|-------------|------------------|---|
| Summary of assessment: (likely<br>This policy is very strong on he<br>infrastructure and habitat creat | using pro | <br>- |   | the land, t | out may reduce t | the opportunities for provision of private amenity space, green |
| Possible mitigation: <i>(measures</i><br>Ensure that developments take                                 | 0         |       | - | •           |                  | Area statements, etc.   |

Policy LP35 Housing Mix and Standards original version

Policy sets out guidance on housing mix and Standards, which should reflect current national and regional guidance.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessmer<br>.ength of ef |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation     |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term            | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 4. Water  |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy   |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |               |                           | +            |            | Tree planting opportunities & communal and amenity green space |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |

| 8. Green spaces   |                      |             |                 |         |               | Neutral  |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|--|
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol>   |                      |             |                 |         |               | Neutral  |
| 10. Housing   |                      |             |                 |         | -             | Likely that there will be less opportunity for smaller and higher density units.   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities  |                      |             | +               | +       | +             | It is recognised that adequate space in the home has an effect on community cohesion.  |
| 12. Health & well-being   |                      | +           | +               | +       | +             | It is recognised that adequate space in that home has an effect on health, especially in terms of light ventilation and sense of space |
| 13. Town centres  |                      |             |                 |         |               | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy   |                      |             |                 |         |               | Neutral  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                  |                      |             |                 |         |               | Neutral  |
|   | ed Buildings, some v | var memoria | lls, four sched |         |               | s as well as 14 Historic Park and Gardens that are on Historic England's to the special character of the borough.                      |
| Possible mitigation: (measures  | to mitigate likely   | negative    | effects and     | enhance | positive effe | ects )   |
| Applicants will be expected to demo<br>Guidance SPDs, including any other |                      |             |                 |         | elevant Conse | rvation Area appraisals, plans and studies, as well as the Village Planning  |

Policy LP8 Amenity and Living Conditions (incorporating modifications)

All development will be required to protect the amenity and living conditions for occupants of new, existing, adjoining and neighbouring properties. The Council will:

- ensure the design and layout of buildings enables good standards of daylight and sunlight to be achieved in new development and in existing properties affected by new development; where existing daylight and sunlight conditions are already substandard, they should be improved where possible;
- 2. ensure there is a minimum distance of 20 metres between main facing windows of habitable rooms (this includes living rooms, bedrooms and kitchens with a floor area of 13sqm or more) to preserve the privacy of existing properties affected by the new development;
- 3. ensure balconies does not raise unacceptable overlooking or noise or disturbance to nearby occupiers; height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure;
- 4. ensure that proposals are not visually intrusive or have an overbearing impact as a result of their height, massing or siting, including through creating a sense of enclosure;
- 5. ensure there is no harm to the reasonable enjoyment of the use of buildings, gardens and other spaces due to increases in traffic, servicing, parking, noise, light, disturbance, air pollution, odours or vibration or local micro-climatic effects.

Applicants are expected to comply with expected to have regard to the guidance set out within the Council's SPDs relating to design, including Village Planning Guidance, SPDs on extensions, infill and backland developments, housing mix and standards as well as residential development standards.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | c scale Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation                             |
|---|--------|--------------------|--|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                            | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |  |                |              |            | This policy should ensure new development does not cause air, noise or light pollution |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 4. Water  |        |                    |  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy   |        |                    |  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |  |                |              |            | Neutral  |

| 7. Landscape & townscape   |                   | + | ++          | ++         | ++             | This policy should ensure that new development take<br>account of neighbouring properties, which would be<br>beneficial for the character of the area and townscape. |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------|------------|----------------|--|
| 8. Green spaces  |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction   |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| 10. Housing  |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities   |                   | + | +           | ++         | ++             | By ensuring new development takes account of the neighbouring properties should provide benefits for the community   |
| 12. Health & well-being  |                   | + | +           | ++         | ++             | This policy should ensure that new development take<br>account of neighbouring properties, which would be<br>beneficial for health and well-being                    |
| 13. Borough centres  |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy  |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities   |                   |   |             |            |                | Neutral  |
| Summary of assessment: (likely su<br>This policy has many benefits for a<br>support the established pattern of c | nenity and living |   |             | ghbours: I | nealth, well-b | eing, cohesive communities and neighbourliness. It also may  |
| Comments on assessment: <i>(additio</i><br>This policy has many social and env                                   |                   |   | idual objec | ctives and | cumulative     | effects)   |

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

New buildings and extensions need to take careful account of the living conditions of neighbours, with particular regard to natural light, light pollution, privacy, noise and disturbance. The Council's SPDs, including on Householder Extensions and External Alterations, Residential Development Standards and on Small and Medium Housing Sites, provide further guidance and illustrations on how to assess sunlight/daylight, overshadowing, visual intrusion, privacy and space between buildings. An assessment will be made on a site by site basis and a supporting planning statement should set out justification for reducing distances between habitable rooms, if necessary.

Policy LP8 Amenity and Living Conditions original version A policy that requires new buildings and extensions to take careful account of the living conditions of neighbours, with particular regard to natural light, light pollution, privacy, noise and disturbance.

| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation   |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution               |                  |                    | +                                | ++             | ++           | ++         | This policy should ensure new development does not cause air, noise or light pollution   |
| 3. Travel   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 4. Water  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape  |                  |                    | +                                | ++             | ++           | ++         | This policy should ensure that new development take account of neighbouring properties, which would be beneficial for the townscape. |
| 8. Green spaces   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol> |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 10. Housing   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              | -          | Likely that there will be less opportunity for smaller and higher density units.   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                                      |                  |                    | ++                               | ++             | ++           | ++         | This policy should ensure that new development take account of neighbouring properties, which would be                               |

|   |      |              |             |              | beneficial for cohesive communities   |
|---|------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| 12. Health & well-being   | +    | ++           | ++          | ++           | This policy should ensure that new development take account of neighbouring properties, which should be beneficial for health and well-being. |
| 13. Town centres  |      |              |             |              | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy   |      |              |             |              | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                |      |              |             |              | Neutral   |
| Summary of assessment: (like,<br>This policy has many benefits for he   | <br> |              | ighbourline | ess          |   |
| Comments on assessment: (ad<br>The policy has a series of benefits b    |      | vidual objec | tives and   | cumulative e | effects)  |
| Possible mitigation: <i>(measures</i> New buildings and extensions need |      |              |             |              | cts )<br>sular regard to natural light, light pollution, privacy, noise and disturbance. The  |

Policy LP10 Local Environmental Impacts, Pollution and Land Contamination (with Modifications)

and illustrations on how to assess sunlight/daylight, overshadowing, visual intrusion, privacy and space between buildings.

A. The Council will seek to ensure that local environmental impacts of all development proposals do not lead to detrimental effects on the health, safety and the amenity of existing and new users or occupiers of the development site, or the surrounding land. These potential impacts can include, but are not limited to, air pollution, noise and vibration, light pollution, odours and fumes, solar glare and solar dazzle as well as land contamination. Developers should follow any guidance provided by the Council on local environmental impacts and pollution as well as on noise generating and noise sensitive development. Where necessary, the Council will set planning conditions to reduce local environmental impacts on adjacent land uses to acceptable levels. *Air Quality* 

Council's SPDs, including on Householder Extensions and External Alterations, Residential Development Standards and on Small and Medium Housing Sites, provide further guidance

B. The Council promotes good air quality design and new technologies. Developers should commit to secure at least 'Emissions Neutral' development where practicable. To consider the impact of introducing new developments in areas already subject to poor air quality, the following will be required: 1. an air quality impact assessment, including where necessary, modelled data; 2. mitigation measures to reduce the development's impact upon air quality, including the type of equipment installed, thermal insulation and ducting abatement technology; 3. measures to protect the occupiers of new developments from existing sources; 4. strict mitigation for developments to be used by sensitive receptors such as schools, hospitals and care homes in

areas of existing poor air quality; this also applies to proposals close to developments used by sensitive receptors. *Noise and Vibration* 

C. The Council encourages good acoustic design to ensure occupiers of new and existing noise sensitive buildings are protected. The following will be required, where necessary: 1. a noise assessment of any new plant and equipment and its impact upon both receptors and the general background noise levels; 2. mitigation measures where noise needs to be controlled and managed; 3. time limits and restrictions for activities where noise cannot be sufficiently mitigated; 4. promotion of good acoustic design and use of new technologies; 5. measures to protect the occupiers of new developments from existing sources.

Light Pollution

D. The Council will seek to ensure that artificial lighting in new developments does not lead to unacceptable impacts by requiring the following, where necessary: 1. an assessment of any new lighting and its impact upon any receptors; 2. mitigation measures, including the type and positioning of light sources; 3. promotion of good lighting design and use of new technologies.

Odours and Fume Control

E. The Council will seek to ensure that any potential impacts relating to odour and fumes from commercial activities are adequately mitigated by requiring the following: 1. an impact assessment where necessary; 2. the type and nature of filtration to be used; 3. the height and position of any chimney or outlet; 4. promotion and use of new abatement technologies;

Land Contamination

F. The Council promotes, where necessary, the remediation of contaminated land where development comes forward. Potential contamination risks will need to be properly considered and adequately mitigated before development proceeds.

