

Local Plan Examination

Examination Hearing Session 7

11th October 2017 14.00

Participants:

Richmond upon Thames Borough Council
 026 - Indigo Planning On Behalf Of Beechcroft Development Ltd.
 224- Mr Howard Potter (LP44)
 288- Transport for London

Agenda

- a) Welcome
- b) Factual updates and clarifications (please note Other Matters)
- c) Focus for Discussion:

TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE, MONITORING AND OTHER MATTERS

Does the Plan address adequately transport issues and the provision of necessary infrastructure to support the delivery of the strategic objectives and the vision? Are the Plan’s monitoring targets justified adequately and of a level of detail that is appropriate to a Local Plan? How will the effectiveness of the Plan be managed?

1.	Is Policy LP44 justified by the evidence base and in general conformity with the London Plan?
2.	Is the Local Plan’s approach to transport related matters accepted by Transport for London?
3.	Are the standards of LP 45 justified and consistent with national policy? Are they in general conformity with the London Plan?
4.	With due regard to all infrastructure (transport, resources, services etc) is the Infrastructure Delivery Plan up to date? Does it specify clearly what is required, where, when and how it may be funded and delivered?
5.	How have risks and contingency been robustly addressed in the production of the Plan? Where is the supporting evidence?
6.	How will the effectiveness of the Plan and its individual policies be measured/assessed? Should there be monitoring indicators for each

	<p>policy/objective?</p> <p>Are the arrangements for managing and monitoring the delivery of the Plan clear and will they be effective?</p> <p>Should the Plan include clearer timescales to assist monitoring, thereby providing milestones to assess policy effectiveness?</p>
7.	<p>Do the changes to the Policies Map reflect the Plan adequately? Are the changes proposed to the Policies Map sufficiently clear and comprehensive?</p> <p>Is the Policies Map informed by the evidence adequately?</p>
8.	<p>Is the approach of the Plan towards S106 obligations consistent with national policy?</p>
	<p>Other Matters to include:</p> <p>¹Continuation of discussion relating to Question 9 at Hearing 4 including an update from the Council relating to OSNI:</p> <p><i>9. SA 17 – is the allocation justified by the evidence base, particularly in relation to the identified land uses and the garden designation as OOLTI (Other Open Land of Townscape Importance) and OSNI (Other Site of Nature Importance)? Will the allocation be capable of effective implementation?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matters arising • Schedule of changes/modifications • Timescale of next steps

d) AOB

e) Close

¹ Additional item added following Hearing 4 on 28.9.17