Local Plan Examination

Examination Hearing Session 2

26th September 2017 pm

Participants:

Richmond upon Thames Borough Council

- 026- Indigo Planning On Behalf Of Beechcroft Developments Ltd.
- 141- SSA Planning On Behalf Of Kentucky Fried Chcken (Great Britain) Ltd.(LP30 B2)
- 208- Nicholas Grundy, Park Road Surgery Teddington.
- 210- Patient Participation Group, Park Road Surgery Teddington
- 187- Tim Catchpole Mortlake Brewery Community Group and East Sheen Society
- 199- Una O'Brien

<u>Agenda</u>

- a) Welcome
- b) Factual updates and clarifications
- c) Focus for Discussion:

Is the approach of the Plan to Community Facilities justified by the evidence base, consistent with national policy and will it be effective in operation?

| | Community Facilities |
|----|--|
| 1. | Is LP 28 based on robust evidence of needs and existing provision? Is it flexible, consistent with national policy and will it be effective in delivery? |
| | Is it clear what social/community infrastructure is? Why is a threshold of 10 units used in criterion E? |
| 2. | Is LP 29 based on robust evidence of needs and existing provision? Is it flexible and will it be effective in delivery? |
| | What is the justification for requiring a Local Employment Agreement and is this consistent with national policy? |
| 3. | Does the plan reference robustly and accurately the health care services of the Borough and its future infrastructure needs? |
| | Is the plan and LP 30 based on sufficient evidence of demand and supply over the Plan period? |

| 4. | What is the justification for LP30 B2? Is this consistent with national policy and aligned with the London Plan? |
|----|---|
| 5. | Is the approach to public open space, play space, sport and recreation justified by a sufficiently robust evidence base? |
| | How have current open space provision and needs been assessed? What up to date evidence supports the approach and is it consistent with national planning policy? |
| | Are the requirements of criteria B justified and have they been assessed for their effect on development viability? |
| | Is Sport England satisfied with the approach of the Plan towards sport and recreation? |
| 6. | Is food growing referenced adequately and is the Plan aligned with the London Plan adequately in this regard? |
| | |

- d) AOB
- e) Close