Construction and demolition

G. The Council will seek to manage and limit environmental disturbances during construction and demolition as well as during excavations and construction of basements and subterranean developments. To deliver this the Council requires the submission of Construction Management Statements (CMS) for the following types of developments: 1. all major developments; 2. any basement and subterranean developments; 3. developments of sites in confined locations or near sensitive receptors; or 4. if substantial demolition/excavation works are proposed. Where applicable and considered necessary, t<sup>T</sup>he Council will may seek a bespoke charge specific to the proposal to cover the cost of monitoring the CMS; a discount may be applied if the applicant/developer uses the Council's Building Control services.

| SA objectives                    | Geogra | ohic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|                                  | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste                         |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, |        | +                  | ++                               | ++             | ++           | ++         | The whole borough is an AQMA. Strict mitigation will be    |

| water and soil pollution                       |   |    |    |    | required for any new development in or adjacent to Air   |
|--|---|----|----|----|--|
|  |   |    |    |    | Quality Focus Areas, which are designated by the Greater |
|  |   |    |    |    | London Authority   |
| 3. Travel                                      |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 4. Water                                       |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 5. Energy                                      |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity                                |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                       |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 8. Green spaces                                |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |  |
| 10. Housing                                    |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities             |   |    |    |    |  |
| 12. Health & well-being                        | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |  |
| 13. Town centres                               |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy                                    |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities       |   |    |    |    | Neutral  |

Summary Comments on assessment: (additional comments on individual objectives and cumulative effects)

Strict mitigation will be required for any developments proposed within or adjacent to 'Air Quality Focus Areas'. An 'Air Quality Focus Area' is a location that has been identified as having high levels of pollution (i.e. exceeding the EU annual mean limit value for nitrogen dioxide) and human exposure. Air Quality Focus Areas are designated by the Greater London Authority.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

The Council will seek financial contributions through the use of Planning Obligations towards air quality measures where a proposed development is not air quality neutral or mitigation measures do not reduce the impact upon poor air quality

# Policy LP10 Local Environmental Impacts, Pollution and Land Contamination (original )

A policy that will seek to ensure that local environmental impacts of all development proposals do not lead to detrimental impacts on the health, safety and the amenity of existing and new users or occupiers of the site, or the surrounding land. Potential impacts can include, but are not limited to, air pollution, noise and vibration, light pollution, odours and fumes as well as land contamination.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term                   | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    | ++            | ++                               | ++           | ++         | Policy attempts to reduce air pollution, noise and vibration,<br>light pollution, odours and fumes as well as land<br>contamination impacts of all developments on users and<br>occupiers of a site and its surroundings. |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 4. Water  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 5. Energy   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 8. Green spaces   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            |        |                    | +             | +                                | ++           | ++         | The policy will have a positive impact on making the best use of land   |

| 10. Housing   |                 |                 |               |            |                    | Neutral   |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                        |                 |                 |               |            |                    | Neutral   |
| 12. Health & well-being                                   |                 | +               | ++            | ++         | ++                 | Policy attempts to reduce health, safety and amenity<br>impacts of development on users and occupiers of a site<br>and its surroundings |
| 13. Town centres  |                 |                 |               |            |                    | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy   |                 |                 |               |            |                    | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                  |                 |                 |               |            |                    | Neutral   |
| Summary of assessment: (likel                             | y sustainabili  | ty impact of tl | ne option)    |            |                    |   |
| Highly sustainable option in the                          | area of pollu   | tion and healt  | h             |            |                    |   |
| Comments on assessment: (ac                               | lditional com   | ments on indiv  | vidual object | tives and  | cumulative effec   | cts)  |
| A remediation strategy that se                            | ts out how an   | y identified ri | sks from the  | e develop  | ment are going t   | to be addressed is required   |
| Possible mitigation: (measures                            | to mitigate lii | kely negative   | effects and   | enhance µ  | oositive effects ) |   |
| This policy is considered to afform and other pollutants. | ord occupiers   | and neighbou    | Iring proper  | ties prote | ection from noise  | e, nuisance, disturbance, highway obstruction, vibration, dust  |

Policy LP13 Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and Local Green Space (modified)

Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land

A. The borough's Green Belt and Metropolitan Open land will be protected and retained in predominately open use. Inappropriate development will be refused unless "very special circumstances" can be demonstrated that clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land.

Appropriate uses within Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land include public and private open spaces and playing fields, open recreation and sport, biodiversity including rivers and bodies of water and open community uses including allotments and cemeteries. Development will be supported if it is appropriate and helps secure the objectives of improving the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land.

B. It will be recognised that there will be exceptional cases where inappropriate development, such as small scale structures for essential utility infrastructure, may be acceptable., but only if it:

1. Does not harm the character and openness of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land; and

2. Is linked to the functional use of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land, or supports outdoor open space uses; or

3. Is for essential utility infrastructure and facilities for which it needs to be demonstrated that no alternative locations are available and that they do not have any

adverse impacts on the character and openness of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land.

C. Improvement and enhancement of the openness and character of the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land and measures to reduce visual impacts will be encouraged where appropriate.

When considering developments on sites outside Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land, any possible visual impacts on the character and openness of the Green belt or Metropolitan Open Land will be taken into account.

#### Local Green Space

D. Local Green Space, which has been demonstrated to be special to a local community and which holds a particular local significance, will be protected from inappropriate development that could cause harm to its qualities.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessmer<br>ength of ef |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term           | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    | +             | +                        | +            | +          | As only small-scale and minor development is allowed pollution and contamination impacts are likely to be low |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 5. Water  |        |                    | +             | +                        | +            | +          | Rivers and water bodies within the borough are included within MOL.   |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    | +             | +                        | +            | +          | Safeguarded open land will be beneficial to wildlife.   |

| 7. Landscape & townscape   |                | -            | +       | +             | +             | +                   | The borough's landscape assets will be preserved  |
|--|----------------|--------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---|
| 8. Green spaces  |                |              |         | +             | +             | +                   | The protection and enhancement of these spaces will also improve the green infrastructure network and range of open spaces.   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction                                   |                |              |         |               |               |                     | Neutral   |
| 10. Housing  |                |              |         | -             | _             | _                   | Will reduce the available opportunities for housing development   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities   |                |              |         |               | +             | +                   | Positive impacts in the longer-term and cumulatively if sites are protected and retained in open uses. Local Green Space is by definition special to the local community it serves.   |
| 12. Health & well-being  |                | -            | +       | +             | ++            | ++                  | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it allows sports, leisure<br>and recreation. It allows appropriate open uses for community users<br>such as allotments and contributes to the green infrastructure network,<br>thereby creating opportunities for recreation and contact with the<br>natural environment. |
| 13. Town centres   |                |              |         |               |               |                     | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy  |                |              |         |               |               |                     | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities   |                |              |         | _             | _             | _                   | Will limit the scope for commercial development opportunities.  |
| Summary of assessment: (likely<br>A highly sustainable policy in te              |                |              |         |               | -being.       |                     |   |
|  | bace are prote | cted open la | and. Th | e higher leve | el policies g | o into detail and s | ects)<br>stipulate what might be appropriate uses, and require justification of "very<br>reen Spaces designation offers additional protection to space special to a   |
| Possible mitigation: (measures   | 0              | , ,          | -       |               | •             |                     | •   |
| The likely negative effects of the polic national and regional policy, which see |                |              |         |               |               |                     | ent. Green Belt, MOL and Local Green Space are protected by higher level mitted.  |

| Policy LP13 Green Belt, Met                               | tropolitar | o Open Lan         | d and L       | ocal Green     | n Space      | (original)       |  |
|---|------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| A policy that sets out objectiv                           | es to pro  | tect the des       | ignated       | areas, app     | ropriate     | uses, exceptiona | al cases and encouragement to improve or enhance the   |
| character and openness of th                              | e designa  | tions.             |               |                |              |                  |  |
| The Proposals Map changes c                               | locument   | sets out a r       | new Loca      | al Green Sp    | ace desig    | gnation at Udne  | y Park Playing Fields and a MOL boundary change at Harrodian   |
| School.   |            |                    |               |                |              |                  |  |
| SA objectives   | Geogra     | phic scale         |               | Assessme       | nt /         |                  | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed  |
|   |            |                    | L             | _ength of ef   | ffect        |                  | mitigation   |
|   | Local      | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative       |  |
| 1. Waste  |            |                    |               |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |            |                    | +             | +              | +            | +                | Only minor development is allowed so soil quality and quantity will be protected   |
| 3. Travel   |            |                    |               |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 4. Energy   |            |                    |               |                |              |                  | Neutral  |
| 5. Water  |            |                    | +             | +              | +            | +                | Rivers and bodies of water are included in MOL   |
| 6. Biodiversity   |            |                    | +             | +              | +            | +                | Policy protects open land and biodiversity is an appropriate use.  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |            |                    | +             | +              | +            | +                | Policy protects the landscape, including the Udney Park Playing Fields.  |
| 8. Green spaces   |            |                    |               | +              | +            | +                | Policy protects the landscape and open spaces, some of which will<br>be part of the green infrastructure network, including the Udney Park<br>Playing Fields. It is noted that the MOL boundary change at<br>Harrodian School in Barnes only seeks to remove the cluster of<br>buildings in the south-western corner of the site, which is already<br>predominately built on and no longer considered as open space.<br>The remainder of the site continues to be protected. |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            |            |                    |               |                |              |                  | Neutral. This also applies to the MOL boundary change at Harrodian School in Barnes.   |
| 10. Housing   |            |                    |               | _              | _            | _                | Negative effects for housing as it restricts housing opportunities, including at the Udney Park Playing Fields site.   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                        |            |                    |               |                | +            | +                | Neutral  |

| 12. Health & well-being  |   | +                                       | +                               | ++                             | ++                                   | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it allows sports,<br>leisure and recreation. Positive effects as a result of the new<br>Local Green Space designation at Udney Park Playing Fields.            |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 13. Borough centres  |   |   |                                 |                                |                                      | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy  |   |   |                                 |                                |                                      | Neutral  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                       |   |   | _                               | _                              | _                                    | A negative effect for commercial development as it restricts opportunities.  |
| Summary of assessment: (like)<br>This policy has overall a positive imp        |   |   |                                 | social per                     | spectives.                           |  |
|  |   |   |                                 |                                |                                      |  |
| circumstances" but would allow exce  | pace are protect                        | cted open land. Th<br>cale development, | ne policy goes<br>subject to st | s into detai<br>rict criteria. | l and stipulates v<br>Local Green Sp | fects)<br>what are appropriate uses. It requires justification of "very special<br>baces designation offers additional protection to space special to a local<br>as of the remaining open and undeveloped MOL. |
| Possible mitigation: (measures   | to mitigate l                           | ikely negative e                        | ffects and e                    | enhance j                      | positive effect                      | s )  |
| The likely negative effects of the pol<br>protected by national and regional p |   |   |                                 |                                |                                      | nent. However, the Green Belt, MOL and Local Green Space continue to be  |
| protocologi by Hallonar and Toglonar p   |   |   |                                 | ooptional o                    |                                      |  |
|  |   |   |                                 |                                |                                      |  |
| Policy LP18 River Corridors (mo  | odified policy )                        | l.                                      |                                 |                                |                                      |  |
| River corridors  | , |   |                                 |                                |                                      |  |
| A. The natural, historic and bui   | lt environme                            | nt of the River                         | Thames co                       | rridor and                     | d the various v                      | watercourses in the borough, including the River Crane,  |

A. The natural, historic and built environment of the River Thames corridor and the various watercourses in the borough, including the River Grane, Beverley Brook, Duke of Northumberland River, Longford River and Whitton Brook, will be protected. Development adjacent to the river corridors will be expected to contribute to improvements and enhancements to the river environment.

#### **Thames Policy Area**

B. Development proposals within the Thames Policy Area should respect and take account of the special character of the reach as set out in the Thames Landscape Strategy and Thames Strategy as well as the Council's Conservation Area Statements, and where available Conservation Area Studies, and/or Management Plans.

Developments alongside and adjacent to the River Thames should ensure that they establish a relationship with the river, maximise the benefits of its setting in terms of views and vistas, and incorporate uses that enable local communities and the public to enjoy the riverside, especially at ground level in buildings fronting the river.

**Public Access** 

C. All development proposals alongside or adjacent to the borough's river corridors should:

a. Retain existing public access to the riverside and alongside the river; and

b. Enhance existing public access to the riverside where improvements are feasible; or

c. Provide new public access to the riverside and the foreshore where possible, and maintain existing points of access to the foreshore subject to health and safety considerations. There is an expectation that all major development proposals adjacent to the borough's rivers shall provide public access to the riverside and foreshore.

d. Provide riparian life-saving equipment where required and necessary.

#### **River Thames public riverside walk**

D. All development proposals adjoining the River Thames are required to provide a public riverside walk, including for pedestrians and cyclists, which will contribute to the overarching aim of providing a continuous publicly accessible riverside walk. For major developments, applicants will be expected to work with adjoining landowners in case ownership issues would prevent public access.

## Riverside uses, including river-dependent and river-related uses

E. The Council will resist the loss of existing river-dependent and river-related uses that contribute to the special character of the River Thames, including river-related industry (B2) and locally important wharves, boat building sheds and boatyards and other riverside facilities such as slipways, docks, jetties, piers and stairs.

This will be achieved by:

1. resisting redevelopment of existing river-dependent or river-related industrial and business uses to non-river related employment uses or residential uses unless it can be demonstrated that no other river-dependent or river-related use is feasible or viable;

2. ensuring development on sites along the river is functionally related to the river and includes river-dependent or river-related uses where possible,

including gardens which are designed to embrace and enhance the river, and be sensitive to its ecology;

3. requiring an assessment of the effect of the proposed development on the operation of existing river-dependent uses or riverside gardens on the site and their associated facilities on- and off-site;

or requiring an assessment of the potential of the site for river-dependent uses and facilities if there are none existing;

4. ensuring that any proposed residential uses, where appropriate, along the river are compatible with the operation of the established river-related and river-dependent uses;

5. requiring setting back development from river banks and existing flood defences along the River Thames.

| SA objectives | Geogra | phic scale |       | Assessmen<br>ength of eff |  |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|---------------|--------|------------|-------|---------------------------|--|------------|--|
|               | Local  | Trans-     | Short | Short Medium Long         |  | Cumulative |  |
|               |        | boundary   | term  |                           |  |            |  |

| 1. Waste   |   |   |   |    | Neutral   |
|--|---|---|---|----|---|
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light,<br>water and soil pollution |   |   |   |    | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel  |   |   |   |    | Neutral   |
| 4. Energy  |   |   |   |    | Neutral   |
| 5. Water   |   | + | + | +  | Setting back built development from the borough's rivers,<br>riverbanks and existing flood defences will allow for the<br>maintenance and future upgrading of the flood defences.                   |
| 6. Biodiversity  | + | + | + | +  | The rivers and their corridors provide valuable habitats for wildlife   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                     | + | + | + | +  | The borough's rivers contribute to the special and distinctive character of this borough.   |
| 8. Green spaces  |   | + | + | +  | The rivers are important components of the wider Green<br>Infrastructure network  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction               |   |   | + | +  | Best use of land as policy ensures river-related businesses<br>are in the right location and cannot be displaced by other<br>uses   |
| 10. Housing  |   | - | - | -  | Restricts housing redevelopment opportunities on existing<br>built up land by the river. (All the borough's rivers are in<br>designated MOL & are protected for openness)                           |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                           | + | + | + | ++ | Potential to maintain and improve flood defences by setting<br>back development. Addresses concerns over danger on the<br>foreshore and adds life-saving equipment, which benefits<br>public safety |
| 12. Health & well-being                                      | + | + | + | ++ | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it seeks<br>access to the riverbank for leisure and recreational<br>opportunities   |
| 13. Borough centres  |   |   |   |    | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy  | + | + | + | +  | Seeks to protect river- related industries and river-<br>dependent uses in the river corridors and helps maintain a<br>diverse economy  |
| 15. Commercial development                                   | + | + | + | +  | River-related commercial and recreational opportunities are   |

| opportunities   |            |                |           |              |            |                   | encouraged |
|---|------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Summary of assessment: (likely sustainability impact of the option)<br>The policy has a series of benefits; environmental, economic and social.   |            |                |           |              |            |                   |            |
| Comments on assessment: (ad   | ditional o | comments o     | on indivi | dual object  | ives and c | cumulative effect | ts)        |
| The policy goes into detail and stipulates what are appropriate uses at the riverside. It would allow development of river-dependent structures such as moorings and jetties and protects river-related uses that make an irreplaceable contribution to the character of the individual reaches. It also seeks safer access to the foreshore and the installation of life-saving equipment where necessary. |            |                |           |              |            |                   |            |
| Possible mitigation: (measures  | to mitiga  | ite likely ne  | gative e  | ffects and e | enhance p  | ositive effects ) |            |
| The likely negative effects of th   | e policy a | are that it re | stricts o | pportunities | for hous   | ing at the waters | side.      |

Policy LP18 River Corridors Original

A policy that protects the natural, historic and built environment of river corridors and watercourses. Enhance and improve the river environment, provide public access to the river corridors and foreshore and maintain river-related and river dependent uses.

|   |        |                    | 1             |                                  |              | 1          |   |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|---|
| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term                   | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 5. Water  |        |                    |               | +                                | +            | +          | Setting back built development from the borough's rivers,<br>riverbanks and existing flood defences will allow for the<br>maintenance and future upgrading of the flood defences. |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    | +             | +                                | +            | +          | The rivers and their corridors provide valuable habitats for  |

| 7. Landscape & townscape   | + |   |   |              |  |
|--|---|---|---|--------------|--|
|  |   | + | + | +            | The borough's rivers contribute to the special and distinctive character of this borough.  |
| B. Green spaces  |   | + | + | +            | The rivers are important components of the wider Green<br>Infrastructure network   |
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol>                              |   |   | + | +            | Best use of land as policy ensures river-related businesses<br>are in the<br>right location and cannot be displaced by other uses  |
| 10. Housing  |   | - | - | -            | Restricts housing redevelopment opportunities on existing<br>built up<br>land by the river. (All the borough's rivers are in designated<br>MOL & are protected for openness) |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities   |   |   | + | +            | Potential to maintain and improve flood defences by setting back development.  |
| 12. Health & well-being  | + | + | + | ++           | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it seeks<br>access to the<br>riverbank for leisure and recreational opportunities  |
| 13.Borough centres   |   |   |   |              | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy  | + | + | + | +            | Seeks to protect river- related industries and river-<br>dependent uses<br>in the river corridors and helps maintain a diverse economy                                       |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities   | + | + | + | +            | River-related commercial and recreational opportunities are<br>Encouraged  |
| Summary of assessment: <i>(likely sustain</i> )<br>This policy has overall a positive impact, in par |   |   |   | erspectives. |  |

river-related uses that make an irreplaceable contribution to the character of the individual reaches.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

The likely negative effects of the policy are that it restricts opportunities for housing at the waterside. New buildings and extensions need to take careful account of the living conditions of neighbours, with particular regard to natural light, light pollution, privacy, noise and disturbance. The Council's SPDs, including on Householder Extensions and External Alterations, Residential Development Standards and on Small and Medium Housing Sites, provide further guidance and illustrations on how to assess sunlight/daylight, overshadowing, visual intrusion, privacy and space between buildings.

Policy LP21 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage (Incorporating Modifications)

A. All developments should avoid, or minimise, contributing to all sources of flooding, including fluvial, tidal, surface water, groundwater and flooding from sewers, taking account of climate change and without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Development will be guided to areas of lower risk by applying the 'Sequential Test' as set out in national policy guidance, and where necessary, the 'Exception Test' will be applied. Unacceptable developments and land uses will be refused in line with national policy and guidance, the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and as outlined in the table below.

In <u>Flood Zones 2 and 3</u> areas at risk of flooding, all proposals on sites of 10 dwellings or more or 1000sqm of non-residential development or more, or on any other proposal where safe access/egress cannot be achieved, a Flood Emergency Plan must be submitted.

Where a Flood Risk Assessment is required, on-site attenuation to alleviate fluvial and/or surface water flooding over and above the Environment Agency's floodplain compensation is required where feasible.

|         | Land uses and developments-<br>restrictions  | Sequential Test                                  | Exception Test                                   | Flood Risk Assessment                     |
|---------|--|--|--|---|
| Zone 3b | <ul> <li>restrictions</li> <li>The functional floodplain as identified in the Council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will be protected by not permitting any form of development on undeveloped sites unless it: <ul> <li>is for Water Compatible development;</li> <li>is for essential utility infrastructure which has to be located in a flood risk area and no alternative locations are available and it can be demonstrated that the development would be safe, without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible would reduce flood risk overall.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Required for essential utility<br>infrastructure | Required for essential utility<br>infrastructure | Required for all development<br>proposals |
|         | Redevelopment of existing developed sites will<br>only be supported if there is no intensification<br>of the land use and a net flood risk reduction is<br>proposed; any restoration of the functional<br>floodplain will be supported.<br>Proposals for the change of use or<br>conversion to a use with a higher<br>vulnerability  |  |  |   |

|   | Land uses are restricted to Water   | Required for all developments   | Required for more vulnerable   | Required for all development   |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Compatible, Less Vulnerable and More<br>Vulnerable development. Highly Vulnerable<br>developments will not be permitted.<br>Self-contained residential basements and<br>bedrooms at basement level will not be  | unless exceptions outlined in the justification apply   | development  | proposals  |  |
|   | permitted.  |   |  |  |  |
| Zone 2       No land use restrictions<br>Self-contained residential basements and<br>bedrooms at basement level will not be<br>permitted.         Zone 1       No land use restrictions |   | Required for all developments<br>unless exceptions outlined in the<br>justification apply   | Required for highly vulnerable development   | Required for all development<br>proposals unless for change of<br>use from water compatible to less<br>vulnerable  |  |
|   |   | Not applicable  | Not applicable   | A Drainage Statement is required<br>for sites all major developments.<br>Required for all other<br>development proposals where<br>there is evidence of a risk from<br>other sources of flooding,<br>including surface water, ground<br>water and sewer flooding. |  |
| /ill have to d  | demonstrate that their proposal complies  | s with the following:   |  | ubject to very rapid inundation. Appli   |  |
|   | demonstrate that their proposal complies  | s with the following:   |  |  |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, complexited.  | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe   | r vulnerability classification or sell   |  |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant and second se | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe   | r vulnerability classification or sell   |  |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant and second se | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se  | r vulnerability classification or sell   |  |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant and • New basements:         o       restricted to Less Vulnerable / Wallow of More Vulnerable' uses will only be   | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood   | r vulnerability classification or self   | -contained units will not be   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of extreme of extension of extensin of extension of extension of extension of e | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>t be permitted.  | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t  | -contained units will not be   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant and extensions.         ial)       • New basements:         • restricted to Less Vulnerable / Wa       • 'More Vulnerable' uses will only be         Bedrooms at basement levels will no       • 'Highly Vulnerable' such as self-competition   | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>t be permitted.  | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t  | -contained units will not be   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of extreme of extension of extensin of extension of extension of extension of e | d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br><u>t be permitted</u> .<br>ontained basements/bedrooms uses   | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t<br>s will not be permitted.  | -contained units will not be   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of the second of the sec | d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br><u>t be permitted</u> .<br>ontained basements/bedrooms uses   | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t<br>s will not be permitted.<br>able' uses.                                   | -contained units will not be   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of the second of th | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>t be permitted.<br>ontained basements/bedrooms<br>uses<br>sions or additions for 'Highly Vulnera<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>s set out in the Council's SFRA):   | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t<br>s will not be permitted.<br>able' uses.<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t | -contained units will not be<br>hat the risk to life can be managed.<br>hat the risk to life can be managed.   |  |
| vill have to o<br>Flood Zone<br>(Functional<br>Floodplain)<br>Flood Zone  | demonstrate that their proposal complies         3b       Basements, basement extensions, compermitted.         3a       In areas of Extreme, Significant an extension of extreme, Significant an extension of the second of th | s with the following:<br>onversions of basements to a highe<br>d Moderate Breach Hazard (as se<br>ter Compatible use only.<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>t be permitted.<br>ontained basements/bedrooms<br>uses<br>sions or additions for 'Highly Vulnera<br>e considered if a site-specific Flood<br>s set out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Fest (where applicable) is passed, b | r vulnerability classification or self<br>t out in the Council's SFRA):<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t<br>s will not be permitted.<br>able' uses.<br>Risk Assessment demonstrates t | -contained units will not be<br>hat the risk to life can be managed.<br>hat the risk to life can be managed.   |  |

| Flood Zone 1 | No restrictions on new or extensions to existing basements   |
|--------------|--|
|              | If a basement, basement extension or conversion is acceptable in principle in terms of its location, it must have internal access to a higher floor and flood resistant and resilient design techniques must be adopted. |
|              | • Existing Basements: basement extensions, conversions or additions maybe permitted for existing developments where they are not self-<br>contained or used for bedrooms.  |
|              | • New Basements: if the Exception Test (where applicable) is passed, basements may be permitted for residential use where they are not self-contained or used for bedrooms.  |
| Flood Zone 2 | In areas of Extreme, Significant and Moderate Breach Hazard (as set out in the Council's SFRA):  |
|              | If a basement, basement extension or conversion is acceptable in principle in terms of its location, it must have internal access to a higher floor and flood resistant and resilient design techniques must be adopted. |

# Sustainable drainage

C. The Council will require the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in all development proposals. Applicants will have to demonstrate that their proposal complies with the following:

1. A reduction in surface water discharge to greenfield run-off rates wherever feasible.

2. Where greenfield run-off rates are not feasible, this will need to be demonstrated by the applicant, and in such instances, the minimum requirement is to achieve at least a 50% attenuation of the site's surface water runoff at peak times based on the levels existing prior to the development.

# **Flood defences**

D. Applicants will have to demonstrate that their proposal complies with the following:

1. Retain the effectiveness, stability and integrity of flood defences, river banks and other formal and informal flood defence infrastructure.

2. Ensure the proposal does not prevent essential maintenance and upgrading to be carried out in the future.

3. Set back developments from river banks and existing flood defence infrastructure where possible (16 metres for the tidal Thames and 8 metres for other rivers).

4. Take into account the requirements of the Thames Estuary 2100 Plan and the River Thames Scheme, and demonstrate how the current and future requirements for flood defences have been incorporated into the development.

5. The removal of formal or informal flood defences is not acceptable unless this is part of an agreed flood risk management strategy by the Environment Agency.

| SA objectives | Geographic scale | Assessment /<br>Length of effect | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--|

| 1. Waste       2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution       3. Travel       4. Energy       5. Water       +       6. Biodiversity       7. Lendesane & townseree | boundary | term |     |    |    | Neutral       Neutral       Neutral       Neutral   |
|--|----------|------|-----|----|----|---|
| <ul> <li>water and soil pollution</li> <li>3. Travel</li> <li>4. Energy</li> <li>5. Water +</li> <li>6. Biodiversity</li> </ul>  |          | +    |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 4. Energy         5. Water       +         6. Biodiversity   |          | +    |     |    |    |   |
| 5. Water +<br>6. Biodiversity  |          | +    |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 6. Biodiversity  |          | +    |     |    |    |   |
| -  |          |      | +   | +  | +  | Promotes SUDS which should have positive impacts  |
| 7 Landagana 8 taunagana  |          |      |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape   |          |      |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 8. Green spaces  | +        |      | +   | +  | +  | Policy encourages creating spaces that will become part of the Green Infrastructure network   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction   |          |      |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 10. Housing  |          |      | -/? | -  | -  | May reduce opportunities for new housing  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive + communities   |          | +    | +   | ++ | ++ | Sequential test should help reduce risk to humans from<br>flooding and inundation. Taking account of latest climate<br>change allowances should help to minimise vulnerability<br>and provide resilience to flooding. |
| 12. Health & well-being +  |          | +    | +   | ++ | ++ | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it should reduce flooding now and in the future.  |
| 13.Borough centres   |          |      |     |    |    | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy  | +        | +    | +   | +  | +  | Flood defences and Sequential test should help reduce risk to humans and property from flooding and inundation  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities   |          |      |     |    |    | Neutral   |

Conclusion: (additional comments on individual objectives and cumulative effects)

New development should be planned to avoid increased vulnerability to the range of impacts arising from climate change, such as increased risk of flooding. The policy sets out the Sequential Test to guide development to less risky locations and the Exception Test to allow redevelopment of existing developed sites in the higher risk flood zones. Development of basements in flood inundation zones are discouraged, and proposals should not prevent essential maintenance and upgrading of flood defences to be carried out in the future. Developments should also take into account the requirements of the Thames Estuary 2100 (TE2100) Plan in regards to the implementation of current and future improvements to the River Thames tidal flood defences. The River Thames Scheme (Datchet to Teddington) is a proposed programme of projects and investment to reduce flood risk. SUDS will help reduce surface water flooding. This policy should make homes and communities more resistant &/or resilient to flooding now and in the future taking into account the effects of climate change.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects) In areas at risk of flooding, or on any other proposal where safe access/egress cannot be achieved, a Flood Emergency Plan must be submitted.

#### Policy LP21 Flood Risk and Sustainable Drainage (original)

A policy that guides development to areas of lower flood risk, sets out where basements will be acceptable given their potential vulnerability, promotes sustainable drainage and sets out the drainage hierarchy, and protects flood defences.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | aphic scale        |               | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation                                  |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term                   | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 5. Water  | +      |                    | +             | +                                | +            | +          | Promotes SUDS which should have positive impacts  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |        |                    |               |                                  |              |            | Neutral   |
| 8. Green spaces   |        | +                  |               | +                                | +            | +          | Policy encourages creating spaces that will become part of the Green Infrastructure network |

| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction                    |                         |                         |                     |                               |                          |                             | Neutral  |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 10. Housing   |                         |                         |                     | -/?                           | -                        | -                           | May reduce opportunities for new housing   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                                | +                       |                         | +                   | +                             | +                        | ++                          | Sequential test should help reduce risk to humans from flooding and inundation   |
| 12. Health & well-being   | +                       |                         | +                   | +                             | ++                       | ++                          | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it should reduce flooding.   |
| 13.Borough centres  |                         |                         |                     |                               |                          |                             | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy   |                         | +                       | +                   | +                             | +                        | +                           | Flood defences and Sequential test should help reduce risk to humans and property from flooding and inundation                     |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                          |                         |                         |                     |                               |                          |                             | Neutral  |
| Summary of assessment: (like<br>This policy has overall a positiv |                         |                         | -                   |                               | onmenta                  | l and social p              | erspectives.   |
| The policy sets out the Sequer                                    | lanned to<br>ntial Test | avoid inc<br>to guide d | reased v<br>evelopm | ulnerability<br>ent to less r | to the rar<br>risky loca | nge of impact tions and the | es arising from climate change, such as increased risk of flooding.<br>Exception Test to allow redevelopment of existing developed |

sites in the higher risk flood zones. Development of basements in flood inundation zones are discouraged, and proposals should not prevent essential maintenance and upgrading of flood defences to be carried out in the future. Developments should also take into account the requirements of the Thames Estuary 2100 (TE2100) Plan in regards to the implementation of current and future improvements to the River Thames tidal flood defences. The River Thames Scheme (Datchet to Teddington) is a proposed programme of projects and investment to reduce flood risk. SUDS will help reduce surface water flooding. This policy should make homes and communities more resistant &/or resilient to flooding.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

In areas at risk of flooding, or on any other proposal where safe access/egress cannot be achieved, a Flood Emergency Plan must be submitted

# Policy LP28 Social and Community Infrastructure (modified)

A. The Council will work with service providers and developers to ensure the adequate provision of community services and facilities, especially in areas where there is an identified need or shortage.

#### New social and community infrastructure

B. Proposals for new or extensions to existing social and community infrastructure will be supported where:

- 1. it provides for an identified need;
- 2. is of a high quality and inclusive design providing access for all; and

3. where practicable is provided in multi-use, flexible and adaptable buildings or co-located with other social infrastructure uses which increases public access.

#### Loss of social or community infrastructure

C. Loss of social or community infrastructure will be resisted. Proposals involving the loss of such infrastructure will need to demonstrate clearly:

1. that there is no longer an identified community need for the facilities or they no longer meet the needs of users and cannot be adapted; or

2. that the existing facilities are being adequately re-provided in a different way or elsewhere in a convenient alternative location accessible to the current community it supports, or that there are sufficient suitable alternative facilities in the locality; and

3. the potential of re-using or redeveloping the existing site for the same or an alternative social infrastructure use for which there is a local need has been fully assessed. This should include evidence of completion of a full and proper marketing exercise of the site for a period of at least two consecutive years in line with the requirements set out in Appendix 5.

D. Where the Council is satisfied that the above evidence has been provided and the change of use away from social and community infrastructure use has been justified, redevelopment for other employment generating uses or affordable housing should be considered.

#### Impacts on existing social infrastructure

E. Development proposals for 10 or more residential units should assess the potential impacts on existing social and community infrastructure in order to demonstrate to the Council that there is sufficient capacity within the existing infrastructure to accommodate the needs arising from the new development.

| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |                  |                    | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Should reduce the need to travel far for services.         |
| 4. Energy   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |

| 5. Water   |                   |           |              |             |               | Neutral   |
|--|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---|
| 6. Biodiversity  |                   |           |              |             |               | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape   |                   |           |              |             |               | Neutral   |
| 8. Green spaces  |                   |           |              |             |               | Neutral   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction                       |                   | +         | +            | +           | +             | Co-location, dual use & flexible buildings will be a better and more efficient use of land  |
| 10. Housing  |                   |           |              |             |               | Neutral   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                                   |                   | +         | +            | +           | +             | Providing services in suitable places, to meet various needs at the heart of local communities should improve cohesion                          |
| 12. Health & well-being  |                   | +         | +            | ++          | ++            | A positive effect for health and well-being, as it should<br>address sports needs and prevent the loss of existing indoor<br>sports facilities. |
| 13.Borough centres   |                   |           | ?            | ?           |               | Depends on locations, but if located in town centres will add to vitality   |
| 14. Economy  |                   |           |              | +           |               | Such facilities may add to well-being of the area and to employment opportunities   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                             |                   |           | +            | +           |               | New buildings may have to be built  |
| Summary of assessment: (likely<br>This policy has overall a positive |                   |           |              | iety and th | e economy.    |   |
| Conclusion: (additional comment<br>Largely positive impacts by prot  |                   |           |              |             |               | ocial or community uses.  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures t                                     | <u> </u>          |           | <u> </u>     |             |               |   |
| 0 1  | opment on existin | ig servic | es, financia | al contribu | tions and / o | r planning obligations (also see the Planning Obligations SPD), and   |

A policy sets out to ensure the adequate provision of community services and facilities, especially in areas where there is an identified need or shortage. It resists loss, and the demise of Assets of Community Value will be strongly resisted SA objectives Geographic scale Assessment / Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed Length of effect mitigation Medium Local Trans-Short Long Cumulative boundary term term term 1. Waste Neutral 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, Neutral water and soil pollution 3. Travel + + + + Should reduce the need to travel far for services. 4. Energy Neutral 5. Water Neutral 6. Biodiversity Neutral 7. Landscape & townscape Neutral 8. Green spaces Neutral 9. Best use of land & Co-location, dual use & flexible buildings, very positive + + + + sustainable construction 10. Housing Neutral 11. Safer and cohesive Providing services in suitable places, to meet needs at the + + + + heart of local communities should improve cohesion. communities 12. Health & well-being Inclusive access allows people to stay independent. + + ++ ++ 13.Borough centres Depends on locations; if located in town centres will ? ? add to vitality 14. Economy + Such facilities add to well-being of the area and employment

| 15. Commercial development opportunities  |              |               | +               | +                | opportunities<br>New buildings have to be built  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summary of assessment: (likely sustainability impact of the option)<br>This policy has overall a positive impact, in particular in terms of society and the economy |              |               |                 |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusion: (additional comme   | ents on indi | ividual objed | ctives and cur  | nulative effects | ;)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largely positive impacts by pro   | tecting exis | sting uses a  | nd considerin   | g alternative so | ocial uses   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Possible mitigation: <i>(measures</i><br>To mitigate the impacts of deve<br>/ or where appropriate, on-site   | elopment or  | n existing se | ervices, financ | ial contribution | sitive effects )<br>s and / or planning obligations (also see the Planning Obligations SPD), and |  |  |  |  |  |

# Policy LP29 Education and Training (Modified version)

A. The Council will work with partners to encourage the provision of facilities and services for education and training of all age groups to help reduce inequalities and support the local economy, by the following means:

1. supporting the provision of facilities to meet the needs for primary and secondary school places as well as pre-school and other education and training facilities;

2. safeguarding land and buildings in educational use;

3. identifying new sites for educational uses as part of this Plan; the Council will work with landowners and developers to secure sites for pre-schools, primary and secondary schools as well as sixth forms to ensure sufficient spaces can be provided for children aged 2-18;

4. encouraging the potential to maximise existing educational sites through extensions, redevelopment or refurbishment to meet identified educational needs;

5. encouraging flexible and adaptable buildings, multi-use and co-location with other social infrastructure.

B. The Council will promote local employment opportunities and training programmes. Where the employment opportunities generated by construction as well as the end use of the development create more than 20 (Full Time Equivalent) jobs, a Local Employment Agreement, secured through a Section 106 agreement, will be required.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |                  | Assessmer      |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed  |
|---|--------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   |        |                    | Length of effect |                |              |            | mitigation   |
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    | +                | +              | +            | +          | Should reduce the distance people need to travel for services.   |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Water  |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 8. Green spaces   |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            |        |                    | +                | +              | +            |            | Co-location, dual use & flexible buildings, should be an efficient use of land   |
| 10. Housing   |        |                    |                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                        |        |                    | +                | +              | +            | +          | Providing schools and training within local communities should improve cohesion.   |
| 12. Health & well-being                                   | +      |                    | +                | ++             | ++           | +          | Local Employment Agreements should provide opportunities<br>for local employment, apprenticeships and work experience<br>placements to enable residents to develop an appropriate<br>skill-set for existing and future employment opportunities<br>within the borough. |
| 13.Borough centres  |        |                    |                  | ?              | ?            |            | Depends on locations; if located in town centres will add to vitality  |
| 14. Economy   |        |                    |                  |                | +            |            | Such facilities add to well-being of the area and employmen  |

| 15. Commercial development opportunities                           |                                       |                | +          | +           |                    | opportunities<br>New buildings have to be built   |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Summary of assessment: (likel<br>This policy has overall a positiv | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                |            | ent is loca | ated in accessible | e locations.  |
| Conclusion: (additional comme                                      | ents on individu                      | al objectives  | and cumu   | lative effe | cts)               |   |
| The policy has positive social a                                   | nd economic in                        | npacts         |            |             |                    |   |
|  | cilities contribut<br>access, particu | es to optimisi | ng the use | of land a   | nd can increase t  | the wider community benefits of educational proposals. It gh for example the use of school playing fields, sport clubs, |

# Policy LP29 Education and Training (original)

A policy that sets out how the Council will provide a sufficiency and diversity of school places needed within the borough, including promoting local employment opportunities and training programmes, and requiring Local Employment Agreements (LEA) in certain cases

| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   |                  |                    | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Should reduce how far people need to travel                |
| 4. Energy   |                  |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |

| 5. Water  |            |                   |              |            |              | Neutral   |
|---|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---|
| 6. Biodiversity   |            |                   |              |            |              | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape  |            |                   |              |            |              | Neutral   |
| 8. Green spaces   |            |                   |              |            |              | Neutral   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction                      |            | +                 | +            | +          |              | Dual use & flexible buildings should be an efficient use of the land  |
| 10. Housing   |            |                   |              |            |              | Neutral   |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                                  |            | +                 | +            | +          | +            | Providing schools and training within local communities should improve cohesion.  |
| 12. Health & well-being   |            | +                 | +            | +          | +            | Skills, employment and training opportunities to be delivered. Multi-use of premises could increase sports provision.             |
| 13.Borough centres  |            |                   | +/?          | +/?        |              | If located in town centres could add to vitality  |
| 14. Economy   | +          | +                 | +            | +          |              | Could add to employment opportunities for local people  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                            | +          |                   | +            | +          |              | Providing new buildings   |
| Summary of assessment: (like  |            |                   |              |            |              |   |
| This policy has overall a positive<br>Conclusion: (additional commo |            |                   |              |            |              |   |
| The policy has positive social a                                    |            | -                 |              |            | ,            |   |
| Possible mitigation: (measures                                      | to mitigat | e likely negative | e effects an | nd enhance | positive eff | ects )  |
|   |            |                   |              |            |              | ease the wider community benefits of educational proposals. It through for example the use of school playing fields, sport clubs, |

other schools and community groups.

#### Policy: LP 42 Industrial Land and Business Parks (after modifications)

The borough has a very limited supply of industrial floor space and demand for this type of land is high. Therefore the Council will protect, and where possible enhance, the existing stock of industrial premises to meet local needs.

Retention of Industrial Space

A. There is a presumption against the loss of industrial land in all parts of the borough.

Loss of industrial space (outside the locally important industrial land and business parks) will only be permitted where:

1. Robust and compelling evidence is provided which clearly demonstrates that there is no longer demand for an industrial based use in this location and that there is not likely to be in the foreseeable future. This must include evidence of completion of a full and proper marketing exercise of the site at realistic prices both for the existing use or an alternative industrial use completed over a minimum period of two continuous years in accordance with the approach set out in Appendix 5; and then

2. A sequential approach to redevelopment or change of use is applied as follows:

a. Redevelopment for office or alternative employment uses.

b. Mixed use including other employment generating or community uses, and residential providing it does not adversely impact on the other uses and maximises the amount of affordable housing delivered as part of the mix.

Locally important industrial land and business parks

B. The Council has identified locally important land and business parks (as set out in the supporting text and Appendix 6). In these areas:

a. loss of industrial floor space will be resisted unless appropriate full, on-site replacement floor space is provided;

b. development of new industrial floor space and improvement and expansion of existing premises is encouraged; and

c. proposals for non-industrial space will be resisted where the introduction of such uses would-have an adverse impact on the continued operation of the existing services adversely impact on industrial activities.

#### New industrial space

C. Development of appropriate scale industrial uses, and improvement and expansion of such premises, is encouraged. New industrial space should be flexible and adaptable for different types of uses activities and suitable to meet future needs, especially to provide for the requirements of local businesses.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation   |
|---|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |                                  |                |              | -/?        | Possible concentration of uses likely to cause adverse impacts is balanced by less need to travel far. |
| 3. Travel   | +      |                    | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Should reduce how far people need to travel  |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |

| E 14/ /  |            |                |          |               |             |                  |  |
|--|------------|----------------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| 5. Water                                       |            |                |          |               |             |                  | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity                                |            |                |          |               |             |                  | Neutral  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                       |            |                |          |               |             |                  | Neutral  |
| 8. Green spaces                                |            |                |          |               |             |                  | Neutral  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction |            |                | +        | +             | +           | +                | Intensive use & flexible buildings should be an efficient use of the land  |
| 10. Housing                                    | +/?        |                |          |               | ?           | +/?              | Some housing to meet local demand is allowed as part of mixed-use schemes  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities             | +          |                | +        | +             | +           | +                | Providing jobs and services within local communities should improve cohesion.  |
| 12. Health & well-being                        |            |                |          |               | +           | +                | Employment and training opportunities may be delivered locally   |
| 13.Borough centres                             |            |                |          |               |             |                  | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy                                    | +          |                | +        | +             | +           | +                | Could add to employment opportunities for local businesses<br>and people and promote local sustainable economic growth |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities       | +          |                |          | +             | +           |                  | Providing new flexible workspace and buildings   |
| Summary of assessment: (likel                  | y sustain  | ability impa   | ct of t  | he option)    |             |                  |  |
| This policy has overall a positiv              | ve impact, | , in particula | r, if de | evelopment    | t is locate | d in accessib    | le locations and supports the needs of the borough.  |
| Conclusion: (additional comme                  | ents on in | dividual obj   | ective   | s and cum     | ulative eff | fects)           |  |
| The Council policy will support the lo         | cal econon | ny and help to | retain   | the reservoir | of industri | al-type services | s such as vehicle repair garages, which serve the local communities  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures                 | to mitiga  | ite likely neg | gative   | effects and   | d enhance   | e positive effe  | ects )   |
| The other Plan policies, such a                | s LP10, L  | ocal enviror   | nment    | al impacts.   | pollution   | and land cor     | ntamination, will help to ameliorate visual, pollution and amenity   |

impacts of industrial proposals. The replacement provision should be appropriate with regard to the site circumstances and the needs of the borough.

Policy: LP 42 Industrial Land and Business Parks (original)

A policy that seeks to protect existing and encourage new provision of industrial space. It also identifies locally important industrial land and business parks.

|   | 1      |                    |                                  |                |              |            |  |
|---|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation                     |
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution               |        |                    |                                  |                |              | -/?        | Possible concentration of uses but less need to travel.                        |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Less need to travel  |
| 4. Energy   |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 5. Water  |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape  |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 8. Green spaces   |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol> |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 10. Housing   |        |                    |                                  |                | -/?          | ?          | -  |
| 11. Safer and cohesive communities                                      |        |                    | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Provision of local community facilities and services                           |
| 12. Health & well-being   |        |                    |                                  |                | +            | +          | Local job and service opportunities.   |
| 13.Borough centres  |        |                    |                                  |                |              |            | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy   |        |                    | ++                               | ++             | ++           | ++         | High quality industrial space is one essential for sustainable economic growth |
| 15. Commercial development  |        | 1                  | +                                | +              | +            | +          | Development opportunities  |

| opportunities  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summary of assessment: (likely sustainability impact of the option)<br>This policy has overall a positive impact, as it ensures the continued economic success of the borough.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conclusion: (additional comments on individual objectives and cumulative effects)<br>The Council policy will support the local economy and help to retain those industrial-type services such as vehicle repair garages for local communities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )<br>Visual, pollution and amenity impacts of industrial proposals should be minimised, in line with policies on Local Character and Design.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# **Site Allocations**

Retention and upgrading of St Mary's University and its associated teaching, sport and student residential accommodation. Upgrade works to include refurbishment, adaptation, <u>intensification,</u> extensions and new build elements on site where appropriate justified with regard to national policy and the policies of the development plan.

A Masterplan and/or site development brief, which encompasses the main campus in Strawberry Hill as well as Teddington Lock, together with new estates and student accommodation strategies, will be prepared in conjunction with the Council. This will guide future development for St Mary's University, both on and off site.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | -             | Assessmer<br>ength of eff |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation   |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term            | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | -             |                           |              | -          | With intensification of uses and new build on the site. Operation of The waste hierarchy should minimise/mitigate any potential negative impacts |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |               |                           |              |            | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   | -      |                    |               | _                         |              | -          | intensification of uses on the site may generate more trips  |

| 4. Energy   | +   | + | + |   | +               | Opportunity to incorporate some low/zero carbon and renewable<br>energy technologies, subject to the preservation of historic assets  |
|---|-----|---|---|---|-----------------|---|
| 5. Climate change adaptation, flood risk and Water                      | +   | + | + |   |                 | Potential to rebuild facilities with climate change adaptation measures; potential for green roofs  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape  | ?/- | ? | ? | ? | ?/-             | adjacent to Strawberry Hill House and Gardens (Grade I Listed<br>Building); it will depend on the detailed design scheme whether<br>it will have positive or negative impacts on the heritage assets                |
| 8. parks and open spaces  | ?   | ? | ? | ? | ?               | partly within and adjacent to Strawberry Hill Historic Park &<br>Garden; large majority of site is designated as MOL; there should<br>be no new buildings on designated land  |
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol> | -/+ | ? | ? | ? | ?               | Very limited potential for development without encroaching into MOL or<br>Historic Park & Garden as the majority of the site is designated; some<br>potential for incorporating sustainable construction techniques |
| 10. Housing   |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| 11. Health, well-being, secure communities                              |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| 12. Accessible local services   | +   | + |   |   | +               | Potential for dual use and making some services accessible to the local community, but this will depend on the details of the scheme.   |
| 13.Borough centres  |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy   |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                |     |   |   |   |                 | Neutral   |
| Summary of assessment: (likel<br>Overall some impacts but also a nur    |     |   |   |   | of any scheme a | nd scale of new build and intensification.  |

In MOL& Historic Park & Garden – no new development is allowed. It is important to ensure no harm to the setting of the Grade I Listed Building and Gardens.

### SA 8 St Mary's University, Strawberry Hill (original)

Retention and upgrading of St Mary's University and its associated teaching, sport and student residential accommodation. Upgrade works to include refurbishment, adaptation, extensions and new build elements on site where appropriate. A Masterplan site development brief, which encompasses the main campus in Strawberry Hill as well as Teddington Lock, together with new estates and student accommodation strategies, will be prepared in conjunction with the Council. This will guide future development for St Mary's University, both on and off site.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | aphic scale        |               | Assessmei<br>ength of ef |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term           | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | -             |                          |              | -          | Subject to no intensification of uses on the site. Operation of<br>waste hierarchy should minimise/mitigate any potential negative<br>impacts                                 |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel   |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral subject to no intensification of uses on the site   |
| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                   | +      |                    | +             | +                        |              | +          | Opportunity to incorporate some low/zero carbon and renewable<br>energy technologies, subject to the preservation of historic assets  |
| 5. Climate change adaptation / flood risk & water         | +      |                    | +             | +                        |              |            | Potential to rebuild facilities with climate change adaptation measures; potential for green roofs  |
| 6. Biodiversity   |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  | ?      |                    | ?             | ?                        | ?            | ?          | adjacent to Strawberry Hill House and Gardens (Grade I Listed<br>Building); it will depend on the detailed design scheme whether<br>it will have positive or negative impacts |
| 8. Parks and open spaces                                  | ?      |                    | ?             | ?                        | ?            | ?          | partly within and adjacent to Strawberry Hill Historic Park & Garden;<br>large majority of site designated as MOL; there should<br>be no new buildings on designated land     |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            | ?      |                    | ?             | ?                        | ?            | ?          | Very limited potential for development without encroaching into MOL or<br>Historic Park & Garden  |
| 10. Housing   |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities               |        |                    |               |                          |              |            | Neutral   |
| 12. Accessible local                                      | +      |                    | +             |                          |              | ++         | Sports facilities and playing fields are to be retained or re-provided.   |

| services  |                   |                      |                              | Potential for dual use and making some services accessible to the   |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |                   |                      |                              | local community, but this will depend on the details of the scheme. |  |  |  |  |
| 13.Borough centres  |                   |                      |                              | Neutral   |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Economy   |                   |                      |                              | Neutral   |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities  |                   |                      |                              | Neutral   |  |  |  |  |
| Summary of assessment: (likely s<br>Overall some positive impacts but also                        |                   |                      |                              | ne and scale of new build   |  |  |  |  |
|   |                   |                      |                              |   |  |  |  |  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects ) |                   |                      |                              |   |  |  |  |  |
| MOL, Historic Park & Garden - no new  | development and e | ensure no harm to th | e setting of the Grade I Lis | sted Building and Gardens   |  |  |  |  |

## SA 17 St Michael's Convent, Ham Common (OSNI area as modified)

The Grade II listed St Michael's Convent and The Cottage have been declared surplus to requirements. Social and community infrastructure uses are the most appropriate land uses for this site. Conversion or potential redevelopment for residential uses could be considered if it allows for the protection and restoration of the Listed Buildings. The Proposals Map changes document sets out that the gardens are to be designated as OSNI and OOLTI.

|   | -      |   |               |                |  |            |   |
|---|--------|---|---------------|----------------|--|------------|---|
| SA objectives   | Geogra | Geographic scale Assessment /<br>Length of effect |               |                | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |            |   |
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary                                | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term   | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  | _      |   | _             | _              | _  | _          | New and intensified uses are likely to increase the waste stream from this site   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |   |               |                |  |            | Neutral   |
| 3. Travel   | -      |   | -             | -/?            | -/?  | -/?        | Converting the existing buildings and intensifying the use of this site is likely to lead to an increase in traffic, particularly as the site has a "very poor" PTAL rating and therefore very limited public transport accessibility |
| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                   | -/+    |   | -/?           | -/?            | -/?  | -/?        | Although there may be an opportunity to incorporate low/zero carbon<br>and renewable energy technologies, this is likely to be limited due to   |

|   |     |     |     |     |     | historic building constraints. An increase in traffic would also lead to an increase in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions.   |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| 5. Climate change adaptation / flood risk & water |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral  |
| 6. Biodiversity                                   | -   | -   | -   | -/? | -/? | An intensification in uses and development on site will<br>impact on the biodiversity. As a whole the OSNI designation<br>protects a significant part of the site. However, the amended<br>OSNI boundary removes acid grassland from the protected<br>area. There are: an old orchard and lowland acid grassland<br>present – habitats of principal importance in England. In<br>addition are badgers and bat species including<br>Daubenton's, a 300 year old Mulberry tree, and this site is<br>an important part of the River Thames to Richmond Park<br>Green Corridor. Great care is needed to prevent<br>fragmentation of the Green Corridor. A careful management<br>plan is needed to protect biodiversity. +/ |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                          | +/? | +/? | +/? | +/? | +/? | A proposal for residential / community use, provided it is sensitively carried out is likely to contribute to the preservation of the Conservation Area, Listed Building and general character of the local area.  |
| 8. Parks and open spaces                          | ?   | +   | +   | +/? | +   | This site is located opposite Ham Common, which is designated MOL,<br>Public Open Space, and which also includes a Historic Park and<br>Garden. There should be no new buildings on any designated land and<br>no harmful impacts from the conversion onto the character of the area.<br>The new designation as OOLTI has therefore positive effects upon<br>the natural environment.  |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction    | +/? | +/? |     |     |     | If the site is declared surplus it could be considered that it would make better use of land.  |
| 10. Housing                                       | +   | +   | +   |     |     | Opportunity for more new homes, including a possibility for affordable homes   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities       | ?   | ?   | ?   | ?   | ?   | Potential loss of social infrastructure, although it is expected that some community provision will be re-provided on this site.   |
| 12. Accessible local services                     | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | This area is poorly provided with local services as it is outside a town centre (including outside 400m) of town centres and areas of mixed use.   |
| 13.Borough centres                                |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral  |

| 14. Economy   |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neutral |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|
| 15. Commercial development opportunities  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neutral |  |  |  |
| Summary of assessment: <i>(likely sustainability impact of the option)</i><br>Overall some positive as well as some negative impacts but also some uncertainties, which will depend on the details of any scheme. Although it would lead to a loss of social infrastructure, it should provide for some new homes and some community use. The proposal should contribute to the preservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area and the Listed Building by taking account of the sensitive local character. The designation as OSNI and OOLTI should protect the gardens as green space and preserve the setting of the Listed Building. A carefully prepared management plan may help protect its biodiversity and ecological assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects)<br>To mitigate the loss of the social infrastructure, there should be some re-provision on this site, possibly in the form of community use. There should be no new development on<br>designated OOLTI. Biodiversity – this is a very sensitive site and merits to be designated OSNI; any proposal needs to ensure that it will conserve and enhance the on-site biodiversity,<br>including the acid grassland. Travel – ensure any proposal would have no added impacts on local traffic and parking provision.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |

Waste – more activity and intensification on this site will inevitably generate more waste but this can be mitigated through the application of waste hierarchy and reuse of demolition waste. The Ham and Petersham Neighbourhood Plan may set out further guidance on design and appearance of any development proposal.

## SA 17 St Michael's Convent, Ham Common (original)

The Grade II listed St Michael's Convent and The Cottage have been declared surplus to requirements. Social and community infrastructure uses are the most appropriate land uses for this site. Conversion or potential redevelopment for residential uses could be considered if it allows for the protection and restoration of the Listed Buildings. The Proposals Map changes document sets out that the gardens are to be designated as OSNI and OOLTI.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation |  |
|---|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative   |  |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | -                                | -              | -            | -  | New and intensified uses would be likely to increase the waste stream from this site   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution |        |                    |                                  |                |              |  | Neutral  |
| 3. Travel   | -/?    |                    | -/?                              | -/?            | -/?          | -/?  | Converting the existing buildings and intensifying the use of this<br>site is likely to lead to an increase in traffic, particularly as the site<br>has a "very poor" PTAL rating and therefore very limited public<br>transport accessibility |

| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                                    | -/? | -/? | -/? | -/? | -/? | Although there may be an opportunity to incorporate low/zero carbon<br>and renewable energy technologies, this is likely to be limited due to<br>historic building constraints. An increase in traffic would also lead to an<br>increase in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions.  |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|
| <ol> <li>Climate change adaptation /<br/>flood risk &amp; water</li> </ol> |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral   |
| 6. Biodiversity  | ?   | ?   | ?   | ?/+ | +   | An intensification in uses could impact on the biodiversity. The new<br>designation as OSNI has positive effects upon the natural<br>environment.   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape   | +/? | +/? | +/? | +/? | +/? | A proposal for residential / community use is likely to contribute to the<br>preservation of the Conservation Area, Listed Building and general<br>character of the local area.   |
| 8. Parks and open spaces   | +/? | +   | +   | +/? | +   | This site is located opposite Ham Common, which is designated MOL,<br>Public Open Space, and which also includes a Historic Park and<br>Garden. There should be no new buildings on any designated land and<br>no harmful impacts from the conversion onto the character of the area.<br>The new designation as OOLTI has therefore positive effects upon the<br>natural environment. |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction                             | +/? | +/? |     |     |     | If the site is declared surplus, it could be considered that it would make better use of land   |
| 10. Housing  | +   | +   | +   |     |     | Opportunity for more new homes, possibly affordable homes   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities                                | ?   | ?   | ?   | ?   | ?   | Potential loss of social infrastructure, although it is expected that some community provision will be re-provided on this site.  |
| 12. Accessible local services  | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | This area is poorly provided with local services as it is outside of (including outside the 400m of) town centres and areas of mixed use.   |
| 13.Borough centres   |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy  |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                   |     |     |     |     |     | Neutral   |

Overall some positive as well as some negative impacts but also some uncertainties, which will depend on the details of any scheme. Although it would lead to a loss of a social infrastructure, it would provide for some new homes and for community use. The proposal should contribute to the preservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area and the Listed Building, including taking account of the sensitive local character. The designation as OSNI and OOLTI should protect the gardens as green space and preserve the setting of the Listed Building

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

To mitigate the loss of the social infrastructure, there should be some re-provision on this site, possibly in the form of community use. There should be no new development on designated OOLTI. Biodiversity – this is a very sensitive site and designated OSNI; any proposal needs to ensure that it will conserve and enhance the on-site biodiversity. Travel – ensure any proposal would have no impacts on local traffic and parking provision. Waste – more activity and intensification on this site will inevitably generate more waste but this can be mitigated through the application of waste hierarchy and reuse of demolition waste. The Ham and Petersham Neighbourhood Plan may set out further guidance on design and appearance of any development proposal.

### SA 24 Stag Brewery, Lower Richmond Road, Mortlake (modified)

The Council will support the comprehensive redevelopment of this site. An appropriate mix of uses, particularly at ground floor levels, should deliver a new village heart and centre for Mortlake. The provision of an on-site new 6-form entry secondary school, plus sixth form, will be required. Appropriate uses, in addition to educational, include residential (including affordable housing), employment (B uses), commercial such as retail and other employment generating uses, health facilities, community and social infrastructure facilities (such as a museum), river-related uses as well as sport and leisure uses, including the retention and/or re-provision and upgrading of the playing field. The Council will expect the provision of high quality open spaces and public realm, including links through the site to integrate the development into the surrounding area as well as a new publicly accessible green space link to the riverside.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessmer<br>.ength of ef |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation   |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term            | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | _             | _                         | -            | -          | Additional new and intensified uses are proposed on the site.<br>Operation of the waste hierarchy should minimise/mitigate potential<br>negative impacts   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution | +      |                    | +             |                           | +/?          | +/?        | The soil quality may improve due to remediation of site.<br>Strict air quality mitigation measures will be required due to<br>the proximity of an Air Quality Focus Area   |
| 3. Travel   | +/-    |                    | +/-           | +/-                       |              | ?          | Reduction in use of larger lorries due to relocation of brewery but<br>possible increase in smaller car/van traffic due to additional new<br>school, sports/ leisure, residences and small businesses. Securing of<br>transport improvements and sustainable modes of travel as part of a<br>development proposal is expected. |
| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                   | +      |                    | +             | +                         |              | +          | Opportunity to incorporate some low/zero carbon and renewable<br>energy technologies, including site wide decentralised energy subject<br>to the preservation of the existing historic assets  |
| 5. Climate change adaptation /                            | +/-    |                    | +/-           | +/-                       |              |            | Potential to rebuild facilities with climate change adaptation measures;   |

| flood risk & water                             |    |    |    |    |    | i.e. potential for green roofs. Would increase number of people in a flood risk area, which needs to be mitigated.  |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| 6. Biodiversity                                | +  |    | +  | +  |    | A number of TPOs on site. Will be opportunities to enhance<br>biodiversity on site  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                       | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | Potential for improved buildings, including restoration of the BTM on<br>site, that enhance and make a positive contribution to the local<br>character and conservation area. This will depend on the overall<br>development/design and intensification on this site. To the<br>north are grade II Listed Buildings whose setting should be enhanced.<br>The OOLTI designated playing fields are to be retained and /or re-<br>provided and upgraded within the site. |
| 8. Parks and open spaces                       | +  | ++ | +  |    | +  | Should take account of the adjacent River Thames designated MOL.<br>Next to POS, Mortlake Green. Creates links between the river and<br>the town and enlivens the riverside frontage. Creation of a new<br>green/open space. Retention, and upgrading of the playing fields<br>within the site.   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction | +  | +  |    |    |    | The proposal is likely to include sustainable design and construction Techniques.   |
| 10. Housing                                    | ++ | ++ | +  |    |    | An opportunities for many new homes including family housing and affordable units   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities    | +  | +  | +  |    | +  | New leisure uses will contribute to healthier lifestyles. Creates a new village heart for Mortlake  |
| 12. Accessible local services                  | +  | +  | +  |    |    | Will provide new homes within the Mortlake AMU and provide access<br>to employment, a new secondary school, health facilities, community<br>and social infrastructure facilities, river-related uses and sport and<br>leisure uses.   |
| 13.Borough centres                             | +  | +  |    |    | +  | Is an established employment location close to East Sheen centre and AMU. Will reinforce the centre's role and add to the vitality of the area  |
| 14. Economy                                    | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | Provision of new small scale hybrid business space, river related uses,<br>and scientific and technical business would make a very positive<br>contribution to the local economy & provide new jobs   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities       | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | Proposal would provide land for commercial development. Although it<br>is not in a town centre location, it is very close to Mortlake Station, and<br>will increase the variety of types of employment in the area.   |

Overall has many positive impacts; would make good use of previously developed land. There would however be some impacts on local transport provision and the strategic road network as well as potential impacts, depending on the detailed design of the scheme, on biodiversity, landscape, townscape, and parks & open spaces, particularly with intensified and new uses, which would require mitigation, improvement and enhancement. Any proposed development should have regard to the adopted Site Brief.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects) Need to take account of cumulative impacts on the local area, local amenity and neighbouring properties due to a large increase in uses on this site. Improvements to highways and sustainable modes of travel should be secured. Open spaces should be retained &/or reprovided and upgraded. More activity and development on this site will inevitably generate more waste but this can be mitigated through the application of waste hierarchy and reuse of demolition waste. To mitigate flood risk a FRA and Flood Emergency Plan should be required to ensure that development and its users/residents are safe. Guidance on design and local character for the area is set out in the Mortlake Village Planning Guidance SPD.

#### SA 24 Stag Brewery, Lower Richmond Road, Mortlake (original)

The Council will support the comprehensive redevelopment of this site. An appropriate mix of uses, particularly at ground floor levels, should deliver a new village heart and centre for Mortlake. The provision of an on-site new 6-form entry secondary school, plus sixth form, will be required. Appropriate uses, in addition to educational, include residential (including affordable housing), employment (B uses), commercial such as retail and other employment generating uses, health facilities, community and social infrastructure facilities (such as a museum), river-related uses as well as sport and leisure uses, including the retention and/or re-provision and upgrading of the playing field. The Council will expect the provision of high quality open spaces and public realm, including links through the site to integrate the development into the surrounding area as well as a new publicly accessible green space link to the riverside.

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         |               | Assessmer<br>ength of ef |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation   |
|---|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------|--|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term           | Long<br>term | Cumulative |  |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | _             | -                        | -            | -          | Additional and intensified uses would increase the waste stream.   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution | ?      |                    | ?             |                          |              |            | Uncertain but there might be a improvement in soil quality due to remediation on the site  |
| 3. Travel   | +/-    |                    | +/-           | +/-                      |              |            | Reduction in use of larger lorries due to relocation of brewery but possible increase in smaller car/van traffic due additional new school, sports/ leisure, residences and small businesses.  |
| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                   | +      |                    | +             | +                        |              |            | Potential to incorporate zero-/low carbon and renewable energy technologies including site wide heating network  |
| 5. Climate change adaptation / flood risk & water         | +/-    |                    | +/-           | +/-                      |              |            | Potential to rebuild facilities with climate change adaptation measures<br>potential for green roofs. Would increase number of people in a flood<br>risk area, which needs to be mitigated   |
| 6. Biodiversity   | +      |                    | +             | +                        |              |            | A number of TPOs on site. Opportunities to enhance biodiversity on<br>site   |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  | +      |                    | +             | +                        |              |            | Potential for improved buildings, including restoration of the BTM on<br>site, that enhance and make a positive contribution to the local<br>character and conservation area, but this will depend on the overall<br>development/design and intensification on this site. To the north are<br>grade II Listed Buildings whose setting should be enhanced |

| 8. Parks and open spaces                       | +  | +  | +  |    | +  | Should take account of adjacent River Thames designated MOL.<br>Next to POS, Mortlake Green. Creates links between the river and<br>the town and enlivens the riverside frontage. Creation of a new<br>green/open space. Retention of playing fields in their present<br>location. |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction | +  | +  |    |    |    | The proposal is likely to include sustainable design and construction techniques   |
| 10. Housing                                    | ++ | ++ | +  |    |    | Opportunities for many new homes including family housing and affordable units   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities    | +  | +  | +  |    | +  | New leisure uses will contribute to healthier lifestyles. Creates a new village heart for Mortlake   |
| 12. Accessible local services                  | +  | +  | +  |    |    | Will provide new homes within the Mortlake AMU and access to<br>employment and a new secondary school, health facilities, community<br>and social infrastructure facilities, river-related uses and sport and<br>leisure uses.   |
| 13.Borough centres                             | +  | +  |    |    | +  | Established employment location close to East Sheen centre and AMU . Reinforce the centre's role and add to vitality of the area.  |
| 14. Economy                                    | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | Provision of new small scale hybrid business space, river related uses,<br>and scientific and technical business would make a very positive<br>contribution to the local economy & provide new jobs;   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities       | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | Proposal would provide land for commercial development, not in a town centre location although close to Mortlake Station, and will increase the variety of types of employment   |

Overall positive impacts; would make good use of previously developed land. There would however be some impacts on local transport provision and the strategic road network as well as potential impacts, depending on the detailed design of the scheme, on biodiversity, landscape, townscape, and parks & open spaces, particularly with intensified uses including a variety of new uses, which would require mitigation, improvement and enhancements. The existing Site Brief for this site should be taken into account

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects )

Need to take account of cumulative impacts on local area, amenity and neighbouring properties due to a large increase in uses on this site. More activity and development on this site will inevitably generate more waste but this can be mitigated through the application of waste hierarchy and reuse of demolition waste. To mitigate flood risk a FRA and Flood Emergency Plan should be required to ensure that development and its users/residents are safe. Guidance on design and local character for the area is set out in the Mortlake Village Planning Guidance SPD.

SA 28 Barnes Hospital, East Sheen (with Modifications)

## If the site is declared surplus to requirements, appropriate land uses include social and community infrastructure uses.

## Any redevelopment proposal for this site will be required to prioritise the provision of a new Special Educational Needs 2 form entry primary school

| SA objectives   | Geographic scale |                    | Assessment /  |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed   |
|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   |                  |                    | L             | ength of ef    | fect         |            | mitigation  |
|   | Local            | Trans-<br>boundarv | Short<br>term | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  | _                | boundary           | –             |                | _            |            | Amount of waste is likely to increase; operation of waste hierarchy should minimise/mitigate any potential negative impacts.  |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution | +                |                    | +             |                |              |            | Potential to improve soil quality by remediating potentially contaminated land  |
| 3. Travel   | -/?              |                    | -/?           |                |              |            | The development of a SEN school is regarded as being significantly less traffic generating than a primary school as there would not be individual drop offs and children are likely to travel by minibus.                         |
| 4. Climate change mitigation/<br>Energy                   | +                |                    | +             | +              |              |            | Opportunity to incorporate low- & zero carbon technologies and renewable energy; buildings should be more energy efficient  |
| 5. Climate change adaptation / flood risk & water         | +                |                    | +             |                |              |            | Potential to include climate change adaptation measures, such as green roofs and walls.   |
| 6. Biodiversity   | ?                |                    | ?             |                |              |            | Potential impacts on Mortlake Cemetery which is designated<br>OSNI. Opportunity to incorporate biodiversity features into the hospital<br>site  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape                                  | ?                |                    | ?             |                |              |            | Redevelopment of this site could impact upon existing BTMs and<br>adjacent Conservation Area (i.e. Queens Road, Mortlake<br>Conservation Area)  |
| 8. Parks and open spaces                                  |                  |                    |               |                |              |            | Neutral; the adjacent Mortlake Cemetery is designated OOLTI   |
| 9. Best use of land & sustainable construction            | +                |                    | +             | +              | +            |            | Providing a mix of social and community uses would maximise<br>the potential of previously developed land if the site is declared surplus<br>to requirements; potential for incorporation of sustainable construction<br>measures |
| 10. Housing   |                  |                    |               | -              | -            |            | Not considered as appropriate for new housing development   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities               | -                |                    | _             |                |              | -          | Would lead to a loss of a health facility unless service is re-provided elsewhere   |
| 12. Accessible local services                             | +/-              |                    | +/-           |                |              | -/+        | Would lead to a loss of a health facility which could be considered<br>an essential service/community facility unless service is re-provided  |

|  |                        |                                |                       |                              |              |                       | elsewhere. However, a SEN school and other community facilities would be considered positive.  |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| 13.Borough centres                       |                        |                                |                       |                              |              |                       | Neutral  |
| 14. Economy                              |                        |                                |                       |                              |              |                       | Neutral  |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities |                        |                                |                       |                              |              |                       | Neutral  |
|  | new comn<br>be conside | nunity use on<br>ered an appro | the site a priate rep | and that there placement for | a hospital f |                       | ce provision. Provision of a special educational needs school, community pacts on biodiversity, landscape and the adjacent Conservation Area |
|  | d to ensure            | e that BTMs, I                 | oundary               | wall and exist               | ting charac  | ter of the site are p | reserved and enhanced, and that the potential impacts on the adjacent  |

Queens Road, Mortlake Conservation Area are taken into account. Important trees need to be retained and protected; impacts on adjacent OSNI need to be minimised. Minimisation of waste through implementation of waste hierarchy. Travel – the proposal will need to be subject to a satisfactory transport impact assessment. It is understood that the Council will be preparing a Site Brief at an appropriate time in partnership with the owners.

## SA 28 Barnes Hospital, East Sheen (original)

If the site is declared surplus to requirements, appropriate land uses include social and community infrastructure uses.

### Any redevelopment proposal for this site will be required to prioritise the provision of a new 2-form entry primary school

| SA objectives   | Geogra | phic scale         | Assessment /<br>Length of effect |                |              |            | Commentary/explanation, uncertainties, proposed mitigation  |
|---|--------|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---|
|   | Local  | Trans-<br>boundary | Short<br>term                    | Medium<br>term | Long<br>term | Cumulative |   |
| 1. Waste  | -      |                    | _                                | _              | -            |            | Amount of waste is likely to increase; operation of waste hierarchy should minimise/mitigate any potential negative impacts   |
| 2. Pollution: air, noise, light, water and soil pollution | +      |                    | +                                |                |              |            | Potential to improve soil quality by remediating potentially contaminated land  |
| 3. Travel   | -/?    |                    | -/?                              | -/?            | -/?          | -/?        | Depending on the development proposal and given the existing access constraints, it may lead to an increase in traffic and congestion in the local area, which would need to be mitigated |

| 4. Climate change mitigation  | +    | +    | +    |   |     | Opportunity to incorporate low- & zero carbon technologies and<br>renewable energy; buildings should be more energy efficient   |
|---|------|------|------|---|-----|---|
| 5. Climate change adaptation / flood risk & water                       | +    | +    |      |   |     | Potential to include climate change adaptation measures, such as green roofs and walls  |
| 6. Biodiversity   | ?    | ?    |      |   |     | Potential impacts on Mortlake Cemetery which is designated<br>OSNI  |
| 7. Landscape & townscape  | ?    | ;    |      |   |     | Redevelopment of this site could impact upon existing BTMs and<br>adjacent Conservation Area (i.e. Queens Road, Mortlake<br>Conservation Area)  |
| 8. Parks and open spaces  |      |      |      |   |     | Neutral; the adjacent Mortlake Cemetery is designated OOLTI   |
| <ol> <li>Best use of land &amp;<br/>sustainable construction</li> </ol> | +    | +    | +    | + |     | Providing a mix of social and community uses would maximise<br>construction the potential of previously developed land if the site is<br>declared surplus to requirements; potential for incorporation of<br>sustainable construction measures      |
| 10. Housing   |      |      | -    | _ |     | Not considered as appropriate for new housing development   |
| 11. Health & well-being, secure communities                             | -    |      |      |   | _   | Would lead to a loss of a health facility unless service is re-provided elsewhere   |
| 12. Accessible local services   | -/++ | -/++ | -/++ |   | -/+ | Would lead to a loss of a health facility which could be considered<br>an essential service/community facility unless service is<br>re-provided elsewhere; However, a primary school and other<br>community facilities would be considered positive |
| 13.Borough centres  |      |      |      |   |     | Neutral   |
| 14. Economy   |      |      |      |   |     | Neutral   |
| 15. Commercial development opportunities                                |      |      |      |   |     | Neutral   |

Largely positive, provided that there will be a new community use on the site and that there won't be a gap in health service provision. Provision of a primary school, community and other educational facilities, may be considered an appropriate replacement for a hospital for older people. Impacts on biodiversity, landscape and the adjacent Conservation Area and Mortlake Cemetery will depend on the detailed design for the redevelopment for this site.

Possible mitigation: (measures to mitigate likely negative effects and enhance positive effects)

Redevelopment proposal would need to ensure that BTMs, boundary wall and existing character of the site are preserved and enhanced, and that the potential impacts on the adjacent Queens Road, Mortlake Conservation Area are taken into account. Important trees need to be retained and protected; impacts on adjacent OSNI need to be minimised. Minimisation of waste through implementation of waste hierarchy. Travel – the proposal will need to be subject to a satisfactory transport impact assessment. It is understood that the Council will be preparing a Site Brief at an appropriate time in partnership with the owners.